Development of a Two Component Ac/Ds

System for Targeted Gene Tagging in Barley

Inaugural-Dissertation

zur

Erlangung des Doktorgrades

der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät

der Universität zu Köln

vorgelegt von

Tie-Han Zhao

aus Beijing, China

Köln, May 2005





Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Paul Schulze-Lefert Prof. Dr. Martin Hülskamp Prüfingsvorsitzender: Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Werr Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 11. July 2005

Table of Contents

1. General Introduction	1
1. 2. Barley as a model crop for <i>Triticeae</i> family	1
1. 3. Gene discovery in Barely	2
1. 4. Genomics	4
1. 5. Functional genomics	6
1. 5. 1. Tilling	6
1. 5. 2. RNA induced gene silencing	8
1. 5. 3. insertion mutagenesis	9
T-DNA insertion mutagenesis	9
Transposon insertion mutagenesis	11
Transposon in heterologous system	11
Transposon mediated targeted gene tagging	14
Activation tagging and gene traps	15
Activation tagging	16
Enhancer and gene trap elements	17
1. 6. Identification of insertional mutants	18
1. 7. Analysis of insertional mutants	20
1. 8. Prospects	21
2. Materials and Methods	22
2.1. Abbreviations	22
2. 2. Materials	24
2. 2. 1. Plant material	24
2. 2. 1. 1. Donor plants	24

2. 2. 1. 2. Barley mapping populations	24
2. 2. 1. 3. Ac transposase expressing lines	24
2. 2. 2. Bacterial strains	25
2. 2. 3. Cloning vectors	25
2. 2. 4. Antibiotics	25
2. 2. 5. Media	25
2. 2. 6. Chemical and Enzyme	27
2. 2. 7. Buffers and Solutions	28
2. 2. 8. Oligonucleotides	31
2. 3. Methods	33
2. 3. 1. Growth of donor plant material for barley transformation	33
2. 3. 2. Vector construction	34
2. 3. 2. 1. Construction of pWBV-Ds-Ubi-bar-Ds	34
2. 3. 2. 2. Construction of pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar	34
2.3. 2. 3. Construct of pWBV-Ac-AcPTase	35
2. 3. 3. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation	35
2. 3. 3. 1. Preparation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain	35
2. 3. 3. 2. Isolation of barley immature embryos and transformation	36
2. 3. 3. Regeneration of transgenic plants	36
2. 3. 4. Isolation of genome DNA	36
2. 3. 5. Genomic Southern blot analysis	36
2. 3. 6. Preparation of [a- ³² P]-labeled probes	37
2. 3. 7. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification analysis	38
2. 3. 8. Restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA	39
2. 3. 9. Plasmid DNA isolation	39
2. 3.10. Isolation of DNA fragments from Agarose-gel	39
2. 3. 11. Transformation of <i>E.coli</i>	39
2. 3. 11.1. Preparation of electro-competent E.coli cells	39
2. 3. 11. 2. Transformation of electro-competent E. coli cells	40

II

2. 3. 12. Isolation of T-DNA flanking sequence	40
2. 3. 13. Isolation of <i>Ds</i> flanking sequences	41
2. 3. 13. 1. Inverse PCR	41
2. 3. 13. 2. Adaptor - ligation PCR	41
2. 3. 14. DNA sequencing	42
2. 3. 15. Mapping T-DNA insertion loci	42
2. 3. 15. 1 Chromosome mapping T-DNA insertion loci using wheat-barley	42
addition line	
2. 3. 15. 2. Mapping T-DNA insertion loci by SSCP analysis	42
2. 3. 16. Mapping <i>Ds</i> insertion sites	43
2. 3. 16. 1. Mapping <i>Ds</i> insertion sites by RFLP	43
2. 3. 17. Linkage map construction	43
2. 3. 18. Database searching	44
3. Results:	45
3.1. Generation of starter lines	45
3.1.1. Transformation with plasmid pWBV-Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds	45
3.1.2. Transformation with plasmid pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar	47
3. 2. Analysis of T-DNA integration in barley genomic DNA	50
3. 3. Analysis of T-DNA flanking sequences	57
3. 4. Analysis of <i>Ds</i> flanking sequences	58
3. 5. Comparison of <i>Ds</i> and T-DNA insertion sites	62
3. 6. Mapping <i>Ds</i> launch pad T-DNA integration sites	66

3. 7. Genetic mapping *Ds* insertion sites

1		
---	--	--

4. Discussion

~	~
č	53

4. 1. Generation of single-copy Ds-T-DNA launch pads by Agrobacterium-	83
mediated transformation	
4. 2. Analysis of T-DNA border junctions with genomic DNA	84
4. 3. Analysis of genomic DNA flanking T-DNA insertions	87
4. 4. Analysis of <i>Ds</i> flanking sequences	88
4. 5. Distribution of T-DNA insertions in the barley genome	90
4. 6. Distribution sites of <i>Ds</i> transpositions	91
4. 7. <i>Ds</i> excision and re-insertion	93
4. 8. Conclusion and perspectives	94
5. Summary	96
6. Zusammenfassung	98
7. References	100
8. Appendix	118
8.1. Table A	118
8.2. Table B	124
9. Acknowledgements	127
10. Lebenslauf	129

1. General Introduction

1. 1. The host plant- Hordeum vulgare L.

Barley (Hordeum vulgara L) is globally the fourth most important crop after wheat, rice and maize, belonging to the tribe (tribus) Triticeae. This tribe represents a highly successful evolutionary branch of the grass family Poaceae and comprises a vast number of species and genera. The numerous wild species are potential gene sources for breeding. Barley belongs to the genus Hordeum, which comprises about 32 species and about 45 taxa (Bothmer et al., 1995). Barley is adopted to marginal and stress-affected environments. It is an important crop for direct human consumption and for animal feed. Barley was one of the first domesticated cereals. Domesticated barley has evolved from its wild progenitor Hordeum spontaneum (von Bothmer and Jacobsen, 1985), originating in the Fertile Crescent (Israel-Jordan area) of the Near East (Zohary et al., 1993; Diamond, 1998; Badr et al., 2000). The main differences between wild and domesticated barley are the acquisition of a non-brittle rachis, increased seed weight, and the appearance of six-rowed ears and naked seed varieties in the domesticated form. Cultivated barley is one of 32 Hordeum species which is an annual diploid species with 2n = 14 chromosomes. Its genetic system is relatively simple, while the species is genetically diverse, making it an ideal model organism.

1. 2. Barley as a model crop for *Triticeae* family

Molecular evidence has revealed considerable homology between barley, wheat, and rye with large chromosomal syntenic regions between these species make barley an ideal model crop for other *Triticeae* species with an even more complex and bigger genome, such as rye (8,400 Mb) and wheat (16,000 Mb). Being a true diploid self-fertilizer with seven chromosomes containing approximately 5.3Mb of DNA (Bennett et al., 1976; Arumuganathan et al., 1991) is one of the main advantages of barley as a genetic system. Barley is one of the best investigated crop plants which has extensively been used for genetic research because it is easy to generate mutants and to carry out genetic analysis.

Chemical and irradiation induced mutagenesis procedures are widely applied in barley. These have resulted in an extensive collection of over 10,000 documented mutants and accessions (von Wettstein-Knowles, 1992; http://www.ars-grin.gov/npgs/index.html; http://barley.ipk-gatersleben.de/ebdb/;) which are well suited for genetic analysis. Due to the low chromosome number and the annual life cycle of barley, recessive mutations can be more easily identified in barley than in its polyploid relatives, oat and wheat (Scholtz et al. 2001). At present, thousands of molecular markers, morphological markers, resistance markers, and quantitative trait markers have been mapped in reference populations (Franckowiak, 1997; Ramsay et al., 2000; Kleinhofs and Graner, 2001; Kleinhofs and Han, 2001). Current information on the integrated molecular, morphological, and physiological marker maps are presented as BIN maps (http:/barleygenomics.wsu.edu). In addition, a large number of expressed sequence tags (EST) (http://www.ncbi.nim.nih.gov/dbEST/) has been generated. Several large insert YAC (Kleine et al., 1993) and BAC (Yu et al., 2000; Isidore et al., 2005) libraries have been constructed and these have been used by forward genetics strategies to clone a number of genes including several resistance genes Mlo, Mla, Rar1, Rpg1, Rpg5, and *Ror2* (Table 1), which allow for the integration of physical and genetic maps. A recently released commercially available barley microarray, the Barley1 Chip, permits global expression profiling in barley. Furthermore, the establishment of efficient techniques for barley transformation by direct gene transfer (Wan et al., 1994) and Agrobacteriummediated gene transfer (Tingay et al., 1997) opened the route for the development of additional genomics tools such as insertional mutagenesis and dsRNA mediated gene silencing. The combined array of classical genetics and genomics tools in barley make this species a suitable model for the *Triticeae* and the species of choice for insertional

1. 3. Gene discovery in barley

mutagenesis.

There are many different ways of cloning genes of interest. Basically, gene isolation can be followed by two different strategies, the 'forward genetics' (from phenotype to gene) and the 'reverse genetics' (from gene to phenotype) approaches (Takahashi et al., 1994). In forward genetics, a mutant phenotype for the trait of interest, obtained by induced or natural mutagenesis, is identified and the affected gene, along with a large number of molecular markers, is genetically mapped. The resulting map is used for gene isolation. This forward genetics approach of gene isolation is described as map based cloning (MBC) (for review see: Leyser et al., 1996; Jander et al., 2002; Peter et al., 2003). MBC basically involves the following three steps: 1. initial genetic mapping and fine mapping of the target gene, 2. physical mapping, the identification and isolation of the candidate gene, 3. proof of biological function of the candidate gene via transformation or mutant analysis. Map-based cloning in barley is a relatively recent development. A number of genes in barley have been cloned by this approach (Table 1.). For example, the first barley gene cloned by the map-based approach was the recessive *mlo* gene which confers broad spectrum resistance to Blimeria graminis f. sp. hordei (Erysiphe graminis f. sp. hordei) (Büschges et al., 1997). The development of a high resolution genetic map and physical map of the *Mla* locus (Wei et al., 1999) led to the identification of multiple genes, including the race-specific resistance genes Mla1 Mla6, Mla12, Mla13 Mla7 and *Mla10*, which confer resistance to a large number of different races of the barley powdery mildew fungus Blumeria graminis f.sp.hordei. The Rarl gene which is required for Mla resistance and Rpg1 gene conferring resistance to the stem rust pathogen Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici were also cloned by map-based cloning (Brueggeman et al., 2002).

Map-based cloning in barley has resulted in the isolation of unique and interesting genes that have accelerated science not only in barley or the *Triticeae*, but also in other plant families. For example, the *mlo* gene (Büschges et al., 1997) is still unique among disease resistance genes in spite of the extensive cloning of genes from the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The *Rar1* gene (Shirasu et al., 1999), first cloned from barley, has become an important player for the understanding of plant pathogen interactions in a variety of different plant species. Cloning of the *Mla* locus combined with a newly developed transient assay to test for protein interactions has resulted in one of the best systems for studying plant pathogen interactions (Zhou et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2003). In principal, map-based cloning is straight-forward. However, with its large genome of approximately 5300 Mb per haploid genome, barley is not an ideal organism for map-based cloning and gene isolation is often extremely time consuming. The availability of a

complete barley genome sequence would greatly facilitate map-based cloning but due to its large genome size barley is not a likely candidate for complete genome sequencing projects in the near future. The availability of comprehensive genomics approaches provides a novel option for the systematic investigation of the function of genes.

Gene	Function	Reference
mlo	Seven transmembrane protein homology to	Buschges et al., 1997
	Gprotein coupled recepters	
rar1	zinc-binding protein (CHORD domain)	Lahaye et al.,1998
Ror2	syntaxin	Collins et al., 2003
Mla1	CC-NBS-LRR	Zhou et al., 2001
Mla6	CC-NBS-LRR	Halterman et al., 2001
Mla7	CC-NBS-LRR	Halterman et al., 2004
<i>Mla</i> 10	CC-NBS-LRR	Halterman et al., 2004
Mla12	CC-NBS-LRR	Shen et al., 2003
Mla13	CC-NBS-LRR	Halterman et al., 2003
Rpg1	Receptor kinase	Brueggeman et al., 2002
Rpg5	NBS-LRR protein kinase	Brueggeman et al., 2005

Table 1. Genes isolated from barley via map-based cloning in barley

1.4. Genomics

The release of the draft genome sequence of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000) and *Oryza sativa* (Goff et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2002) provides the basis for explication of the gene and protein networks that control biological processes. The two species represent model dicot and monocot species with relatively small genomes 110 Mb and 430 Mb, respectively. The genome of barley is substantially larger (up to more than 40-fold *Arabidopsis thaliana* and 12-fold rice) and it is unlikely that a complete genome sequence will be available in the near future. In addition, the size of the barley genome is not attributed to a larger number of genes, but rather to the extensive

expansion of repetitive DNA, in particular the amplification of retrotransposons (Bennetzen et al., 1998; Ramakrishna et al., 2002). Moreover, barley has unique commercial properties such as malting quality, which makes it distinct from other cereals. This suggests that at least for this particular trait, barley comprises genes, which are absent in *Arabidopsis* and rice. Similarly, many other unique genes for barley specific traits can be anticipated.

Because the full sequence analysis of barley is at present not feasible, other technical approaches for the identification of gene sequences have been devised. Alternative to full genome sequencing are the analysis of expressed genes (transcriptome) or the sequence analysis of gene-rich regions, which provide a basis for the discovery of genes and their functions even for large-genome species such as barley. The transcriptome of a particular species can be sampled by large-scale collections of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from cDNA libraries, which are constructed from different tissues and developmental stages or from plants subjected to different environmental conditions.

High throughput single-pass and partial sequences from cDNA clones to generate large collections of ESTs represent the transcriptome or transcribed portions of the genome and avoid the highly repetitive and non-coding DNA that makes up the bulk of genomic DNA. EST data has directly been applied for gene discovery (Ohlrogge et al., 2000; Sterky et al., 1998), the evaluation of the genome-wide gene content and structure (Van der Hoeven et al., 2002), as well as *in silico* comparative expression analysis between different plant tissues (Ogihara et al., 2003; Ronning et al., 2003). Moreover, ESTs can be a valuable resource for high-throughput expression analysis via the cDNA-array technology (Potokina et al., 2002; Schena et al., 1995; Sreenivasulu et al., 2002, 2004). Over the last few years, ESTs have become the foundation of barley genomics providing a substrate for a wide range activity. As of May 2005, approximately 394,937 ESTs have been generated for barley (see <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/dbEST</u>). These are derived from more than 80 different cDNA libraries covering virtually any tissue and growth stage of the barley plant as well as a series of physiological conditions. These ESTs provide a firm foundation for structural and functional barley genome analysis.

In addition, the barley ESTs resources provide a valuable DNA sequence resource that forms a rich source for development of novel functional markers including RFLPs (restriction fragment length polymorphisms), SSRs (simple sequence repeats) and SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms).

More recently, mainly cDNA clones corresponding to the barley ESTs have been utilized to prepare microarrays. A microarray chip "Barley 1" representing approximately 21,000 barley unigenes has been developed (Close et al., 2004). The content of the chip was derived from more than 400,000 barley EST sequences received from world-wide cooperators. Complete details on the content of the barley microarray, and enhanced probe-set annotations, can be obtained using the software HarvEST:Barley (available from <u>http://harvest.ucr.edu</u>). A conservative estimate of the number of barley genes represented on the Barley 1 microarray is about 14,000. The Barley 1 microarray provides an excellent starting point for global analysis of gene expression profiling of at least 25% of the genes in the barley genome.

1. 5. Functional genomics

Functional genomics aims at the functional analysis of genes discovered and annotated by genome sequencing projects. While an increasing array of genomic tools and resources continues to be developed, the functional analysis of annotated genes and of candidate genes for a number of traits is gathering pace but is still a bottle neck in functional genomics. As a result, rapid and high throughput methods for the confirmation and validation of gene function by random or targeted gene inactivation or overexpression are now becoming increasingly important priorities. Different approaches have been developed for identification of gene function.

1. 5. 1. Tilling

Of all the approaches used to discover the function of a given gene, the most direct approach is to disrupt the gene or generate mutations in the gene and analyze the consequences. In barley, chemical (using ethyl methane sulfonate) and irradiation mutagenesis have frequently been used for random gene inactivation resulting in over 10,000 well-characterized mutants (von Wettstein-Knowles, 1992; http://www.arsgrin.gov/npgs/index.html; http://barley.ipk-gatersleben.de/ebdb/). Recently, two structured chemically mutagenized populations of the barley cultivar (cv.) Optic have been generated (Caldwell et al., 2004), which will allow for both forward genetics and genome-wide reverse genetics in barley. One of the major disadvantages using chemical or irradiation induced mutagenesis for functional genomics is the randomness of induced mutations which requires large mutant populations of plants necessary for a mutant screen. To enhance forward genetic screenings Caldwell et al. (2004) assessed 12-16 Mg individuals from each of the mutagenized M₂ families for visible phenotypes and the data entered into a database accessible on the internet (http://bioinf.scri.sari.ac.uk/). Once the mutant is identified by its phenotype, positional cloning of the responsible gene requires mapping of the mutation and the identification of a closely linked molecular marker to the mutant locus that can be used to initiate chromosome walking and sequencing. Chromosome walking can be tedious and difficult, particularly when dealing with barley containing a large, unsequenced genome.

A method called Targeted Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes (TILLING) (McCallum et al., 2000) addresses some of the problems mentioned above. This procedure identifies single nucleotide change in the gene of interest by PCR-based reverse-genetics strategy in wild-type and mutant alleles from EMS-mutagenized populations(Henikoff et al., 2003; Till et al., 2003). This technique relies on the formation of heteroduplexes between PCR products of the wild-type and mutated fragments, mismatch cleaved by the endonuclease *Cel* I (Henikoff et al., 2003, 2004; Till et al., 2003). Plant pools giving rise to heteroduplex DNA are subdivided and reanalyzed until the mutant plant is isolated. Because TILLING does not depend on genome size or on the transformation method, it can be scaled up for high throughput screening of mutants and can be applied to all plant species. However, TILLING is a reverse genetics tool and it does not enable one to make a direct transition from a mutant phenotype to a mutated gene, on top of requiring sequence information.

1. 5. 2. RNA-induced gene silencing

DsRNA-induced gene silencing, commonly called RNA interference (RNAi) in animals and post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) in plants, is a powerful reverse genetics tool based on small double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) that guide sequence-specific mRNA degradation (Helliwell et al., 2005; Waterhouse et al., 2003; Hannon et al., 2002). This technique requires that constructs are made for each gene or a few target genes and introduced into each plant by standard transgenic techniques. Although various vectors designed for high-throughput cloning are available (Helliwell et al., 2005), fast and efficient transformation strategies for high-throughput application are limiting in plants other than *Arabidopsis*. RNA-induced gene silencing usually results in a range of variable phenotypes from wild-type to knockouts, which necessitates the analysis of sufficiently large numbers of transformants for each gene being silenced.

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS), targets the same RNA silencing machinery as RNAi, however, it is triggered by the double stranded RNA produced by viral genome replication (Lu et al., 2003). VIGS uses viral vectors and bypasses the need for transformation because the viral construct can be scrubbed into leaves or the *Agrobacterium* containing the viral construct can be conveniently infiltrated into leaves (Waterhouse et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2002; Baulcombe et al., 1999). In addition, VIGS has the advantage that entire cDNA libraries can be cloned in the viral vector instead of individual genes. However, VIGS-mediated phenotypes are transient and an appropriate viral vector that can infect and cause gene silencing in a desired plant is a requirement. Furthermore, VIGS is not suitable for all functional genomics application. Many viruses are excluded from tissues such as meristems and seeds and so it may be difficult to develop a system which is applicable to the genes associated with all traits of importance. In the cases, RNAi or dsRNA induced gene silencing would be more applicable even if lower throughput.

1. 5. 3. Insertional mutagenesis

Inserional mutagenesis is a tractable genetic system in which a known DNA sequence (T-DNA or transposon) is used to mutate and tag the genome, with the convenience of looking for the mutated site using the tag as an identifier. Once a mutant is identified by its phenotype, it is relatively simple to clone the gene using PCR-based techniques. These insertional mutagenesis populations can be used for forward and reverse genetics and the testing of specific hypotheses about the function of a gene.

In many plant species insertional mutagenesis has been used to generate knockout mutations (Parino and Sundaresan, 2000). T-DNA (Azpiroz-Leehan et al., 1997 and Krysan et al., 1999) as well as transposons (Ramachanddran and Sundaresan, 2001) have successfully been used as insertional mutagens in plants. In Arabidopsis, efforts by various laboratories from the public and private sector have developed large insertion populations resulting in a high degree of saturation of the genome with independent insertions (Alonso et al., 2003, Sessions et al., 2002). In rice, a large numbers of independent insertion lines have been produced with different insertional mutagens. In these transgenic lines the insertion sites are being systematically determined in order to identify tagged genes (An et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003). These resources in Arabidopsis and rice provide the opportunity for barley researchers to address the function of orthologous genes. However, there are situations, where a clear candidate orthologue cannot be identified in rice or, where rice is not a suitable model for a barley trait. Therefore, it is desireable to establish systems for insertional mutagenesis in barley. Currently T-DNA and transposon insertional mutagenesis were used to generate largescale mutagegenesis population in plants as described as following:

T-DNA insertion mutagenesis

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation is a common approach to generate transgenic plants. T-DNA is not known to insert in the genome of plants with site specificity, but it has often been reported that T-DNA shows a preference for insertion in or near gene containing regions of the genome (Barakat et al., 2000). Therefore, it should be to

saturate the genic regions of the genome with TDNA insertions (Azpiroz-Leehan and Feldmann, 1997). In plant systems with high transformation efficiency large plant populations containing numerous independent T-DNA insertions can quickly be generated.

Large collections of T-DNA insertion lines have been generated in *Arabidopsis* (Campisi et al., 1999; Krysan et al., 1999; Weigel et al., 2000). Similar systems exist in rice where Jeon et al., (2000) have generated 22,090 transgenic rice plants with T-DNA insertions with an average of 1.4 loci per transgenic line. Systematic efforts are now underway to use these collections for forward and reverse genetic screens to identify insertions in genes of interest (Winkler et al., 1998; Krysan et al., 1999, An et al., 2003). To date, no successful T-DNA insertional mutagenesis has been reported for barley.

The most significant advantage of T-DNA tagging is the local stability of the insert. While other molecular tags such as transposable elements have to be stabilized in order to avoid further transpositions of the elements and possible reversions and footprints of initially tagged genes, T-DNA insertions remain in most cases after the integration process in the same chromosomal position. However, T-DNA insertions are often complex generating TDNA repeats in direct or inverted orientations, with occasional rearrangements of adjacent chromosomal DNA and deletions at the target site sequences (Mayerhofer et al., 1991; Ohba et al., 1995; Nacry et al., 1998; Laufs et al., 1999; Sessions et al., 2002). Multiple insertion may occur both in multiple copies per locus and in multiple loci (Bechtold et al., 1993; Lindsey et al., 1993). These characteristics of T DNA insertions frequently impede the isolation of T-DNA flanking genomic DNA.

In general, the use of T-DNA for insertional mutagenesis is limited to plant species which can readily be transformed by *Agrobacterium* in large numbers, while it may not be feasible in those plant species where the transformation methods are slow or labor intensive such as in barley. Until recently, the relatively low efficiency of *Agrobacterium*-mediated barley transformation, the large genome of barley as well as the lack of detailed knowledge about preferred T-DNA insertion sites, T-DNA tagging in barley appeared to be not feasible in the short term. Therefore, the development of large

collections of T-DNA insertion lines with a critically high number of independent insertions was not a favored approach in the barley research community. Alternatively, large numbers of knockout lines can be generated in barley using transposable elements after mobilization from relatively few T-DNA launch-pads.

Transposon insertion mutagenesis

Transposoable elements are mobile genomic DNA sequences that can modify their number and/or their location within a host genome. Transposoable elements are widely distributed in plants (Kumar and Bennetzen, 1999) and generally fall into two broad classes according to their transposition intermediated and their known or supposed transposition mechanisms (for review, see Capy et al, 1998), namely, class I (retrotransposons) that transpose through an RNA intermediate generated by reverse transcription and class II (DNA-based transposons) elements that transpose through DNA-cut and-paste type mechanisms. Only a few plants, such as maize, petunia, and snapgragon (*Antirhinum majus*), have well-characterized endogenous transposons called Ac/Ds, En/Spm, Mu, Tam, and pTph1.

After the first discovery of transposable elements in maize by Barbara McClintock in the first forties of the last century (McClintock, 1950), the detailed analyses of their transpositional behavior and the cloning of these mobile elements has resulted in the isolation of numerous genes of the transposons natural hosts (Bruce et al., 2003).

Transposons in heterologous systems

The demonstration that maize transposable element Activator (Ac) and Dissociation (Ds) can be active in a heterologous system of transgenic tobacco (Nicotiana tobaccum) (Baker et al.,1986; 1987) laid the foundation for the use of transposon tagging to isolated and characterize genes from plants. Since then, the maize Ac/Ds elements have been shown to transpose actively and been exploited for tagging studies in a number of heterologous species (Table 2). Similarly the maize transposable elements Enhancer/Suppressor-mutator (En/Spm) have been used successfully in tobacco, potato

and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Table 2.). In addition to maize transposable elements, the *Arabidopsis* transposon *Tag1* has been shown to be active in tobacco and in rice.

Plant of origin	Transposon type	Activity in heterologous plants	Regerences
Maize	Ac/Ds	Arabidopsis	Bancroft et al., 1993
		Barley	Koprek et al., 2000, 2001;
			Scholtz et al., 2001
		Carrot	Van Sluys et al., 1987
		Flax	Finnegan et al., 1993;
			Lawrence et al., 1995
		Lettuce	Yang et al., 1993
		Petunia	Robbins et al., 1994
		Potato	Knapp et al., 1988
		Rice	Izawa et al., 1997;
		Tobacco	Baker et al.,1986, 1987;
			Fitzmaurice et al., 1999
		Tomato	Jones et al., 1994; Meissner
			et al., 2000
Maize	dSpm/En	Arabidopsis	Aarts et al., 1993
		Potato	Frey et al., 1989
Anglilancia	T 1	Tobacco	Periera et al., 1989
Arabidopsis	Tagi	Kice	Liu et al., 1999

Table 2. Transposons activity in heterologous plants.

Transposon based gene tagging in heterologous systems has several drawbacks. The introduction of these elements often results in multiple copies causing the same difficulties as multiple T-DNA insertions. If the transposons are autonomous such as wildtype Ac or En elements the insertions are unstable and may result in reversions or footprints in tagged genes leading to mutant phenotypes without a molecular tag in the mutated gene. Furthermore, some transposons such as En/Spm transpose via a replication mode resulting in increasing numbers of active transposons (Aarts et al., 1995; Greco et al., 2004). These may cause a multitude of mutant phenotypes but are very difficult to track. In contrast, Ac/Ds transposons from maize transpose with a cut and paste mechanism which keeps the number of active transposons in the genome relatively stable (Bancroft e al., 1992). Transposon-tagging systems based on a maize Ac/Ds system

contain often a large proportion of insertion lines with single transposed elements (Sundaresan et al., 1995; Martienssen et al., 1998). Even though this system requires many plants for a complete genome coverage it is easier to analyze and isolate unique insertion sites.

However, the use of Ac/Ds for random saturation mutagenesis has a serious disadvantage due to the strong tendency of Ds to transpose to closely linked sites. In principle, this limitation can be overcome by using a large scale starter lines. Alternatively, in the Ac/Ds system, the propensity of Ds elements to transpose to linked sites was overcome by selection against the donor site using a selection marker GFP in the Ds construct (Kolesnik et al., 2004). In the modified Spm/En system designed by Tissier et al. (1999), the Spm transposase and the mobile dSpm elements are contained within the same T DNA transformed into A. *thaliana*. This system eliminates the need for crossing, and also reduces the number of progeny required for the selection, as the negative selection is applied against only a single locus as opposed to two loci when the transposase is introduced separately. However, the maintenance of starter lines becomes problematic, as the dSpm elements will continuously transpose in the presence of the Spm transposase, so that this system requires a relatively large number of independent starter lines obtained through transformation.

In order to obtain stable insertions, an optimal strategy usually employs a two-component system. In a typical two-component system, a defective transposon is mobilized by a transposase source supplied either in *cis* (on the same vector) or in *trans* (on a different vector by co-transformation or cross). The lines carrying stable insertions can be obtained subsequently by segregation of the transposase source. The transposase itself consist of an immobilized autonomous element, which is deprived of the *cis*-requirements for transposition and is usually driven by a constitutive promoter. Selectable markers such as antibiotic resistance or herbicide resistance markers can be engineered in the non-autonomous elements to select for the presence of transposed elements. In order to monitor the transposition events, the non-autonomous transposon can be inserted between

a promoter and the marker gene so that excision results in expression of the selectable marker gene.

In recent years Koprek et al. (2000; 2001) showed the mobility and dispersion of *Ds* elements from maize in barley and Scholtz et al. (2001) demonstrated the activity and transposition of maize *Ac* elements in barley. A number of confirmed independent single-copy *Ds* containing transgenic barley lines are available in the assembled collection at MPIZ (Koprek et al., 2004). Recently, 19 mapped *Ds* inserted lines in barley were released for public use (Cooper et al., 2004). Determination of the genetic location of the *Ds* insertions will lead to maximum utility of these lines since it will allow for targeting genetically linked genes.

Transposon mediated targeted gene tagging

Most transposable elements, including Ac/Ds and En/Spm, have a tendency to preferentially transpose to genetically linked sites (Bancroft et al., 1993; James et al., 1995; Jones et al., 1990). This feature can be advantageous for directed tagging of a specific target gene or for performing regional insertion mutagenesis in a selected region of a chromosome when a transposable element is inserted close to the target gene or within the derived chromosomal region (Ito et al., 1999; Jones et al., 1994; Seki et al., 1999). For instance, Ac/Ds transposons and cDNA scanning methods were used together to perform regional insertional mutagenesis on genes from CIC7E11/8B11 and 5CIC5F11/CIC2B9 loci on *A. thaliana* chromosome V (Ito et al., 1999; Seki et al., 1999). This allows cloning of cDNAs from a small region in the genome. The flanking sequences of insertions showed that 14–20% of the transpositions were located in about 1 Mb of genomic DNA surrounding the *Ds* donor sites.

The maize Ac/Ds transposable elements have been used successfully for insertional mutagenesis in tomato and a number of tomato genes have been isolated by transposon tagging with Ac/Ds. In most cases, these genes were tagged by targeted tagging taking advantage of the preferential transposition of Ac/Ds to nearby sites (Carroll et al., 1995., Healy et al., 1993) and of the linkage between the target and previously mapped Ds

elements (Knapp et al., 1994; Thomas et al., 1994). These include the *Cf-9* (Jones et al., 1994) and *Cf-4* loci (Takken, 1998), which control resistance to various races of *Cladosporium fulvum*; *Dwarf*, a gene encoding a cytochrome p450 homologue (Bishop et al., 1996); *defective chloroplasts and leaves* (*DCL*), which controls chloroplast development (Keddie et al., 1996); and *FEEBLY*, a gene involved in metabolism and development (van der Biezen, et al., 1996). There has been a concerted effort by European groups to map a large number of *Ds* elements in the tomato genome in order to facilitate and improve targeted tagging in tomato. The European Union-funded TAGAMAP project has completed the mapping of 140 *Ds*-containing T-DNA inserts (Gidoni et al., 2003). These inserts are distributed throughout the 12 chromosomes of tomato, allowing targeted transposon tagging within defined regions linked to the T-DNA insertion site.

Barley plant is one of the best investigated crop plants. Currently, many genes controlling the expression of morphological makers, disease resistance, and abiotic stress tolerances have been mapped in barley chromosomes. Based on Ac/Ds preferential transposition of genetically linked sites, using Ac/Ds system allows the targeting of a particular gene of interest with a high frequency of Ds insertions if a linked starting point is available. Therefore, transposon mediated targeted gene tagging strategy may provide a potentially powerful tool for gene isolation in barley.

Activation tagging and Gene traps

Transposon and T-DNA tagging represent important tools for a variety of gene discovery programs within plant biology. However, conventional insertional mutagenesis usually generates recessive loss-of function mutations, which can only be studied when the mutated gene is homozygous. Moreover, they are unsuitable for functional analysis of redundant genes. Different approaches have been devised to solve these problems. The most frequently used methods are activation tagging, which creates over-expression mutants and gene trap tagging resulting in expression of reporter genes which are inserted in or near gene regulatory elements.

Activation tagging

Activation tagging is used to increase the expression level or to ectopically express a gene which then provides a dominant gain-of function mutation that produces informative mutant phenotypes. The Activation tagging strategy offers the possibility to produce mutants as a consequence of increased or expanded expression of a tagged gene. Activation tagging employs a powerful enhancer in either T-DNA or a transposon resulting in ectopic expression or over-expression of nearby genes through transcriptional activation. Populations of randomly inserted lines can be subjected to either forward or reverse genetics screens for phenotypes resulting from this mis-expression. The first successful activation tagging in plants was reported by Hayashi et al. (1992). Their system uses T-DNA vectors that contain tetrameric CaMV 35S transcriptional enhancers adjacent to the right T-DNA border. From the T-DNA activation tagging pool of Arabidopsis, Weigel et al. (2000) have characterized over 30 dominant mutants with various phenotypes, Analysis of a subset of the mutants has shown that the tagging vector causes over-expression of the gene immediately adjacent to the inserted enhancer. A number of novel alleles and genes which fulfill important functions in plant development, metabolism and environmental interactions have been discovered using activation tagging in Arabidopsis (Kardailsky et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2001; Li et al., 2002; Borevitz et al., 2000; Xia et al., 2004). To date, activation tagging has predominantly been applied to gene discovery programs in Arabidopsis, however, this technology is now being deployed in an increasing number of diverse plant species. A high-throughput activation tagging program has recently been developed for tomato to identify key regulators of metabolic pathways (Mathews et al., 2003). For example, activation tagging in tomato identified the ANTI gene, a transcriptional regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis, modification and transport. Recently, activation tagging pools for rice have been generated using a binary vector which carries the tetramerized 35S enhancers and a promoterless GUS reporter gene for gene-trapping (Jeong et al., 2002). This provided a platform for the discovery of genes via either activation tagging or by virtue of their developmental or environmental expression pattern.

A limitation of this approach is that the observed frequency of dominant mutations through activation tagging has been significantly lower than that of recessive mutations arising from insertional inactivation (Weigel et al., 2000), suggesting that many genes may be over-expressed without observable phenotypes. Current activation tagging technology is therefore unlikely to identify every gene by its potential over-expression phenotype. The presence of insulator sequences, which protect genes from the effects of adjacent enhancers or silencers may explain this phenomenon (Weigel et al., 2000; Jeong et al., 2002). These sequences may be ubiquitous in the gene-rich genomes of *Arabidopsis* and rice. Alternatively, the CaMV 35S enhancers could activate only a subset of adjacent genes due to promoter preference or promoter selectivity; similar mechanisms of action have been well documented in other systems (Ohtsuki et al., 1998). In this case, the application of an alternative enhancer sequence may generate a different spectrum of activation tagged genes. Nevertheless, activation tagging has proven to be a valuable complementary approach for the identification of gene functions.

Enhancer and gene trap elements

Insertional mutagenesis by transposon tagging is useful when disruption of a gene results in an obvious mutant phenotype. However, in eukaryotic systems, disruption of genes frequently can not lead to visible phenotypes due to functional gene redundancy, or they may result in early lethality that obscures late-acting functions when the same gene has multiple functions in development. These difficulties can be circumvented by modified transposons called enhancer trap, promoter trap and gene trap (Springer, 2000; Kumar, et al., 1998; Sundaresan, 1996). The enhancer traps contain a reporter gene, such as β glucuronidase (*GUS*) or green fluorescent protein (*GFP*) by a minimal or weak promoter in the dependent transposable element. The reporter gene expression is achieved by an endogenous enhancer sequence of a neighboring gene. Although enhancer trapping generates a higher frequency of *in-situ* reporter gene activation, promoter trapping and gene trapping have an additional feature that generates loss-of function mutations. In the promoter trap system the transposable element contains a promoterless reporter gene, which is only expressed when it is inserted into an exon and forms a translational fusion with an endogenous gene (Topping et al., 1997). In contrast, gene trap vectors are designed to contain an intron with one or more splice donor and accepter sequences in front of a reporter gene (Sundaresan, 1995). This allows splicing from the donor site in the disrupted gene to the reporter gene, resulting in a fusion transcript of upstream exon sequences to the reporter gene. Therefore, the reporter gene can be expressed regardless of insert position (exon, intron, or UTR).

Activation of the reporter gene in a promoter trap vector can be as high as 30% (Sundaresan et al., 1996). In rice, at least 5% of the T-DNA and 10 % of the *Ds* elements become activated in various tissues (Chin et al., 1999; Joen et al., 2000). An enhancer trap system has been developed for rice (Greco et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2003). The modified enhancer system uses the yeast transcription factor GAL4 fused to activation domain of VP16 (Herpes simplex virus protein 16) (Triezenberg et al., 1988). This GAL4/VP16 system has been applied to construction of enhancer trap lines in rice (Wu et al., 2003).

1. 6. Identification of insertional mutants

Insertional mutagenesis, with transposable elements or TDNA insertions, can generate mutants and leads directly to gene identification. The insertion may cause a knockout mutation and might display a mutant phenotype. The mutant gene which is tagged by the insertion can be isolated by recovering DNA flanking the insert and subsequently lead to the isolation of the wild-type gene without additional knowledge about gene or protein sequences. Since genome sequencing projects for various plant species are progressing rapidly, more and more sequences encoding predicted genes are available in public databases. Reverse genetics strategies will be of great importance for the purpose of assigning functions to predicted genes. Gene disruption by transposons or T-DNA insertions constitutes a powerful tool for reverse genetics.

Reverse genetic is used to determine the function of a gene for which the sequence is known, by generating and analyzing the phenotype of the corresponding knockout mutant. If the gene sequence is known and the biological function of that gene is unknown, a knockout mutant can be generated and analyzed to determine its function.

In order to perform reverse genetic screens efficiently, it is necessary to generate a large population of transposon tagged mutants. The number of lines to be screened is dependent on the genome size and the number of genes of a given plant species and the type and copy number of transposon used.

To facilitate the screen for an insertion in a particular gene, a PCR-based strategy has been applied in isolation of tagged genes. In this approach, a gene-specific primer and an insertion-specific primer are used for PCR amplification. Several insertion lines are pooled together and the DNA extracted is then used as a template for PCR reactions. Samples of 20 to 100 insertion lines are pooled to extract genomic DNA, and a genespecific primer and an insertion-specific primer were used for PCR (Merssner et al., 1999; Tissier et al., 1999). Any pool showing a positive signal is re-screened using DNA from individual lines, to identify the line carrying the insertion of interest. Several pools can be combined to form a super pool if the number of insertion lines in the population is very high (Tissier et al., 1999). This method is advantageous as it requires less amplification reactions, and directly identifies the single plant with an insertion in the gene of interest.

An alternative approach to identify tagged genes in a mutant population involves random amplification of the DNA flanking the insertions. This strategy is particularly useful when substantial genome sequence information is available, as in the case of *A. thaliana* and rice. Several different methods have been developed for the PCR based isolation of genome regions flanking the insertions. A protocol such as inverse PCR (iPCR) (Ochman et al., 1988), adaptor-ligation PCR (Meza et al., 2002) or thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR (Liu et al., 1995) can be used to amplify and sequence the flanking region of singleor low-copy insertion lines. With an appropriately constructed database of such flanking sequences, it will be feasible to identify insertions in a particular gene by simple computer searches, eliminating the necessity for the more tedious pooling and hybridization protocols. In addition, even though no 'hit' is found, the availability of a transposon insertion close to a gene of interest can be determined by a computer search or mapping the insertions, and then the gene can be tagged by re-mobilizing the transposon after crossing in the relevant transposase. As described previously, transposons from the Ac/Ds and En/Spm families are very useful for mutagenesis of closely linked genes, and a collection of sequenced insertions provides launch pads for tagging most of the genes within the genome.

1. 7. Analysis of insertional mutants

Once a knockout in a given gene has been identified through reverse genetics strategies among the population of insertional mutants, it becomes necessary to functionally characterize the mutant. The first step in the characterization process is to obtain a mutant that has a single insertion in the gene of interest. If a two-component transposable element system (for example Ac/Ds) has been used in generating a population of insertion mutants, the subsequent isolation of a single insertion line is rather straight forward. On the other hand, if multi-copy transposable elements are used to perform the reverse genetics screen, then it is necessary to do several out-crosses to assure that only a single insertion is in the gene.

The next step in the characterization is the identification of phenotypes caused by the gene knockout. If there is a detectable phenotype caused by the single stable insertion the gene function may be deduced through detailed analysis of the phenotype. However, there are many instances, where a gene knockout does not show an observable phenotype. This could be result from functional redundancies with other genes or the expression of the knockout gene only under specific conditions, such as pathogen infection or environmental stresses.

For mutations in those genes it is necessary to expose the plants to conditions in which the gene is required or expressed in order to detect a phenotype. In addition, the generation of double or triple mutants of functionally redundant genes will uncover the phenotype and permit the characterization of their functions. Since the genome-sequences of *A. thaliana* and rice have been released, it is feasible to identify all closely related members of a gene family in these species. Together with computational analyses of flanking sequence databases, it will soon be possible to construct combinations of mutants to reveal novel functions that have gone undetected using the current genetics methodologies.

1.8. Prospects

In the post-genomics era, one of the most challenging works now is to examine the function of a large number of plant genes. Significant progress in the field of cereal genomics has already been made in almost all cereals. During the last five years, a large amount of sequence data have been generated from many genome/EST sequencing projects in cereals including barley. Available sequence data are being already utilized for a variety of purposes, including annotation of these genomic sequences. An important area of research in the field of functional genomics in cereal plants is the study of expression pattern in time and space. Transposable element promises to be an ideal tool for large-scale insertional mutagenesis in barley. A large population of insertional mutants generated by transposon mutagenesis can be used to dissect gene function in barley. However, it is would be unrealistic for assume that transposon tagging alone will be sufficient for saturation mutagenesis. A comprehensive functional genomics approach in barley will require transposon tagging to be complemented with other systems, including map-based cloning, activation tagging, promoter and enhancer trapping, TILLING and RNA-induced gene silencing as well as combination with the information across EST and genomic sequences from a variety of sources.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Abbreviations

Ac	Activator
Amp	ampicillin
APS	ammonium persulphate
BAP	6-benzy-laminopurine
bp	base pair(s)
BSA	Bovine Serium Albumin
dATP	deoxyadenosinetriphosphate
dCTP	deoxycytosinetriphosphate
dGTP	deoxyguanosinetriphosphate
dTTP	deoxythymidinetriphosphate
Dicamba	2-methoxy-3,6-dichorobenzoic acid
DNA	deoxyribonulcleic acid
DNase	deoxyribonulclease
DH	double hapliod
Ds	Dissociation
2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid
EDTA	ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
EtOH	ethanol
EtBr	ethidium bromide
E. coli	Escherichia coli
IPTG	isopropylthio-ß-o-galactopyranoside
kb	kilo base (s)
LB	left border of T-DNA
MDE	mutation detection enhancement
MS	Murashige &Skoog
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
RNA	ribonucleic acid

RB	right border of T-DNA
RNase	ribonulclease
PVP	polyvinyl pyrrolidone
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
SSCP	single strain conformation polymorphisim
T-DNA	transfer DNA
TEMED	tetrametylethylenediamine
TAE	tris acetic acid EDTA
TBE	tris borate ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

2.2. Materials

2. 2. 1. Plant material

2. 2. 1. 1. Donor plants

The spring barley plants (*Hordeum vulgare* L. cv. Golden promise) was used for transformation

2. 2. 1. 2. Barley mapping populations

<u>Barley DH mapping populations:</u>
Igri x Franka (Graner et al., 1991))
Morex x Steptoe (Kleinhofs et al. 1993)
Oregon Wolfe Barley (OWB) Dom x Rec (Costa et al., 2001)
Galleon x Haruna Nijo (Karakousis et al., 2003)
Chebec x Harrington (Barr et al., 2003)
Clipper x Sahara (Karakousis et al., 2003)
Sloop x Halcyon (Read et al., 2003)
Whear-barley addition lines (Islam AKMR et al., 1981)
cv. Chinese Spring wheat carried additional cv. Betzes barley chromosome 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 6H, 7H, respectively.

All materials described as above were kindly provided by Drs. Graner and Börner (IPK, Gatersleben, Germany)

Unless otherwise stated these mapping population were used for genetically mapping of T-DNA as well as Ds insertions.

2. 2. 1. 3. Ac transposase expressing lines

The *Ac* TPase expressing lines were previously developed using a vector containing *Ac* TPase gene, under control of an *Ac* transposase promoter and the *nos* terminator (Koprek et al., 1999, 2000).

2. 2. 2. Bacterial strains

E. coli strain DH5a (Invitrogen) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain AGL1(Lazo et al., 1991)

2. 2. 3 Cloning vectors

pWBVec8+A (Wang et al., 1998) pPSDs-Ubi-Bar-Ds (Koprek et al., 2000) pDM302 (Cao et al., 1992) Rice-Actin1 promoter ::*Bar*::*nos*-terminator pBlue+A (MPIZ) pUbiGus (Ye, et al., 1997) Maize-Ubiqutin1-promoter::*GUS*: CaMV35S-terminator pUC-codA-Act- AcAc (Koprek, et al.,1999) Maize-putative Ac promoter:: *Ac*: *nos* terminator

2. 2. 4 Antibiotics

Ampincillin (1000x):	100mg/ml in H2O
Kanamycin (1000x):	50mg/ml in H2O
Rifampicin (1000x):	50mg/ml in DMSO
Spectinomycin (1000x):	100mg/ml in H2O
Stock solultion stored at -20°C	

2. 2. 5. Media

<u>LB (Lauria Broth)</u>	
Tryptone peptone	1%
Yeast extract	0.5%
NaCl	0.5%
pH	7.0

<u>MG/L medium</u>

Tryptone peptone	5.0g/l
Mannitol	5.0g/l
L-glutamin acid	1.0g/l
Yeast extract	2.5g/l
NaCl	100mg/l
MgSO4	100mg/l
Biotin	10µl (0.1mg/ml stock)
рН	7.0
Agar	15g/l

supplemented with 50mg/l rifampicin, 50mg/l kanamycin, and 150µmol/l acetosyringone After autoclaving the medium was cooled to 50°C, then antibiotics was add to the required concentration.

Barley callus induction medium(BCIM)

plant salt base	4.3g/l
ose	30g/l
in hydrolysate	10g/l
-inositol	350mg/l
ne	650mg/l
nine HCl	1.0mg/l
umba	2.5mg/l
	5.8
agel	3.5g/l
in hydrolysate - inositol ine nine HCl umba	10g/ 350mg/ 650mg/ 1.0mg/ 2.5mg/ 5.8 3.5g/

165mg/l

Barley shoot induction medium (BSIM)

MS plant salt base but without NH4NO3	2.7g/l
maltose	20g/1
NH4NO3	
Glutamine	750mg/l
myo-inositol	100mg/l
thiamine HCl	0.4mg/l
2-4 D	2.5mg/l
BAP	0.1mg/l
pH	5.8
Phytagel	3.5g/l

Barley shoot regeneration medium (BSRM)

MS plant salt base but without NH4NO3	2.7g/l	
Maltose	20g/l	
NH4NO3	165mg/l	
Glutamine	750mg/l	
myo-inositol	100mg/l	
thiamine HCl	0.4mg/l	
pH	5.8	
Phytagel	3.5 g/l	

2. 2. 6. Chemical and Enzymes

Laboratory regents were obtained from Fluka, Merck, Roth, Serva, and Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated.

Restriction enzymes were purchase from New England BioLabs, Invitrogen, and with the 10X buffer supplied unless otherwise stated.

Nucleic acid modifying enzymes

Standard PCR reactions were performed using home-made *Taq* DNA polymerase and/or red *Taq* DNA polymerase from Bioline for normal PCR reactions. Modifying enzymes were listed below and purchased from various sources:

Taq DNA polymerase	Home-made		
	Bioline		
T4 DNA ligase	Invitrogene		
Sprimp alkaline phosphatase	Roche		

2. 2. 7. Buffers and Solutions

General buffers and solutions

Acetosyringone 100mM in DMSO

3M Sodium acetate

NaC2H3O2·3H2O

408g

Dissolve sodium acetate trihydrate in 800 ml H2O, adjust pH to 4.8 with 3M acetic acid, add H2O to 1000 ml, autoclaved

TE (Tris/EDTA) buffer 10mM Tris/HCl (pH8.0) 1mM EDTA (pH8.0) in distilled water

EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid)-stock (0.5 M, pH 8.0)

Na2EDTA186.1gH2O1000mlDissolve 186.1g Na2EDTA in 700ml water, adjust pH to 8.0 with10 M HaOH,add water up to 1 1.

SDS (sodium docdecyl sulfate or sodium lauryl sulfate) (10%, w/v)

SDS							100g	
H2O							1	000ml
01.1.1.1		1		C 11	1.	1	.1	1

Slightly heat may be necessary to fully dissolve the powder

IPTG stock (0.1M)

1.2g IPTG add water to 50ml final volume, filter-sterilize and store at 20°C

X-gal (20mg/ml in DMF) Dissolve 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoly-β-D-galactopyranosid in N, N-Dimethylformamide and store at -20°C.

Ethidium bromide stock (10 mg/ml)	
Ethidium bromide	0.2g
H2O	20ml
Stored at 4°C in dark or in a foil-wrapped bottle.	

Denhardts solution (X100)

2% (W/V) BSA, 2% (W/V) Ficoll 400, 2% (W/V) PVP360

20xSSC 3 M NaCl, 300 mM sodium citrate

20xSSPE 200mM disodium hydrogen phosphate, 20mM sodium dihydrogen phosphate, 3.6M NaCl, 20mM EDTA pH8.0

TAE buffer (50x)

Tris base

242g

Na2 EDTA·2H2O	8.6g
Add 800 ml of distilled water. Mix todiss	olve. Adjust to
pH 8 with glacial acetic acid (~57 ml7l). r	nake up to final volume
1000 ml.	
TBE buffer (10x)	
Tris base	108g
boric acid	55g
0.5M Na2 EDTA (pH8.0)	40ml
H2O	960ml
DNA gel loading buffer (6x)	
Bromphenol blue	0.25%
Xylence cyanol FF	0.25%
Ficoll 400	15%
Stored at 4°C	
DNA gel loading buffer for SSCP analysis	

99% (v/v)
0.05% (w/v)
0.05% (w/v)
10mM
MDE gel solution

MDE (Cambrex Bio Science Rockland, Inc.)	5 %
TBE	0.6x
APS	.5 %
TEMED	0.0625%
Glycerol	5%
H2O	5 %

DNA extraction buffer

Urea	7M
NaCl	0.35M
Tris/HCl	50mM (pH 8.0)
EDTA	20M
Na-lauroyl-sarcosinate	10g
H2O	up to 1000ml

2. 2. 8. Oligonucleotides

Listed following oligonucleotides (5' to 3') were synthesized by Invirogen and Metabion

Adaptors

AD1	CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTCGAGCGCCGCCCGGGGGAGCT
AD2	P-ACCTCCCC-NH2

Adaptor specific primers

ADP1	GTAATACGACTCACTTATAGGGC
ADP2	ACT ATA GGG CAC GCG TGG T

Amplification of *Bar* gene

BarF	TGCACCATCGTCAACCACTA
BarR	ACAGCGACCACGCTCTTGAA

Amplification of *HPT* gene

HPTF	GCGAAGGGTCTCGTGCTTTC
HPTR	GATGTTGGCGACCTCGTATT

Amplification of *Ac* TPase gene

AcF	TCTTCCACTCCTCGGCTTTA
AcR	ACCTTGGTTGCAAAGGATGG

Amplification of genomic DNA flanking *Ds*3 regions by IPCR

Ds3F1	CATCCTGAAATTGCGTGGCGG
Ds3F2	ATTCCTTTCCCACCGCTCCTTCGC
Ds3F3	ACCTCGTGTTGTTCGGAGCGCAC
Ds3R1	CGACCGGATCGTATCGGTTTTCG
Ds3R2	CGATTACCGTATTTATCCCGTTCG
Ds3R3	GACCGTTACCGACCGTTTTCATCC

Amplification of genomic DNA flanking *Ds5* regions by IPCR

Ds5F1	ACCTCGGGTTCGAAATCGATCG
Ds5F2	ATCGGTTATACGATAACGGTCGG
Ds5R1	CGGAAACGGGATATACAAAACGG
Ds5R2	CGGAAACGGTAGAGCTAGTTTCC

Amplification of genomic DNA flanking Ds3 regions by adaptor-ligation PCR

Ds3R1-ALP	GAT TTC GAC TT ACC CCG ACC GGA TCG
Ds3R2-ALP	GACTTTACCCCGAC CGGATCGTATCGG
Ds3R3-ALP	GTT ACC GGT ATA TCC CGT TTT CGT TTC CG

Amplification of genomic DN	NA flanking Ds5 regions by adaptor -ligation PCR
Ds5F1-ALP	GTG AAA CGG TCG GGA AAC TAG CTC TAC CG
Ds5F2-ALP	CCGTTTACCGTTTTGTATATCCCGTTTCCG
Ds5F3-ALP	ACG ATA ACG GTC GGT ACG GGA TTT TCC

Amplification of genomic DN	NA flanking RB by adaptor-ligation PCR
RBR1	CTTAGGCGACTTTTGAACGC
RBR2	CGCAATAATGGTTTCTGACH
RBR3	GTCAGTTCCAAACGTAAAACG

Amplification of genomic DN	IA flanking LB by adaptor-ligation PCR
BarF1-LB	AGTCGACCGTGTACGTCTCC
BarF2-LB	CTCTACACCCACCTGCTGAAG

Amplification of empty donor site of *Ds* transposition in transgenic plants transformed with pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-bar

Ubi-PF	GCTTGGTTGTGATGATGTGG
BarR	TCTGGGCTCATGGTAGTACC

Amplification of empty donor site of *Ds* transposition in transgenic plants transformed with pWBV-Ds-Ubi-bar

35SF	CATCTTGAACGATAGCCTTTCC
LBR	TGCGGACGTTTTTAATGTACTG

2. 3. Methods

2. 3. 1. Growth of donor plant material for barley transformation

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. cv. Golden Promise) was grown in growth chambers at 15-16°C/12°C day/night temperature with a 16h photoperiod (cool white 215W, Silwana) and 8h dark period at an average relative humidity of about 50%. Plants were fertilized once per week with NPK (20-20-20).

2. 3. 2. Vector construction

All modification and constructions of vectors for transformation and all intermediates were carried our according to standard protocols (Sambrook et al., 1989) and according to the instructions of the manufactures of restriction and modifying enzymes.

2. 3. 2. 1 Construction of pWBV-Ds-Ubi-bar-Ds

The *Hind*III and *Cla*I fragment (blunted) of pSPDs-Ubi-bar-Ds (Koprek et al., 2000), which contains *Ds* elements carrying a bar gene under control of maize ubiquitin 1 promoter, was ligated to the *Not* I digested (blunted) pWBVec8+A to result in construct pWBV-Ds-Ubi-bar-Ds.

2. 3. 2. 2. Construction of pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar

For cloning I-*Sce*I restriction site into the vector bone and *Ds* element, two oligonucloetid (5'-GGCCGC<u>TAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT</u>GC-3', 5'-GGCCGC<u>ATT</u><u>ACCCTGTTATCCTCA</u>GC-3') were annealed in 1x PCR buffer at 94°C for 5 min and cold down at room temperature. The *I-Sce*I sequences was inserted into *Not*I sites of pSPDs-Ubi-bar-Ds (after removal of Ubi-bar cassette with *Not*I) and pWBVec8+A to generate pSPDsI and pWBVI, respectively. The *Hind* III and *EcoR*V digested fragment (blunted) of pSPDsI containing *Ds*I element was ligated to *Hind* III (blunted) site of pDM302 to generate pDM-*Ds*I-bar-nos in which the *Hind*III/*Hind*III (blunted) site was converted to a *Nhe*I site. The *Ds*I-bar fragment (blunted) was isolated from pDM-DsI-bar-nos as a *Nhe*I and *Xba*I fragment was ligated to *BamH*I digested (blunted) pUbiGUS to generate pUBi-*Ds*I-bar. The *Hind* III cassette of pUBi-*Ds*I-bar, which contains ubiqutin 1 promoter fused to *Ds*I-bar -35 teminator, was ligated to *Hind* III site of pWBVI to generate PWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar.

2. 3. 2. 3. Construct of pWBV-Ac-AcPTase

The *Pst*I fragment of pUC-codA-Act-Ac-Ac, which contains *Ac* TPase under control of putative *Ac* promoter, was ligated to the *Pst*I site of pBlue+AN to result in pBlue-Ac-Ac.

The *AscI* and *NotI* cassette from pBlue-Ac-Ac was inserted into the *AscI* and *NotI* restriction site of pWBV+8A to generate pWBV-Ac-Ac.

2. 3. 3. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation

2. 3. 3. 1. Preparation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain

The binary vector pWBV-*Ds*-Ubi-bar-Ds and PWBVI-Ubi-*Ds*I-Bar and pWBV-Ac-Ac were introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain AGL1 (Lazo et al., 1991) by electoporation. AGL1 inoculum was prepared from a single colony and grown overnight on a shaker (150 rpm) at 28C in 5ml MG/L medium supplemented with 50mg/L rifampicin, 50mg/L kanamycin. Four or five hours before inoculation, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in 5ml MG/L medium (pH5.2) supplemented with 50mg/L rifampicin, 50mg/L kanamycin, and 150 μ mol/L acetosyringone. Before inoculation, the OD600 of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cell should be between 1.4 and 1.7. They were transferred to barley callus induction medium supplemented with 150 μ mol/L acetosyringone.

2. 3. 3. 2. Isolation of barley immature embryos and transformation

Spikes of barley were harvested when the immature embryos were between 1.5-2.5 mm in length, approximately 14 days post-anthesis. Surface sterilization of developing caryopses was carried out while stirring caryopses in 70% (v/v) ethanol for 1-2 min, followed by 15 min in sodium hypochlorite (0.6% to 1.4%; v/v) with a few droplets of tween 20 and with 35 rinses in sterile water for 10 min each. Immature embryos were excised from the caryopses and the embryonic was axis removed with a scalpel blade. Tissue explants were placed scutellum side up on callus induction medium (BCI) and incubate in the dark at 24°C during co-cultivation and subsequent periods of culture. For transformation 40 immature embryos are placed in the middle of BCI-plate with the scutellum-side facing upwards.

The 150mL -200mL AGL1 suspension was pipetted on to the barley immature embryos, which was then transferred to a fresh petri-dish to remove the excess inoculums. After 3-

4 days of co-cultivation at 24°C in the dark, explants were transferred to BCI medium with 150-200mg/l Timentin and 25 mg/L hygromycin and incubate in the dark at 24°C. subculture the developing calli every 14 days for 12 weeks.

2. 3. 3. 3. Regeneration of transgenic plants

Following shooting, resistant embryogenic callus lines were transferred to regeneration medium (BSI) and incubated at 24°C under fluorescent lights (16h/day). Regeneration plantlets were transferred to rooting medium (BRM). After development of a root system, plantlets were transferred to soil and placed in green house.

2. 3. 4. Isolation of genomic DNA

Genome DNA was isolated from leaf tissue of individual transgenic plants as described in (Cone, 1989). Collected fresh leaves were ground to a fine powder with a well-chilled 1.5 ml eppendorf tube in liquid nitrogen with fitting pellet pestles. 500 μ l of DNA extraction buffer were added into the eppendorf tuber, mixed well on a vortex shaker before adding 500 μ l of phenol:chloroform solution (1:1; v/v) (Roche). The mixture was vortexed for 30 seconds. Centrifuged for 10 min at 13, 000rpm at RT and the upper watery phase was transferred into a new 1.5ml eppendorf tube. DNA was precipitated after adding 0.8 volume of 2-propanol and gently mixing by centrifugation for 10 min at 13,000 rpm. The DNA pellet was washed twice with 1ml of 70% EtOH, mixed gently and centrifuge 10 min at 13,000 rpm and air-dried. The DNA was dissolved in sterilized TE (100 to 200 μ l, depending on pellet size), incubated in a 65° C water bath with gentle inversion several times until DNA was completely dissolved. the DNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically (Eppendorf BioPhotometer).

2. 3. 5. Genomic Southern blot analysis

10 μ g genomic DNA was completely digested with restriction enzyme. DNA was loaded and separated on a 0.8% agarose gel with TAE or TBE buffer. The gel was then treated by washing in 0.125M HCL for 10 min to depurinate the DNA, followed by 30 min washes in 0.5N NaOH to denature the DNA. The denatured DNA was then transferred and bound to Hybond-N+ menbrance (Amersham) according to the standard capillary transfer procedure (Sambrook et al., 1989). Filters were UV-cross linked, prehybridized and hybridized in prehybridization solution (5xSSC, 0.5%SDS, 5XDenhardt's solution, and 50μ g/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA) at 65° C.

Following hybridization, the filters were washed at low stringency as follows:

1x15min in (2xSSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS) at 65°C

1x15min in (1xSSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS) at 65°C

The filters were sealed in plastic bags, orientated and exposed to autoradiography for 7-10 days at -80°C.

2. 3. 6. Preparation of [a-³²P]-labeled probes

To perform Southern blot, probes were prepared from agarose gel electrophoresisseparated DNA fragments using the RediprimeTM II Random Prime Labelling System Kit (Amersham Biosciences). The labeling was carried out in 50 μ l of the following reaction mix:

> Add 50ng (45µl) denatured DNA, Flick tube and spin briefly Add 5µl [a-32P]-dCTP Pipette up and down Spin briefly

The reaction was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The probe was purified with kit (ProbeQuantTM G-50 Micto Columns, Amersham Biosciences) and denatured at 95° C for 5 min and immediately chilled on ice prior to use.

2. 3. 7. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification analysis

PCR was performed to confirm transgenic plants and to produce probes from plasmids

2. 3. 7. 1. Genomic DNA PCR amplification

PCR amplification buffer (10x)

Tris/HCl(pH8.4)	200mM
KCl	500 mM
MgCl2	25mM

If without special state, amplification from genomic DNA template was performed in 50 μ l volume as follows:

Template DNA (genomic)	200 - 500 ng
PCR amplification buffer (10x)	5µl
dNTP mix(2.5mM) (dATP, dGTP, dCTP, dTT	P) 4µl
upstream primer (10µM)	2µ1
downstream primer (10µM)	2µ1
Taq DNA polymerase (1-4 U/ μl)	0.5 µl
Nuclease free water	up to 50 µl

Amplification was carried out under the following conditions in a Thermal reactor

Initial denaturation	94°C	2 min
Denaturation	94°C	45-60 seconds
Annealing	55-65°C	30-60 seconds
Extension	72°C	1-3 min

The final three stages were cycled 30-36 times following which a longer extension of

10 min at 72°C was added to ensure all reactions had completed.

2. 3. 8. Restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA

All restriction digests were carried out using the manufacturers recommended conditions. The reactions were carried out in 1.5ml eppendorfs using 2-5units of restriction enzyme/ μ g plasmid DNA and 23 units of restriction enzyme / μ g genomic DNA per 50 μ l reaction volume. All digests were carried out at the appropriate temperature in incubators or in a thermal cycler for am minimum of 3 hours or overnight.

2. 3. 9. Plasmid DNA isolation

Plasmid DNA was isolated by alkaline lysis method (Birnboim and Doly, 1979).

2. 3. 10. Isolation of DNA fragments from Agarose-gel

The nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL) or GFXTM PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit (Amersham Biosciences) was used to extract DNA fragments from the agrose-gel according to the manufacture's protocol.

2. 3. 11. Transformation of E.coli

2. 3. 11.1. Preparation of electro-competent E.coli cells

10ml of an overnight culture of *E.coli* strain (DH5a) was added to 1 liter of LB medium and shaken at 37° C until the bacterial growth reached an OD=0.5-0.6. The bacterial were pellet gently resuspended in ice-cold sterile water. The cells were pelleted as before and again resuspended in ice-cold water. The process was repeated twice. Finally the cells were gently resuspended in a 1/100 volume of the initial culture in 10% sterile glycerol, pelleted once more and then resuspended in 5 ml 10% glycerol, 50µl aliquots of cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C.

2. 3. 11. 2. Transformation of electro-competent E. coli cells

20 to 50 ng of salt-free ligated plasmid DNA (or ~1 μ l of ligated mix from 10 μ l ligation volume) was mixed with 50 μ l of electro-competent cells, and then transferred to the 1mm cold BioRad electroporation cuvette. The BioRad gene pulse apparatus was set to 25 μ F capacitance, 1.8 kV voltage and the pulse controller to 200 seconds and 500 μ l LB medium was immediately added to the cuvette and the cells were quickly resuspended and incubated at 37° C for 1 hour. A fraction (~100 to 300 μ l) of transformation mixture was plated out onto selection media plates containing 100mg/l required antibiotic.

2. 3. 12. Isolation of T-DNA flanking sequence

Adaptor-ligation PCR (Meza et al., 2002) was modified to amplify genomic DNA flanking T-DNA. For annealing adaptors, using 25mM of adaptors with any PCR buffer without dNTP in 100 μ l volume for 5 min and followed by gradual cooling at room temperature. Digestion of 5 μ g gDNA with 10 unit *EcoR*V, or *Ssp*I, respectively, overnight in 100 μ l volume, and then purification with nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL). ligation with 10 μ l (25mM) adaptors using T4 DNA ligase at 16°C overnight in 100 μ l volume, then purification with nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL). First round PCR: using 10 μ l DNA (~500ng), 5 μ l buffer, 6 μ l dNTP (2.5 μ M), 2 μ l (10 μ M) AP1, 2 μ l (10 μ M) RBR1 for isolation of RB flanking sequences, 2 μ l (10 μ M) AP1, 2 μ l (10 μ M) Ds5F1 or BarF1 for isolation of LB flanking sequences, 0.5 u *Taq* DNA polymerase in a 50 μ l reaction volume. PCR condition as followed: 94°C 2 min, 94° C 1 min, 60-65°C 1 min, 72°C 3min, 31 cycles. Secondary round PCR: using 1 or 2 μ l of dilution of 1/10 of the first round PCR product to perform secondary PCR, using same conditions as for first round PCR, but using AP2 and Ds3R2, Ds5F2 or BarF2 and 35 cycles.

2. 3. 13. Isolation of *Ds* flanking sequences

Two methods were employed in order to obtain sequences flanking *Ds* insertion sites, including inverse PCR (IPCR) and adaptor-ligation PCR methods.

2. 3. 13. 1. Inverse PCR

Inverse PCR (IPCR) (Ochman et al., 1993) was modified to isolate the genomic DNA flanking *Ds* insertions, with the following modifications. Genomic DNA (5µg) was digested with 20 unit *Bsty*I in 100 µl volume at 60°C for 6 hours, followed by purification using the nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL), and self-ligation in 100µl reaction volume using 10 unit T4 ligase at 16°C for 16-24 hours, followed by purification using the nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL). The IPCR contained approximately 500 ng of purified, ligated DNA. First round of PCR using 2µl (10µM) primer *Ds* 3R1 and *Ds* 3F1, respectively, 5µl buffer, 4µl dNTP (2.5 mM), 1 unit *Taq* DNA polymerase, were performed on the 3' side of the *Ds* element. PCR condition as followed: 94°C 2 min, 94° C 1 min, 55-60 °C 1 min, 72°C 3min, 31 cycles.1-2µl PCR products from the first round of PCR (1/10 dilution) were used as the template for the second round PCR. In the second round of PCR, specific PCR products were generated with the nested primers *Ds* 3R2 or *Ds* 3R3 and *Ds* 3F2 of *Ds* 3F3 on the *Ds* 3'side. The PCR condition was same as first round PCR but 36 cycles. The IPCR products were gelpurified using Nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL) and sequenced.

2. 3. 13. 2. Adaptor-ligation PCR

Adaptor-ligation PCR procedure for isolation of the genomic DNA flanking *Ds* insertions was same as mention above **(2.3.13)** but *Dra*I and *EcoRV* were used to digest genomic DNA, $2\mu l (10\mu M)$ AP1, $2\mu l (10\mu M)$ *Ds*3R1 and *Ds*5F1 were used for first round PCR on the 3' side and 5' side of the *Ds* element, respectively, $2\mu l (10\mu M)$ AP2, $2\mu l (10\mu M)$ *Ds*3R2 and *Ds*5F2 were used for secondary PCR

2. 3. 14. DNA sequencing

DNA sequences were determined by the Automatsche DNA Isolierung und Sequenzierung /ADIS-Unit) in MPIZ on Applied Biosystems (Weiterstadt, Germany) Abi Prism 377 and 3700 sequencers using Dig Dye-terminator chemistry (Sanger et al., 1997). PCR products were purified with the nucleospin Extract-Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL) or GFXTM PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit (Amersham Biosciences), ensuring sufficient amount at appropriate concentration to be directly sequenced.

2. 3. 15. Mapping T-DNA insertion loci

2. 3. 15. 1 Chromosome mapping T-DNA insertion loci using wheat-barley additional lines

The distribution of T-DNA insertions on barley chromosomes was determined by PCR analysis of wheat-barley addition lines. PCR primers corresponding isolated and sequenced genomic DNA flanking either the right border or the left border of the T-DNA insertion site were designed. These primers were used to amplified the known sequence in the parents of the wheat-barley addition lines (cv. Chinese Spring wheat and cv. Betzes barley) as well as in the wheat lines carrying additional barley chromosomes. Due to the difference of the PCR products between wheat and barley the barley chromosome in which the TDNA is inserted can be directly determined. This procedure also helps to detect fragments which are repetitive or belong to gene families as they will be amplified in multiple wheat-barley additions on different barley chromosomes. These fragments cannot be mapped unambiguously and are therefore excluded from the more time consuming genetic mapping by SSCP analysis.

2. 3. 15. 2. Mapping T-DNA insertion loci by SSCP analysis

SSCP analysis as described (Sentinelli et at., 2000). SSCP analysis was used to genetically map T-DNA integration sites. In order to discover polymorphism between the parents of mapping populations by SSCP analysis, primers corresponding genomic DNA

flanking T-DNA insertion sites were used to carry out PCR reaction with genomic DNA from the parents of the mapping populations. PCR products amplified from Dom and Rec and Morex and Steptoe were digested with a range of restriction endonucleases which recognize 4 base sequences: AluI, BstuI, HaeIII HhaI, HinfI, MseI, MspI, RsaI, Sau96I ScrFI, TaqI, and Tsp509. PCR product were mixed with 1 µl buffer and 0.5µl (5-10 units/µl) restriction enzyme, respectively, in 10 µl reaction volume for 4 hours. Digested PCR products were mixed with 15 µl loading buffer. The samples were heated at 94° C for 3 min, placed on ice to stabilize single strands and then loaded on a 18 x 26 cm x 1 mm midi gel using MDE gel. Electrophoresis was performed in 0.6X TBE buffer and run at room temperature at constant 1 W for 16 h. The gel was fixed with 10 % ETOH and 0.5% acetic acid for 3 min; silver stained with 0.2 % AgNO3, 10%ETOH and 0.5% acetic acid for 5 min; washed with water for 2 min, developed with 3% NaOH and 0.1% formaldehyde for 10 -20 min; fixed with 10 % ETOH and 0.5 % acetic acid for 5 min; washed with H2O for 10 min. Once restriction enzymes which produce polymorphisms between the parents of at least on of the DH mapping population were identified, individual F2 progenies were analyzed.

2. 3. 16. Mapping *Ds* insertion sites

2. 3. 16. 1. Mapping Ds insertion sites by RFLP

Genomic DNA samples from the DH mapping populations were each digested with six restriction enzymes (*BamH*I, *Dra*I, *EcoR*I, *EcoR*V, *Hind*III, *Xba*I) and a Southern blot was performed with these samples as described earlier. The following DH mapping populations of Igri x Franka, Morex x Steptoe Oregon Wolfe Barley (OWB) Dom x Rec, Galleon x Haruna Nijo, Chebec x Harrington Clipper x Sahara, and Sloop x Halcyon were used to map *Ds* insertions. The membranes were hybridized with ³²P labeled probes generated using genomic DNA flanking single-copy *Ds* insertion site. The procedure used for RFLP analysis is described in Kleinhofs et al (1993).

2. 3. 17. Linkage map construction

Linkage map construction was performed using Mapmanager (Manly et al., 2001)

2. 3. 18. Database searching

DNA sequences data of Ds and T-DNA flanking regions was used for database searches in the following database

NCBI Blast:	http://www.ncb.nlm.nil.gov/BLAST
Gramene:	http://www.ncb.nlm.nil.gov/BLAST
GreenGene:	http://www.ncb.nlm.nil.gov/BLAST)
IPK barley ESTs database:	http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de
TIGR gene indicies:	http://tigrblast.tigr.org/tgi/

3. Results:

3.1. Generation of starter lines

3.1.1. Transformation with plasmid pWBV-Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds

In order to obtain transgenic barley plants containing a single-copy of the *Ds* element, we constructed the binary vector pWBV-*Ds*-Ubi-*Bar* (Fig. 1) containing the selectable marker gene *hygromycin* phosphotrasferase (*HPT*) under control of the 35S promoter and a modified *Ds* element carrying the *Bar* gene conferring resistance to phosphinothricin (herbicide Basta) under control of maize ubiquitin 1 promoter and first intron.



Figure 1: Linear map of the TDNA region of plasmid pWBV-*Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds* (not to scale). The plasmid contains the selection marker gene *HPT* under control of the 35S promoter and *nos* terminator. The 5' and 3' regions of the *Ds* element flank the selection marker gene *Bar* under control of the ubiquitin promoter and first intron from maize and *nos* terminator. *Hind*III is the unique restriction enzyme in T-DNA region. The *hpt* and *bar* probes used for DNA hybridization analysis are indicated by a red bar.

171 putative independent transgenic lines were recovered via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation from 537 immature embryos under selection with hygromycin (Tab. 3). Southern blot analysis was performed to confirm the presence and copy number of the *HPT* and *Bar* genes (Fig. 2A and 2B). Genomic DNA extracted from the regenerated T₀ plants was digested with *Hin*dIII and hybridized to the probes made from internal coding sequences of the *HPT* and *Bar* genes, respectively. *Hind*III cuts outside of the *Ds* element and the other end of the restriction fragment is generated by a cut in the flanking genomic DNA. The hybridization bands reflects integration pattern of T-DNA in the genome and

provide an estimate for the copy number of the integrated transgenes. Out of 157 putative independent transgenic lines analyzed, 155 lines contained the *HPT* gene while 145 showed the presence of the *Bar* gene. The number of hybridization bands varied from one to four in different plants, and 81 (51%) independent lines exhibited a single hybridization band for the *bar* gene indicating the presence of a single integrated transgene. However, in a few cases single-copy *HPT* or *Bar* insertions may represent two T-DNA integration at the same locus with inverted orientation and adjacent to the right border of the T-DNA. The remaining 76 (49%) lines represented two to four copies of T-DNA integration. Only two lines out of 159 lines analyzed turned out to be non-transgenic (escapes). The average transformation frequency in the transformation experiments was 28.%. Lines containing a single-copy of the *Ds* element were used as a potential launch pad in the development of a population for targeted gene tagging.

Table 3.	Summary	of the	number	of ind	ependent	transgenic	plants
I able 5.	Summary	or the	number	or mu	ependent	uansgeme	piunto

No. of immature embryos used	537
No. of putative transgenic lines recovered	171
No. of putative transgenic lines analyzed	157
No. of transgenic lines containing the HPT	155
No. of transgenic lines containing the Bar gene	145
No. of transgenic lines containing single-copy Bar gene	81
Efficiency of transformation	28%



Figure 2: Southern hybridization analysis of transgenic barley plants. Genomic DNA (20 μ g) from lines 1 to 38 was digested with *Hind*III, fractionated by 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to Hybond-N+, and hybridized with ³²P-labeled *HPT* probe (A) and *Bar* probe (B). 26 of 38 lines may represent independent transgenic plants

3.1.2. Transformation with plasmid pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar

We constructed a binary vector pWBVI-Ubi-*Ds*I-*Bar* which carries two cleavage sites for I-*Sce*I in the *Ds* element and the T-DNA region (Fig. 3). I-*Sce*I recognizes a very rare 18bp sequences, the expected frequency of cleavage site for I-*Sce*I is less than one in the barley genome. The physical distance of a *Ds* transpostion can directly be determined by measuring the size of the DNA segment generated by digestion with I-*Sce*I when *Ds* elements transpose into genetically linked sites (Fig. 3). This will enable us to estimate the number of plants needed to saturate a specific genomic region with independent *Ds* insertions. Furthermore, transposition events can easily be detected by the restoration of resistance against the herbicide Basta after excision of the *Ds* element.



Figure 3: Linear map of the T-DNA region of plasmid pWBV -*Ubi-Ds-Bar* (not to scale) and the strategy for physical mapping *Ds* insertions. The plasmid contains the selection marker gene *hpt* under control of 35S promoter and *nos* terminator. The *Ds* element and T-DNA contain each a I-*Scel* I restriction enzyme site. The *HPT* and *Bar* probes used for DNA hybridization analysis are indicated by a bar.

Three transformation experiments were carried out by *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer using construct pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar. 149 putative independent transgenic lines were obtained from 674 immature embryos. The integration of the transgene was confirmed by Southern blot analysis (Fig. 4 A and B). The genomic DNA was digested with either *EcoRV* and *Hind*III, respectively, which cut the respective sites near LB and RB within TDNA, and consecutively hybridized with the *bar* probe and *HPT* probe in order to clearly identify single-copy insertions. Out of 143 transgenic lines analyzed, 119 lines contained the *Bar*gene as confirmed by Southern blot analysis, and 50 lines (42%) were determined to contain a single copy of the *Bar* gene (Table 4). The remaining lines contained two to eight copies of the bar gene. The average transformation frequency was

19.3 %. Lines containing a single-copy of the *Ds* element were used as a potential launch pad in the development of a population for targeted gene tagging.

Table 4. Summary of transgenic plants with pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar

No. of immature embryos used	676
No. of putative transgenic lines recovered	149
No. of putative transgenic lines analyzed	143
No. of transgenic lines containing the HPT	131
No. of transgenic lines containing the Bar gene	119
No. of transgenic lines containing single-copy Bar gene	55
Efficiency of transformation	19.3%

Figure 4: Southern hybridization analysis of transgenic barley plants. Genomic DNA (20 μ g) from lines 1 to 37 was digested with *Hind*III (A) and *EcoR*V (B), fractionated by 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to Hybond-N+, and hybridized with ³²P-labeled *HPT* and *Bar* probes, respectively. Thirty-three out of 37 lines represent independent transgenic plants containing the *Bar* gene.

В

9 1

Results

3. 2. Analysis of T-DNA integration in barley genomic DNA

In order to obtain insight into the mechanism of T-DNA integration into the barley genome, analysis of junction sequences between the T-DNA borders and the genomic DNA in the transgenic barley were carried out. To determine T-DNA/plant DNA junctions at right and left borders, genomic regions flanking the T-DNA insertions were amplified from 128 independent T-DNA lines transformed with either pWBV-*Ds*-Ubi-*Bar-Ds* or pWBVI-Ubi-*Ds*I-*Bar* were analyzed. For simplicity, only confirmed single-copy transgenic lines were used in these studies. Flanking regions were isolated by adaptor-ligation PCR, with nested primers located near the T-DNA borders and the ligated adaptors (Fig. 5 and 6). The authenticity of junctions was confirmed by sequencing of the PCR products. The presence of expected T-DNA border sequences (Fig. 7) was indicative of correct PCR amplification.



Figure 5: Isolation of genomic DNA flanking the right border and left border of the integrated T-DNA by adaptor-ligation PCR. Sixteen single-copy T-DNA lines transformed with pWBV-*Ds*-Ubi-*Bar-Ds* are numbered from one to 16. Genomic DNA samples were digested with either *EcoRV* and ligated to the adaptors (AD). Two-step PCR reactions using combinations of two nested primers were performed. (A): RBR1/AP1 and RBR2/AP2 were used to amplify genomic DNA flanking RB, (B): Ds5F1/AP1 and Ds5F2/AP2 were used to amplify genomic DNA flanking LB. M: 1 kb ladder (Invitrogen)



Figure 6: Isolation of genomic DNA flanking left border of TDNA by adaptor-ligation PCR method. Nine single-copy TDNA lines transformed with pWBVI-Ubi-*DsI-Bar* are numbered from 1A to 44B. Genomic DNA samples were digested with *EcoRV* (E) and *SspI* (S), respectively, and ligated to the adaptors. Two-step PCR using combinations of two nested primers were performed. (A): RBR1/AP1 and RBR2/AP2 were used to amplify RB flanking sequences; (B): BarF1/AP1 and BarF2/AP2 were used to amplify LB flanking sequences

In total 64 fragments flanking the T-DNA RB and 55 fragments flanking the T-DNA LB from 91 independent transgenic lines were isolated, sequenced and analyzed. Figure 7 shows the sequences at the junctions between RB and the flanking genomic region. In 24 events, junction points were observed between the third and fourth nucleotide (nt) of the 25 nt border sequence (Fig. 7A). This result was similar to previous observations that 29 out of 53 junctions in rice (Sung-Ryul Kim et al., 2003), 18 out of 27 in aspen (Kumar et al., 2002), and 3 out of 11 in barley (Stahl et al., 2002) were located between the third and fourth nucleotide. This site is a known cleavage site for generating single-stranded T-DNA fragments (Yanofsky et al., 1986; Stachel et al., 1987). In most of the remaining plants, usually one to 28 nt were deleted from the RB cleavage site (Fig. 7A).

Unlike with the RB, only two cases (line 29-42A and 33-15A) were found in which the correct cleavage site remained in the LB (Figure 7B). Among those 55 samples examined, 53 retained a portion of the LB, while in the other samples one to 105 bp of T-DNA were deleted at from the LB cleavage site (Fig. 7B).

Analysis of junction sequences of the T-DNA with the genomic DNA in transgenic barley indicated that two types of integration could be distinguished based on the sequences found at the junction regions.

In the first type flanking sequences consisted of genomic barley DNA. This was the case for 58 of the right border and 53 of the left border sequences. In the second type, the flanking sequence contained or consisted of RB linked to partial *HPT* coding sequences (28-32A, 41-1A), and vector backbone sequence (40-22A), which could not be detected by Southern blot analysis. This integration type is probably a consequence of rearrangements of more than one T-DNA copy during the integration process. Since the results of Southern blot analysis did not indicate a second T-DNA copy, the majority of the second T-DNA molecule was apparently not integrated during the rearrangement. In line 28-22A, 29-37A, 33-38A, flanking sequences from RB had LB filler DNA (31 to 33 bp sequences). In line 41-1A, flanking sequences from the RB had 31 bp *HPT* filler

DNA. In line 40-32A and 40-44B, flanking sequences from LB had 21 bp unknown filler DNA.

Α

Line n	umber	T-DNA	RB cleava	age site	Barley genome DNA
				RB 25 bp repea	ıt
Vector	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGACA</mark>	GGATATATTGGCĜGGTÂAA	F
28-8A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGAC</mark> TC	GGATCCCAGCATTTTGGTCA	CAAAGAAAACCCTGGTGGAGGAATTAATCATGAGGTAAA
41-21A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGAC</mark> G	TATAATTCTTACAGAACTCT	CCTCCCACTTCTGGCGACTCAGTGATACCTCCCCGGGCGG
40-50A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGAC</mark> TC	GGCGAGTATATCTCTAAGAT	CTTGCTTGGTGTTCTTGCTGAGCAAGGGACTCTTTCTC
40-38A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AA	TTCTGCGCTTAGACAACTTA	GACACTGTTTCAAGAAAGGCAAGGGCTAGAAGGGAT
40-32A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AA	CGTTCTCCGCTCATCAAGGC	GAAAACCAATGCAAGCTCAAGAGGTAGCAAGAAGTAT
40-21A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> GG	ATGTTTTTCCATTTCTTTTTC	GTAGGGTAGTACTGTATTATTATATACAGTACTTCAGGA
33-61A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AC	TATAAAGAGGCTAGCAATG	GTGGAAGGTCAAAGTGCATCTATACCATGG
33-48A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA<mark>TG</mark></mark>	AAATCGACATTGCTGTCTGA	GCATAAGATTCTACAGAGCTGCTAG
41-44B	GTTTCCCGCCTI	CAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA <mark>TA</mark></mark>	TTCAAATGAGAACTTTGAAG	GGCCGAAGAGGAGAAAGGTTCCAT GTGAACG
33-49A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA<mark>AG</mark></mark>	CATCGACCGTGCTTCCTTGC	CTTTGTTTACACAGCCATTGTCGCAATGCTGAAATCTTATCC
29-40A	GTTTCCCGCCT	ICAGTITAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>IGA<mark>GA</mark></mark>	CCGAGGGAGCAGGTGTGGT	GTATCGATCGGGGTATGTTGGCATGTTGTCGACCACCGGAAAT
29-26A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> TG	GAAAAATAAGAGTCAGAAC	ACGTCACCTTGGTTGAGCACATTCTTCGTTCATAGTCAGCACA
41-39A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AT	CCAACCAAAAAGCTAGGGA	ATTTTGGCATTTGAAACATGATTTTTGAATAATTGTTTATG
28-31A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AA	CGAGGCAAAATAGTTGCCC	CCTTAATTAGAGGAAAACTAAGTGAAAACCGAGATTAC
28-23A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AA	GTACATATTAATGTTTTAGC	TTTGTTTAGAAATGAATGTATCTAAATACTAAAATATGACT
28-21A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AC	TCACGTCGCAGCCCCACCTA	AGACTAATCAGAGAGGCCAGCCGGCCGGCCCTAAATCCTAAG
28-7A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTTTGA <mark>GT</mark>	TGTGACACGGCAGAGCGAG	AGGCGAGTCITCGGGGGAACTATTCAGGAGTCCACGGCACCAT
28-17A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTTTGA <mark>TT</mark> A	AGTCTATTGATTGTCATCCT	TTGTGTGGCGGTCGGGATCACGCGATGGTTTATACCTACC
28-9A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTTTGA <mark>GA</mark>	ATTTCTGATAGGTATATGTG	GTTGACATATTGTGGATCAGAAGTAATGTAGAATTTCTGTAA
28-13A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> GT	TGTGACACGGCAGAGCGAG	AGGCGAGTCTTCGGGGAACTATTCAGGAGTCCACGGCACCAT
28-51A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> GC	GAATCGCTACGCCTCTCCCC	CACTGAATCGGTGCACTCGGCCTGAATTCGCTGCCTCTAGCC
39-29A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> TG	CATGCTGTGATGTCTCTTATC	CGAATTA CCACTATCGTTTATGGGTTATGCATTAGAGACA
39-13A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTTTGA <mark>GT</mark>	CGACGTCAATTTCGACCCAA	ACAAGTAAAATTTGGGCTCGTGGGAACGTGACTGAATGAA
28-32A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	<mark>CAGTGTT</mark> TGA <mark>AG</mark>	AAAACTAGAAA <mark>TTTACCTGO</mark>	CAGACCATCGGGCAGTTCGGTTTCAGGCAGGTCTTGCAACGTG
29-37A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AT	T-DNA (part GGAAAGC <u>TACCTCCCCGGGC</u>	nal HPT sequence) GGCCGCTCGAGCCCTATAGTNAG TCGA
28-22A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AT	LB-Border T <u>CAATTTGTTTACACCACAATA</u>	<u>TAT</u> TCATCTTAAAAGCGGCAATGTATTCATCTTCATTTGT
40-22A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGA</mark> AA	LB-DOIDER AAGGAAAGTCTACACGAAC	CCTTTGGCAAAATCCTGTATATCGTGCGAAAAAGGATGGA
33-25A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TGCGT</mark>	Vector backbone TGCAGATGACGCAGGAGGC	AACGTACGGCCAGGTGTACAGCAACTAGCTCGGCGGCCGCA
39-19A	GTTTCCCGCCT	TCAGTTTAAACTAT	CAGTGTT <mark>TC</mark> TCAT	TGTATATCGAAGCCACAAGA	ATGGCTCTCCAACAGTTCATAAGACACTGTTTA <u>AAAGGGCC</u>

Figure 7: Sequences at junction regions between the T-DNA right border and barley genomic DNA (A), and between the T-DNA left border and barley genomic DNA (B). T-DNA sequences are presented in with RB and LB sequences in yellow shaded. T-DNA RB and LB repeat are represented in red shaded. Filler DNA is represented in underlined italics in yellow shaded. Barley DNA is presented in green shaded.

Results

33-38A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT I B borler
41-30A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT TTGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTTAAACTATCTATACATATGCATGAACGTGAAAGTTAAAACGAAACAATTGTTTGAACA
41-19B	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>CTAACAGATCAAAGGTTAAGAGAATCCATTTTTTGCAGAACTATGGATCCACATATCAGGAACC</mark>
33-22A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>AAGCCGACCGACCAACTTCCTTTAGTTCAGAAAGATACGACGATTTGTTACGCAAGACAAAATATA</mark>
28-6A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>AAACGGGTCCCTCTGAATCCCTGTCGGTGCCCATCCATTCCTACCGTTCTTCTACATCTGCTAGCAC</mark>
28-16A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>AAACTTTACTGTATCGCGAGGCCGTACGGCTTCCAGCAGAAATGTGCTTAGGCCGGCGGAACCAAC</mark>
33-11A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT TTGCAGTTCACATGAGCTAGGCTAG
33-50A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTTAGAGTTGGCTACATTAGAACACCTACACCCCCTGAGCAAATTAGAGTTGAACCTAGCATTTCTATTAT
28-1A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTTTCAACATTTCTGGGTTGCACGCGCGTGCGCACGGTTTGGTGTCTGGGGCTCTGCAGGTTGCC
8-23A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>AATCCAGCAAGAGAGAGGGGGGGGGG</mark>
39-27A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>AGGAGGCTCCCAGGCAGGAGGCCTCGCCTC</mark>
41-1A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>GAAATATTCACGAGGCCGCCAACATCTTCTICTGGAGGCC</mark> AAATATAGTGTTTGTTTAAGCGTC HPT
33-29A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTTACCACCATCCTCCTAAGCACGGATCCGTACTGCATCAGGTTGATCTGCCTTAATITCGCCCTG
33-17A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>CATGTATATGCATCAGTAAAACCCACATCAACATGTATACCTATCCTAGATCGATATITCCATCCAT</mark>
29-19A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>GGCGTGTTCCAAATAATTGGTGGTTACATTGATCATGACGCGGGGGCCCTGCAAGCGCCGGAAACG</mark>
33-1A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>GAGGATCTCCCCTCTTAAATACCACCAGTCCCTTTTGATGCGTCAT</mark>
33-62A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTT <mark>AGCAGCTCCTGGAAAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAAAAAAAAAA</mark>
28-18A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGT <mark>AAAAGGTAGGTCCAAGCCCTCCAGCCAAGCAAATTTGTCTTAAAGTGTACTACCATTAATTA</mark>
28-5A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTGTCAACACGCGGCAGTGTTAGGCCCTGGGTGTCTTCTCAAATTAAAGCAAAGTGTTTAGGTGCCAGTTTG
33-8A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGTG <mark>CCCAAGACAAGTAGACTGATACTTTCTGCATCTACTACTATTACCC</mark>
39-10A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGT <mark>TCGTTGGTTGGTTACGCCTGGAATGAATATCGTCGGGCCGTCGAGCTTGGGTCAGGTCTCTGCACTC</mark>
33-41A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGCGTAATAAGCTGCTCTGAGTTGGACCAACACTCATGAGGAGCACTAGCCCGGGTGTTGATTAAAT
39-39A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAG <mark>ACCCCGTCGTCACGTGTTGTACTCTTG</mark> ACATTTCCTTTAAATTTTGTTTATTTTAT
29-8A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCAGGGGTTGCGACTGGATGATGTGCGTAGCGCAGGGGCAGGGGCTGGGACTTCCGTTTCGTATACGCTCGTCCT
33-45A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTATCA <mark>CTGGCCCACCCCCCCCCC</mark>
33-9A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTAT <mark>A</mark> AGAGGATGATGACTCAACATAAAAGTAAATAGATAGGCTCTTCACAAAGCAAAGCATTGATTTACAGAGGGTG
40-33A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTAATGTTGGGGACATTTTGAACTCAGGAATGTATTTTGAAAAATTGATAATTATTTTGAAAAAA
28-26A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACTGCCGGCACGGCAGGCGGTCTCCTCCTCTCACGGCACCGGCAGCTACGGGGGATTCCTTTCCCACCGCTCC
28-13A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACCATGCTTATATAGACCAGCAAAGAAAAGGAAAGAAA
29-7A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTTAAACGCCTGATAGGACTTTCACAAAGCACATACCTNGATAAAGTTTTGAAGAGGTTCAAAAATGGAACAGTCCAAGAAAGG
33-55A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTTAACAAACATAATTTAAATATATGCCATTGTTATATGAATGGTAGAGAAGTAAATTCAAACAAA
33-32A	GTTTCCCGCCTTCAGTAACCTAACCTACCCACCCACCCGCCAGAGCCACCGACTCCTCCCACCTCCGATCCGGAGCCGCCACCCCGAATTCCCCCAA
40-7A	GTTTCCCGCCGTTACGACCTGAAAGGCTCCTCCTACGGCCGGAAATCCGACAGGTCTGAAGAAGAGAGCCGGCGATGCAACCACGCTCAAGGACTTGG
33-56A	GTTTCGCGCCGTCGTGCCGGAGCTCTTCCCCAAACTCTCCCTCC
41-37A	GTTTCCCGCCTT TTGGTGAAACTATCAGTGTTTCTGGCATGACGTGGGTTTCTGGCAGCTGGACTTCAGCCTGCCGGTAC CGCCCCGTC
41-3A	GTTTCCCCACGCGACCTGAACGTTGGTCTGATGTAGCGGACATGCAACCGCNGTTTTGACAACTTTATATTTAAATAATACTACTAGCAAAAGAGCC
33-20A	GTTTCCACTGGACGGCCCCTGGAGGAGGGGTACCTAAACCCGGACGTGTCCTCTTGCGCCGCACTTGCCAATCAGCTGACGCAAACGCAAGCAGC

Figure 7. Continued

B						
T-DNA lines T-DNA			LB clea	wage site	Barley DNA	
		LB	L	B 25 bp repeat		
Vector	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCO	GTCAATTT GTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	TGCCA	
29-42A	GTCCGCAACGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTTGTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	TGGGCTATCCCG /	ATGTACCACCGGTATTATGTAAGTGACGTC
33-15A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	ACACCACAATATATCC	. <mark>TG</mark> AGTTATGGAT/	ATGGTAATATTAGAGTTATGTTAGTAGGGT
28-52A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	CACCACAATATATCC	TATATTTTTCAAC	GACTACGAATGGGCAACGATAGAAGTCA
33-11A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	CACCACAATATATCC	TATATGAACAAG	GCAATACAAATAAATGTACTGACACGGAC
40-32A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	T <mark>CATCAAGGGAAA</mark> .	ACCAATGCAAGCTCAAGAGGTAGCAAGAA
40-44B	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	T <u>CATCAAGGGAAAA</u>	ACCAATGCA TCACAAACTCATCTAGCTCAA
33-34A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	<mark>T</mark> TTTAATGTATAT	CCTTTCAATCTCGTTTACCGGCAAGTTTCT
29-38A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	ATGGCCTCTCATT	GATCTTGGGGCCACCGGGTCAGTACTCAG
28-35A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	ACACCACAATATATCC	ACTTCGGGAATCO	CGGAACCTTATACCAGAGCCAAAGTCTCG
29-40A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACCACAATATATCC	CCCCCAATTGCGI	ACCCGCGATCAGAAGGAGGACGATTTGT
33-9A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	ACACCACAATATATC <mark>A</mark>	TGAGGAGCGTCA	TTAAGGACCAGAGAGCACTATCGTCATTCT
33-42A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	ACACCACAATACAT <mark>TA</mark>	AAAACCTCTTTG	AGAATTCCTTTGTTTTTCCTGTGCTATCA
33-20A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	ACACCACAATATAT <mark>AC</mark>	GTTCGATGACTT A	ACACGTGACTGATAAACATAAAGAGAAA
29-17A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTTGTTTA	ACACCACAATATATGO	JAAAAACGATATA	TGGATCCTACAGTGCAGATTTAAAGCGCT
39-19A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	ACACCACAATATA <mark>AG</mark> A	ACAAGATGGCACA	ATATGAGATAAGCCATTCGCATACTCAA
29-7A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTTGTTTA	CACCACAATATA <mark>CAC</mark>	JGGGCATACAGGC	GCGGCCACCATGGCGGTGATTCTAGACTC
29-9A	GICCGCAATGIG	TTATTAAGTIGICIAAGC	GICAATTIGITTA	CACCACAATATA <mark>AAT</mark>	CTAGTIGGCCAG	
40-26A	CTCCCCAATGTG		GICAAIIIGIIIA			
20-12A	GTCCCCAATGTC	TTATTAAGTIGICTAAGC	GTCAATTIGTTTA	CACCACAAT <mark>CETTCE</mark>		
28-3A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTTGTTTA	CACCACAAT	CTGAAAGGGCGG	GGGGAATTATCCACCCATGCCCTCACGC
28-9A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTTGTTTA	CACCACAAT	ATACAAGTTTCT	CATAAACCTTGTACAAACCCAAAATCTT
40-2A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	CACCACAAGACCGG1	TATGTTGAGACA	ACATAGGCGGAGTTATTTCAAAAAAGAAA
33-31A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTTT</mark> A	ACACCACTAGGGGTAT	TCCTTGATACTC A	TATTGCTAGAGTTACTACCAATGTTCGTG
29-19A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACCCCTTAAGGCTAG	TCAGAACAGGCT	CACATTGTCTACACATGTGAGCTTGCTGG
28-16A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CACC TCTCTTCTTCCC	CATCCTCCACCA	IGAATCCAATCACTCGCTACGAGAAGG
33-58A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CAC <mark>AAACCCACCAT</mark> A	TGCCTTCCTCAAA	ACAGCCACCATACCTACCTACTATGGCAT
33-45A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTCA	ACAC <mark>TGCAATTGTATC</mark>	TATGAAAGCTTCC	CAAGTCCCATGGACGAGGTTCATGAATAT
28-22A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	GAAAGAAGAATTAA	AGACAAAGTCTAA	ACCATAGCATTAAACTAGTGGATCCAAA
40-38A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	CAATACCAATAGA	ACTAGTGCACTAC	TAGGAAAAACCTTATAGGCAGGACCTCA
29-34A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTTTA	TTAAGATGATAAGCI	GGTTTAAAGGAC	AAGATTGATAAGGACGAACCCCGGGGCCG
29-16A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTT <mark>AT</mark>	GGGTCCCCTTCAATA.	AATACCACGCGG	CCTCTCTCGAGAGGTTACAACGCTTTACCA
40-33A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	<mark>GTCAATTT</mark> GTT <mark>GC</mark>	CATCAAGCACTGACCC	ACTAGATACTGG	GTT CTGAGAGTGCGGTGCTTGATATTTGG
33-38A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GTT</mark> CA	ACAGGGCCTGCCAGG/	ACTGGGGGCTTCTT	TCAGGTCAGTTCCTTGGCTGAAAGTTTCCA
33-48A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GTCAATTT <mark>GT GC</mark>	CCATCGGAGCATCCCA	AGCTTGCAGGGC	CAGCGAGTGCCTCCCTCCCATCCCATGTTT
33-56A	GTCCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAAC	GTCAATTT <mark>GI</mark> GGI	IGTCGATGGAGAGAGAC	BAGGTCTCCCTGC.	AGGGCTTCGCCAAGCACCGTGGGAGAGG
40-28A	GICCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGITGTCTAAGC	GICAATIT <mark>CICAT</mark>	IGGAGCATTTGAGAT	TTCCAGGAGTTG	GAGET FATCETTCAGAAGAACGCCCGGATC
28-32A	GICCGCAATGTG	TTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGC	GICAATTT <mark>GT</mark> CAC	GCATTCTCTGGATČGA	CAAAACCTTTTGG	511GCATCACATACAACTCTTCCTTAAGG

Figure 7. continued

Results

29-34A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GTTTA</mark> TTAAGATGATAAGCTGGTTTAAAGGACAAGATTGATAAGGACGAACCCCGGGGCCG
29-16A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GTT</mark> ATGGGTCCCCTTCAATAAATACCACGCGGCCTCTCCGAGAGGTTACAACGCTTTACCA
40-33A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GTT</mark> GCATCAAGCACTGACCCACTAGATACTGGGTTCTGAGAGTGCGGTGCTTGATATTTGG
33-38A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GTT</mark> CACAGGGCCTGCCAGGACTGGGGGCTTCTTTCAGGTCAGTTCCTTGGCTGAAAGTTTCCA
33-48A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GTT</mark> GCCATCGGAGCATCCCAAGCTTGCAGGGCCAGCGAGTGCCTCCCTC
33-56A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAACGTCAATTT <mark>GT</mark> GGTGTCGATGGAGAGAGAGGGGCTCCCCIGCAGGGCTTCGCCAAGCACCGTGGGAGAGG
40-28A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GT</mark> CATGGAGCATTTGAGATGTTCCAGGAGTTGGAGTTTATCTTTCAGAAGAACGCCCGGATC
28-32A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GT</mark> CAGCATTCTCTGGATCGACAAAACCTTTTGGTTGCATCACATACAACTCTTCCTTAAGG
39-29A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>GT</mark> CTACAGTAGTACGCGATCTTGTGAACCTACGAGGCTTGTAGTAACTTGATCCGATGCTC
33-8A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCTATAT <mark>GT</mark> ATGATCATTGCCGTAGATACCTCCCCGGGCGCCCCCTCGA
28-36A	GTCCGCA ATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>G</mark> ACAAGTTGGTATCGGATCAGGGTTTCCATGGGGTTTAAAATAGGACCAAAGCGGGGCACC
39-30A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>C</mark> GTGGCTGGACTATTTTGGTGGCAAAAAAATCCCCTTTCTCGCCCCTCACTTCTATCATTAAT
29-25A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAATTT <mark>ATTAACTGGAGCATGCGAGTAGTACTTTTCTTCGAGAGATATAAAAACATTGCAATAAG</mark>
33-61A	GTCCGCAACGTGTTATTAAGTCGTCTAAGCGTCAATT <mark>CGTTTACACCACAATATATTTAGAGGGTGCTTGGGTCACTAAAACTAGTCTGACTAAAACTA</mark>
40-21A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAAT <mark>CACAGTTAGTGGCTATACAGTTTGTTGCATTGTTACAGCCGTACAGGCTTCCACTCGTACCAGG</mark>
28-34A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAA <mark>CCTGACATCGCGATGATGTCATAATAGGATTTTACTAAAATCTTTTAAAACTGTTTTTAATTCTT</mark>
29-37A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCTAAGCGTCAA GTTGTCCAAAATTAATAAATCCACCAGGTAGTTTGAAACAGAATTAATT
39-45B	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCT <mark>GATGGAGGCCTCTTCCGTCGCGTGGGGCTGTTCCGGTGGGCCGCATGCTATCCTAGCCTGGCTATT</mark>
40-22A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAGTTGTCT <mark>GATGGAGGCCTCTTCCGTCGTCGC</mark>
33-41A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTAAATAGTTCAGAAGATTTGTTGATTTGAAGTTCTGCATGCA
9-26A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATT <mark>T</mark> GTTGTAGTTGATGTCCCCGTTGATTTCCCACCCGAAATTACTGGCGTCCTGCACTCGTCACACAAGTAACGAAAATAAGCAC
29-43A	GTCCGCAATGTGTTATTTCCTATAGCTTTTTCAGGACCACTTGCATCATGTCCCGAAAAGGATACACCAGGACGTGCTGAGCCTGGAGGTGGAAATAA
33-25A	GTCCGCCCGTCTAACACATAGGTAAACGTTTGTGGCCGTTCGGCCGGTCGTCGCACGTGACCCGCGCACACAGCTGAATTGGCATGTAATTTTTTCGT
29-37A	ATAAGCTGTCAAACATGAGAATTCAGTACATT? 69 bp <mark>GCTACAGACTGGTCTGTTTTTGACAGATTTTGTTTGTTGCGTTGATGCTTATTTTGATGAA</mark>
29-22A	GGCCGCATGCATGGCGCGCCAAGCTTAT ? 105 bp TAGTCATTAATTTTGGGTACCGCTGCGATCCGAACGTTTGAGGAACCACGGGGTCCGGTTACAT

Figure 7. Continued

56

3. 3. Analysis of T-DNA flanking sequences

Genomic DNA flanking the RB and/or the LB of the T-DNA was isolated by adaptorligation PCR. The genomic sequences from 82 independent TDNA lines were used to carry out BLASTN search. From 22 lines both the RB and the LB flanking regions were isolated. From 23 lines only the RB and from 37 lines only the LB flanking regions were isolated. After removal of border sequences, a primary database of genomic sequences flanking RB and/or LB from 82 independent lines with a total of 103 sequences was generated. Comparison of the sequence data with NCBI, GrainGene and GrameneGreenGene, Gramene databases showed that isolated genomic DNA flanking the T-DNA from 39 lines (47%) was significantly homologous to cereal EST databases. The flanking regions of 22 lines (26%) were identical to barley retrotransposons or other highly repetitive genomic regions. The remaining 23 lines (27%) lines showed no significant similarity to any ESTs in public databases based on a threshold level for the evalue of 10^{-5} (for details, see table A in the appendix). Because the information about genomic sequences for barley is very limited it is not possible to determine if these lines carry the T-DNA insertion in intergenic regions or non-coding regions of gene sequences (introns, 5' upstream or 3' downstream regions. The analysis of the T-DNA flanking regions indicated that T-DNA preferentially inserted in coding regions of the barley genome. An evaluation of T-DNA insertion frequencies within coding sequences versus intergenic sequences or repetitive elements revealed that T-DNA integration is nonrandom.

In 21 lines, genomic sequences flanking (28-9A1, 28-12A, 28-34A, 28-35A, 28-36A, 28-52A, 29-7A, 29-19A, 29-26A, 33-9A, 33-20A, 33-25A, 33-41A, 33-45A, 33-56A, 33-61A, 39-13A, 39-29A, 40-21A, 40-32A, 41-37A) were isolated from both the RB and the LB. BLASTN search results from RB and LB flanking sequences showed complete consistency of the flanking sequences in six cases (28-9A1, 28-34A2, 28-35A, 33-41A, 33-45A, 33-56A). Other lines had in most cases either no homology to cereal ESTs for the right and left border, or homologous sequences were at least on one side of the T-

DNA very short making it difficult to identify consistent regions between the left border and the right border.

3. 4. Analysis of Ds flanking sequences

To determine the *Ds* insertion sites of every independent line, a simple inverse PCR (IPCR) was developed to amplify genomic DNA sequences flanking *Ds* insertion sites in barley. Since the selection of restriction sites (not too close or not too far from the insertion) is a critical factor for a successful iPCR. The restriction enzyme *BstyI* was used to digest genomic DNA. Two nested primers corresponding to *Ds*3 or *Ds*5 regions, and to the sequences close to the *BstyI* site within the *Ds*3–Ubi-Bar–Ds5 cassette were designed to carry out two nested PCR reactions. *BstyI* greatly increase the probability of amplifying the flanking regions. Fig. 8 shows typical secondary IPCR products from 18 independent single copy *Ds* insertions derived from original *Ds* containing starter lines obtained via particle bombardment. The IPCR products were directly used for sequencing.





Figure 8: Isolation of *Ds* flanking sequences by the IPCR method. 18 independent single-copy *Ds* lines numbered from 1 to 24, respectively,. Visualization of the secondary PCR reaction products was performed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. Genomic DNA was digested with *Bsty*I and ligated. Two nested PCR using the combination of primers of *Ds*3R1/Ds3F1, *Ds*3R2/Ds3F2 at *Ds*3 region were then performed. M: 1 kb ladder (Invitrogen).

In order to amplify longer fragments flanking *Ds* insertion sites, a modified adapterligation PCR has been developed in barley. Genomic DNA was digested with *Dra* I or *EcoRV*, and then ligated to an adaptor. Two nested adaptor primers AP1 and AP2 and *Ds*-element primers *Ds*3ALP1, *Ds*3ALP2, and *Ds*5ALP1, *Ds*5ALP2 are used to amplify the flanking region surrounding Ds3 and Ds5 regions, respectively. Fig.9. shows the secondary PCR fragments flanking *Ds*3 and *Ds*5 regions from 24 independent single-copy *Ds* insertion lines.



Figure 9: Isolation of *Ds* flanking fragments from genomic DNA of 24 lines containing a single copy of *Ds* insertion by adaptor-ligation PCR method. Visualization of the secondary PCR reaction products was performed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. *Ds* inserted lines are numbered from A11 to C3. Genomic DNA samples were digested with *Dra*I and ligated to the adaptors. Two-step PCR using combinations of primers of (**A**) *Ds*3R1/AP1, *Ds*3R2/AP2, and (**B**) *Ds*5F1/AP1, *Ds*5F2/AP2 were performed. Marker: 1 kb ladder (Invitrogen).

To test if the PCR-based methods for isolation of Ds flanking genomic barley sequences are reliable, a primer adjacent to one end of genomic sequences flanking Ds3 or Ds5region and Ds3 and Ds5 region primers were used to re-amplify Ds flanking sequences. Forward primer A5F corresponding to the genomic sequence flanking Ds3 region isolated by IPCR from line A5, reverse primer Ds3R1 and Ds3R2 adjacent to Ds3 region were used to re-amplify PCR products. Using combinations of primers of A5F and Ds3R1, A5F and Ds3R2, approximately 900 bp (Fig. 10a) and 800bp fragments (Fig.10a) were amplified, respectively. Similarly, the forward primer Dub3F corresponding to the genomic sequence flanking Ds3 region isolated by adaptor-ligation PCR from line *dub* 1 and Ds3 region reverse primer Ds3R1, and reverse primer Dub5R corresponding to genomic region flanking Ds5 region isolated by adaptor-ligation PCR from line *dub* 1 and Ds5 forward primer Ds5F1 were used to perform PCR. Using combinations of primers of Dub3F and Ds3R1, Ds5F1and Dub5R, approximately 1.2kb (Fig.10b) fragment flanking Ds3 region and 800bp fragments flanking Ds5 region (Fig.10b) were amplified, respectively The these results showed that the flanking sequence was true genomic region flanking the Ds insertion and IPCR and adaptorligation PCR are reliable.



Figure 10: Re-Amplification of genomic regions flanking *Ds* insertion. (a): a 800 bp genomic fragment was amplified from *Ds*3 flanking region by PCR using primer A5F and *Ds*3R1(A); a 900 bp genomic fragment was amplified from *Ds*3 flanking region by PCR using primer A5F and Ds3R2 (B) from line A5. (b): a PCR fragment was amplified by PCR using primer DubF and *Ds*3R1 primers from *Ds*3 flanking region insertion (A); a PCR fragment was amplified from D5 flanking region using primer *Ds*5F1 and Dub5R (B) from line dub1, respectively. M: 1 kb ladder

Eighty-two stable, single copy *Ds* insertion lines were generated from the originally available *Ds* starter lines obtained from particle bombardment as described by Koprek et al (2000; 2001). Genomic DNA flanking *Ds* insertions from these lines has been isolated and sequenced using inverse PCR and adaptor-ligation PCR. Out of 29 independent *Ds* flanking sequences analyzed, 11 (37%) showed that the *Ds* flanking sequences are significantly homology to ESTs from public Databases (Table 6) while the remaining 21 flanking sequences did not show significantly alignment to ESTs. These results support the idea that in barley, as observed in the other heterologous plant systems such as *Arabidopsis* (Tissier er al., 1999; Ito et.al., 2002) and rice (Greco et al. 2001; Kolesnik et al 2004) the *Ds* element transposes preferentially into predicted gene coding regions.

Ds lines	Accession	Organism	Blast homology (EST)	e-value
	number			
A1			No good matches	
A2	CF308453	Rice	Brought stress cDNA	2e-09
A3			No good matches	
A4			No good matches	
A5	TC29838	Wheat	Kinase R-like protein	1.6e-113
A6	CD979572	Maize	Ear leaf cDNA	4.5e-06
A7			No good matches	
A8			No good matches	
A9			No good matches	
A10			No good matches	
A11	BJ232083	Wheat	cDNA clone whe11a015'	7.4e-17
A12	CB307665	Wheat	HFIG650 Hessian fly	4.0e-18
			infested cDNA	
A13	AJ474919	Barley	cDNA clone	9.5e-10
			S0000800177G05F1	
A14			No good matches	
A15			No good matches	

Table 6. Example of Ds insertions with high sequence similarity to Genebank sequences

A17			No good matches	
A19			No good matches	
A20	CB873151	Barely	Coleoptil tissue cDNA	1.4e-70
B1			No good matches	
B3	TC259218	Wheat	cDNA clone HD01C10 3-	1.9e-13
			PRIME	
B9			No good matches	
B10	BQ659302	Barley		5.1e-11
B11			No good matches	
C1			No good matches	
C2	TC254255	Wheat	cDNA clone	1.8e-06
			wre1n.pk0021.g3 5' end	
C3			No good matches	
C5			No good matches	
D3	CA639337	Wheat		3.0e-61
D4			No good matches	

Table 6. continued

3. 5. Comparison of *Ds* and T-DNA insertion sites

The direct comparison of Ds and T-DNA insertion sites revealed characteristic features of both insertional mutagens (Figure 11). A high percentage of the insertions were found in single copy regions, whereas insertion in redundant or repetitive regions is lower than expected from the frequency of repetitive regions in the barley genome. Compared to the occurrence of these regions in the barley genome, both the transposon and the T-DNA show a preference for insertion in gene-containing genomic regions. Although the barley genome consists for a large part of retrotransposons and other repetitive elements, these elements where not as frequently found in Ds and T-DNA flanking sequences as expected. Because the information about genomic DNA non-coding genomic DNA in barley is limited, a high percentage of the Ds-flanking DNA could not be identified (Fig. 11b). These regions could represent for example introns because many of the flanking sequences contained at least small sequences of presumptive coding sequences further upstream or downstream of the insertion site. A relatively high percentage of *Ds* insertions were found in presumptive 5' regulatory regions whereas a majority of the T DNA appears to be inserted in presumptive 3' regulatory regions (Fig. 11c).

The detailed analysis of the nucleotide composition of the genomic DNA directly adjacent to the insertion site revealed no unusual patterns for Ds insertions (Fig12). For T-DNA insertions, on the other hand an unusually high percentage of adenine residues was found directly adjacent to the RB of the T-DNA. Normally, the percentage of adenine is around 30% in the LB and RB flanking DNA. In the first nucleotide position of the RB it was about 50%. Also in the second nucleotide position flanking the RB the percentage of guanidine was exceptionally high. About 45% of all insertion sites contained guanidine in the second nucleotide positions while the Gcontent is on average at about 20%.



Figure 11: Classification of *Ds* and T-DNA insertion sites.

a) Classification by copy number. Copy number was determined by hybridization of flanking regions to genomic DNA, sequence analysis and mapping results. b) Distribution of *Ds* and T-DNA insertions within genome regions based on EST and BAC sequences identified in BLASTN searches. Values refer to sequences directly adjacent to the insertional mutagen. c) Distribution of insertions within coding regions. Included are sequences which have high similarity to ESTs directly adjacent to the insert and unidentified sequences which have similarity to ESTs further upstream or downstream of the insertion site. Light grey columns represent *Ds* insertions, dark grey columns represent T-DNA insertions.





a) Nucleotide composition of LB and RB T-DNA flanking sequences. b) Nucleotide composition of the 5' and 3' side of *Ds* insertion sites. c) Detailed nucleotide composition 10 bp upstream and downstream of the T-DNA insertion site.

3. 6. Mapping Ds launch pad T-DNA integration sites

The majority of Ds transpositions are within a few centi-Morgans of starting location, therefore, use of an Ac/Ds system allows the targeting of a particular region of interest if a linked starting point is available. To map the Ds launch pad T-DNA insertion sites, two approaches were adopted based on DNA sequences flanking T-DNA insertion sites. Wheat-barley addition lines and RFLP mapping populations were used for the mapping. Using primers corresponding to genomic DNA flanking T-DNA line 33-48A, the PCR fragments were amplified from genomic DNA in 2H addition line and barley. The result indicated that the T-DNA distributed in chromosome 2H (Fig. 13 A). A PCR product was amplified from only barley genomic DNA (Fig. 13B) using primers in genomic DNA flanking TDNA line 33-25A. The result suggested that the TDNA might distribute in chromosome 5H. However, the PCR products were amplified from 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 6H, 7H, barley and wheat in line 40-50A, and from 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H in line 33-15A (Fig. 13C and D), respectively. In the case, T-DNA insertion sites can not be mapped. Using this strategy, out of 57 T-DNA insertion sites analyzed, 33 T-DNA lines showed the PCR products were amplified from one barley addition line or only from barley. Fig. 12A showed the results: 2 from 1H (5.8 %), four from 2H (11.7%), 4 from 3H (11.7%), 6 from 4H (20.5%), 16 (44%) from only barley, one from 6H (2.9%), respectively. Other 23 (40 %) T-DNA loci cannot be mapped because the PCR products were amplified from more than two barley chromosomes (for details, see Table B in the appendix). In the cases, genomic sequences flanking T-DNA may represent multi-gene families or repetitive sequences.


Figure 13: Determination of the distribution of TDNA insertion using wheat-barley addition lines by PCR analysis. Primers based on the sequences flanking TDNA were designed to amplify PCR products. Lane 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, represent wheat-barley addition line 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 6H, 7H, barley (cv. Betzes) and wheat (cv. Chinese Spring), respectively. A: a PCR product was amplified from 2H addition line in 33-48A. B: a PCR product was amplified from only barely line in 33-25A. C: the PCR products were amplified from 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 6H, 7H, barley and wheat in 40-50A. D: the PCR products were amplified from 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 6H, 7H, barley and barley line in 33-15A. M: 1kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen).

Genetic mapping T-DNA insertion sites were performed using SSCP (single strain conformation polymorphism) analysis based on RFLP mapping strategy. Primers corresponding to genomic sequences flanking T-DNA, where PCR products were amplified from only one of wheat-barley addition chromosome by PCR analysis using wheat-barley additional lines, were used to screen for the polymorphisms between the parents referencing DH mapping populations. In order to illustrate the mapping strategy, an example of the mapping procedures for a SSCP analysis is presented. Figure 14 showed the polymorphisms from the digestion of the corresponding sequences from alleles with a range of restriction endonucleases *AluI*, *Hae*III, *HhaI*, *MseI*, *MspI*, *RsaI*, *TaqI*, *Sau96I*, *ScrFI*, *Tsp509*, *TaqI* and *BstuI* from Dom and Rec in line 40-7A using SSCP gel analysis.

Out of 33 analyzed, 16 genomic sequences generated from corresponding alleles from Dom and Rec, or Mores and Steptoe showed the polymorphisms using SSCP analysis (Table 7). The corresponding sequence was amplified from each member of the DH mapping population, and digested with the appropriated restriction enzyme. Depending upon the size of the DNA fragments the individual members of the appropriate mapping population were assayed and scored for the polymorphisms on SSCP gels. The mapping of T-DNA insertion in line 28-13 provides an example of the strategy. The PCR products from each progenies of OWB DH mapping population were digested with *Bstu*I and polymorphism were scored using SSCP gel analysis. This gives a clear and easily scored polymorphism, as shown for Dom, Rec, and 42 of the OWB DH lines (Fig. 15). Sixteen T-DNA insertions were mapped in the OWB DH mapping population as well as More x Steptoe DH mapping population (Fig. 16)



Figure 14: SSCP analysis of polymorphisms between the parents of DH mapping populations. Primers based on the sequences flanking T-DNA from 40-7A were used to identify polymorphisms in the sequences of the corresponding alleles from Dom (D) and Rec (R). Restriction enzyme *AluI*, *Hae*III, *HhaI*, *MseI*, *MspI*, *RsaI*, *TaqI*, *Sau96I ScrFI*, Tsp509, *TaqI* and *BstuI* were used to digest PCR products from Dom and Rec.



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 D R

Figure 15: SSCP analysis of polymorphisms in the OWB DH mapping population. Primers based on the sequences flanking T-DNA from 28-13A were used to identify polymorphisms in the each member of OWB DH mapping population. Restriction enzyme *Bstu*I was used to digest PCR products, lane 1 to 42 represent 42 members of the mapping population, D: Dom, R: Rec.

T-DNA	primers sequences	Polymorphism	Parents of
lines		detection	mapping
			population
28-13A	CCTTGCACAGTGGACGAGTA	BstuI	Dom and Rec
	TGAGTTGTGACACGGCAGA		
29-9A	CCATAATCATGCACATAAGA	AluI, MseI	Dom and Rec
	ATCAC		
	CCCTCGAGGCTCTCCTAACT		
29-11A	GATGTCACCCAATGTTGTGC	AluI, HaeIII, HhaI,	Dom and Rec
	GGAATTGTGTTTTGGCAATCC	MseI, MspI, RsaI	
29-19A	ACATGTGAGCTTGCTGGTTG	AluI, HaeIII, HhaI,	Dom and Rec
	TGGGGGATGGTTAATGGTAG	MseI, MspI, RsaI	
33-20A	GAGGATAGGGTCGCATCTTG	MseI, RsaI	Dom and Rec
	CATTAAAAACGTCCGCAATGTG		
33-25A	CACTCGCATCAAACCTCGTA	AluI, HaeIII, HhaI,	Dom and Rec
	GTCCACGTACGCAAGACAATC	MseI, MspI, RsaI	
33-29A	ATCTAGAGGCCTTTTCCGACA	MseI	Dom and Rec
	GATCCGTACTGCATCAGGTTG		
33-32A	GCCATGTGATGTGATGCAGC	AluI, HaeIII, HhaI,	Dom and Rec
	CCATGAGACCGTACAAGTTCG	<i>Hinf</i> I, MseI, MspI,	
		RsaI	
33-38A	ATGAAGATCAGCATCCAGCAG	MseI	Dom and Rec
	ACCGAACATGGCTTATTTACC		
33-42A	ATCAAACACACTTCCAAATCCTG	RsaI	Dom and Rec
	ATCCATTTGTGTTTGCTGAGG		
33-48A	GAACTTTGGGGACGTGATTG	Sau96I	Dom and Rec
	ATGCATCGTCTTCAGTGCATC		
33-56A	GCCCTCCTTGGTCTTTTCTC	AluI, HaeIII, HhaI,	Dom and Rec
	AGCTCTTCCCCTAACTCTCC	Hinfl, Msp I, Rsa I,	
33-62A	CCAAGTCCCAAACGTAGTCC	HhaI, Rsa I	Dom and Rec
	ATCGATCCATCGATGACCG		

Table 7. Summery of the primer sequences and restriction endonucleases used to convert

 RFLP marker into polymorphic T-DNA insertion sites

Results

39-10A	AGTTCGTTGGTTGGTTACGC	AluI, BstuI HaeIII,	Dom and Rec
	GACCAGTGCTCCTCTCTCTGT	MspI, RsaI, TaqI,	
		Sau96I ScrFI,	
		Tsp509, TaqI	
40-7A	GCACCGCTCTCGGTATAAAG	AluI, BstuI,	Dom and Rec
	GACACCACCTTGGCTGAAAG	HaeIII, HhaI,	
		MseI, MspI, RsaI,	
		TaqI, Sau961	
		ScrFI, Tsp509,	
		TaqI	
41-9B	GTAGCACCAAGTGCAGCAAA	HaeIII	Morexand
	TCTCAGAATGCCACAAAATG		Steptoe

Table 7. continued



Figure 16: Positions of mapped *Ds* launch pad T-DNA insertions in Oregon Wolfe Barley Inkage and bin map. The map includes 16 mapped *Ds* launch pad T-DNA insertions, as well as morphological markers, and centromeric regions. Distances are given in Kosambi cM and are cumulative. T41-9B was mapped in the Steptoe and Mores population.

3. 7. Genetic mapping Ds insertion sites

Based on the ability of Ds to transpose preferentially to genetically linked sites, genes or regions of interest in close vicinity to one of the mapped Ds elements can be targeted by reactivating the Ds element by crossing with AcTransposase expressing lines. In order to perform local mutagenesis, we genetically mapped independent Ds insertion sites in the barley genome. Sequences flanking Ds elements were used as probes in RFLP mapping analysis. In initial mapping experiments we used transposed Ds elements which were derived from four different single-copy Ds starter lines (denominated DsA, DsB, DsC and *DsD*). Using IPCR or adaptor - ligation PCR we obtained from 65 lines PCR products as a single band which was large enough to be used as a hybridization probe in RFLP mapping. Thirty-four of these PCR fragments showed a clear polymorphism in the mapping populations used. The transposed Ds elements denoted DsD4 and DsB6 mapped to two different loci. Currently, thirty-eight independent Ds insertion sites have been mapped on the bin maps (Fig. 17) on all seven barley chromosomes by RFLP. DsA and DsB were originally inserted in chromosome 6H and 4H, respectively. After remobilization by crossing with AcTransposase expressing lines, five Ds insertions (A1, A9, A10, A11, A12) (31.25%) of 16 Ds elements derived from DsA transposed into genetically linked sites while out of 11 (68.75%) DsA elements, three DsA (A8, A14, A16) transposed into chromosomes 2H; three DsA elements (A3, A6, A17) transposed into chromosome 3H; DsA7 and DsA2 transposed into chromosome 1H and 4H, respectively; four DsA (A5, A15, A19, A20) transposed into chromosome 5H. Three DsB insertions (B2, B3) (22%) of 10 derived *Ds* elements transposed into genetically linked sites on chromosome 4H; three *DsB* (B1, B5b, B7) transposed into chromosome 2H; two DsB (B6a, B8) transposed into chromosome 3H; the remaining three DsB4b, DsB9 and DsB6b transposed into chromosome 5H, 6H, and 7H, respectively. These results demonstrated that Ds elements preferentially transposed into genetically linked sites and are highly dispersed in the tagging population (Table 8). The number of mapped Ds elements derived from DsC and DsD is too small to make statements about the linkage of original and new insertion sites in these cases.

Table 8. Summary of distribution of genetically mapped	Ds insertions
Ds insertions	Chromosomal
	distribution
DsA7	1H
DsA8, DsA14, DsA16, DsB1, DsB5b, DsB7,	2H
DsC, DsC1, DsC5a, DsD4a	
DsA3, DsA6, DsA17, DsB6a, DsB8, DsC5a,	3H
DsD	
DsA2, DsB, DsB2, DsB3	4H
DsA5, DsA15, DsA19, DsA20, DsC3, DsC4,	5H
DsD4b	
DsA, DsA1, DsA9, DsA10, DsA11, DsA12,	6H
DsB9	
DsB6b, DsD1	7H



Figure 17: Positions of mapped insertions in Oregon Wolfe Barley linkage and bin map. The map includes 34 mapped *Ds* insertions, and as well as morphological markers, and centromeric regions. Distances are given in Kosambi cM and are cumulative.

3. 8. Ds excision and re-insertion

To assess the transposition efficiency of Ds elements in barley, single-copy Ds launch pads containing pWBV-Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds and pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar were crossed with a line expressing AcTransposase. To demonstrate excision of Ds element from the Ds launch pads, PCR analysis was performed on F2 progeny. An empty donor 421 bp fragment is amplified by PCR using the primers in the 35S promoter and left border when the Ds element is excised from the lines transformed with pWBV-Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds (Fig. 18B). Similarly, an empty donor 555 bp fragment (Fig. 19B) also amplified using the primers in the maize ubiquitin1 promoter and Bar gene when *Ds* element is excised from the lines transformed with pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar while a 1200 bp fragment can be amplified when Ds element stays at donor locus (Fig. 19A). In the lines transformed with pWBV-Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds, 17 of 20 independent F2 progenies showed Ds excision from 33% to 75%, the remaining three independent E progenies (28-10C, 28-15A, 33-32D) did not showed *Ds* excision (Table 9). The average excision frequency of *Ds* element was 49.2%. In the lines transformed with pWBVI-Ubi-DsI-Bar, five of 6 independent F2 progenies gave rise to Ds excision from 71-75% and one E progeny (41-39A) did not showed Ds excision (Table 10). In this study, the average excision frequency of Dselement was 67.7% in the E progenies. Progeny from crosses with high transposition frequencies were selected for large-scale mutagenesis.



Figure 18: Detection of excision of Ds in the leaves of F₂ plants transformed with pWBV-*Ds-Ubi-Bar-Ds*. A: Arrows represent primers corresponding to the 35S promoter region and the T-DNA left border, respectively; B: An empty donor site (421bp) can be amplified from F₂ plants by PCR when the *Ds* element has been excised; C: 421 bp empty donor sites were amplified from F2 plants of *Ds* line 28-25A and *Ds* line 28-26B. M: 1 kb ladder (Invitrogen).

Ds lines	F2 plants tested	No. of F2 plants showing	Frequency of Ds excision
	showing Ds	Ds excision	
	excision		
28-1A	20	15	75
28-2A	19	11	57
28-9A	7	4	57
28-10C	21	0	0
28-15A	17	0	0
28-16A	17	8	47
28-24A	6	2	33
28-25A	29	14	48
28-26B	69	33	47
28-30C	21	12	57
28-40A	52	25	48
33-6B	25	9	36
33-8B	21	12	57
33-10A	4	2	50
33-14A	56	36	64
33-29A	35	21	60
33-32A	20	9	45
33-32D	17	0	0
33-48A	22	10	45
33-61A	35	22	57
Total	493	243	49.2

Table 9. Frequency of *Ds* excision in the F2 progenies



Figure 19: Detection of excision of Ds in the leaves of F₂ plants transformed with pWBVI-*Ubi-DsI-Bar*. A: Arrows represent primers corresponding to the maize ubiquetin1 promoter region and the bar gene, respectively; B: An empty donor site (555 bp) can be amplified from E plants by PCR when the *Ds* element has been excised; C: 555 bp empty donor sites and 1200 bp donor sites were amplified from F2 plants of *Ds* line 41-1A and 41-44B. M: 1 kb ladder (Invitrogen).

Ds lines	F2 plants tested showing Ds excision	No. of F2 plants showing <i>Ds</i> excision	Frequency of Ds excision
41-1A	12	9	75
41-3A	25	18	72
41-21A	19	15	78
41-39A	8	0	0
41-44B	32	23	71
Total	96	65	67.7

Table 10. Frequency of *Ds* excision in the F₂ progenies

To demonstrate re-insertion of the *Ds* element, DNA gel-blot analysis was carried out on F_2 progeny (generated from a cross to a line containing *AcTPase*). This analysis detects both intact *Ds* launch pads (non-excision *Ds* elements) and re-inserted *Ds* elements (Fig. 20). DNA gel-blot analysis indicated that hybridization fragments, corresponding to re-inserted *Ds* elements, of various sizes (lanes 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12) (Fig. 20). This demonstrated the ability of *Ds* to excision and re-inserted into different genomic locations in the independent lines. The hybridization fragments corresponding to re-inserted *Ds* elements demonstrated germinal excision events.



Figure 20: Southern blot analysis to detect Ds excision and re-insertion. Genomic DNA from 12 independent F₂ families from starter line 33-14A crossing with an *Ac* transposes expressing line was digested with *Hind*III. A *Ds*5 probe was used to detect *Ds* excision and re-insertion. S: starter line 33-14A, Excised and re-inserted *Ds* elements gave rise to hybridizing bands of variable sizes, marked by tiangles. Molecular size markers are indicated in kb on the left.

Results

4. Discussion:

4.1. Generation of single-copy *Ds*-T-DNA launch pads by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation

Transformation of barley is currently feasible using both biolistic (Wan and Lemaux, 1994) and *Agrobacterium*-based methods (Tingay et al., 1997; Horvath et al., 2000; Patel et al., 2000; Trifonova et al., 2001; Matthews et al., 2001, Fang et al., 2002; Murray et al., 2004). However, compared to the biolistic DNA transfer method, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation offers several potential advantages that help to increase transgene expression (Kohli et al., 1999). These include defined transgene integration, low transgene copy numbers, integration of large intact DNA fragments, fewer rearrangements within inserts and the integration into transcriptionally active regions of the genome (Barakat et al., 2000), which increases transgene expression stability (Barakat et al., 1997). Therefore, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation is a desirable method for the transformation of barley and other grasses.

In this study, we have generated a large number of transgenic barley plants by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. The copy numbers of T-DNA insertions were in the range from one to eight in transgenic barley plants. Approximately 50% of the transgenic lines contain single-copy transgene. The average transformation efficiency was 21% which was higher than the results reported in previous studies. Tingay et al. (1997) reported a transformation frequency of 4.2%, Trifonova et al. (2001) reported frequencies from 1.7% to 6.3%, Matthews et al. (2001) and Murray et al. (2004) reported transformation frequencies of 2%-12% and 9.2%, respectively. The high transformation frequency observed in our experiments is may be attributed to the optimization of growth conditions of the donor plants, the concentration of the *Agrobacteria* inoculum and an improved hygromycin selection system (Wang et al., 1997).

Although the expression of the selectable marker genes hpt and bar was not routinely analyzed in all plants of the T_1 and T_2 generations it proved to be stable in those plants were it was tested. This demonstrated the increased expression stability of the transgenes

Discussion

compared to transgenic plants generated by particle bombardment (Kohli et al., 1999). The reduction of transgene silencing effects is also of great importance for the application of the transgenic plant lines for targeted gene tagging. It is in general a prerequisite of transposon based gene tagging systems that both the transposase gene and the transreceptive and non-autonomous elements are not silenced. In different heterologous transposon systems (Izawa et al., 1997; Chin et al., 1999) it has been observed that autonomous as well as non-autonomous elements became silenced several generations after introduction of the elements. Silencing of endogenous transposons has been suggested as a mechanism to control transposon activity and to protect plants from deleterious effects of frequent transpositions (Martienssen and Colot, 2001). In the experiments described here, relatively high excision frequencies of the Ds elements have been observed indicating full functional activity of the AcTPase gene and accessibility of most *Ds* elements. In general, the primary transposition frequencies appeared to be higher in the lines which were generated in these experiments compared to primary transposition frequencies of comparable tagging systems in barley which were generated after particle bombardment (Scholtz et al., 2001; Koprek et al., 2000).

Overall, our procedure of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation allows the production of desirable transgenic barley plants with single copy integration of defined T-DNA in large numbers. The transgenic lines proved to be more resistant to gene silencing mechanisms.

4.2. Analysis of T-DNA border junctions with genomic DNA

The T-DNA integration patterns in barley chromosomes were studied. Generally three nucleotides (TGA) of the right border repeat sequence are expected to be present at the junction to the plant DNA. This site is a known cleavage site for generating single-stranded T-DNA fragments (Yanofsky et al., 1986; Stachel et al., 1987). In 24 (37 %) of 64 RB flanking sequences examined the junction points were at this cleavage site. In the remaining plants, one to 28 nucleotides were deleted downstream of the cleavage site. This result is consistent with results from earlier barley experiments (Stahl et al. 2002).

The authors reported that three out of 11 RB contained the cleavage site and the remaining eight sequences had deletions of between one and 30 nucleotides downstream of the cleavage site. Similar observations have been made in rice (Sung-Ryul Kim et al., 2003; Jeon et al., 2000) where 29 out of 53 junctions and 11 out of 32 RB junctions, respectively were at the cleavage site. In aspen (Kumar et al., 2002) 18 out of 27 RB junctions were at the cleavage site. Similar to dicots and rice, our results suggest that RB was more conserved in the majority of the transgenic barley.

In contrast, LB junction sites were far less conserved. Among the 55 LB sequences examined in this study, there were only two cases (3.7 %) where the LB cleavage site remained. All other samples carried deletions of between 1-105 nucleotides from the LB cleavage site. A very similar observation had been made by Stahl et al. (2002). They found that only three out of 39 LB cleavage sites were conserved and contained the LB cleavage site in barley. The deletions in the other 92% of analyzed sequences showed deletions of between one to 95 nucleotides from the cleavage site. In Arabidopsis and tobacco plants, Tinland (1996) found that three out of 15 LB cleavage sites were conserved; the deletions were five to 109 nucleotides in length and one event had a 1500 bp deletion. In aspen, only four out of 20 LB cleavage sites remained, and deletions ranged from two to 24 nucleotides (Kumar et al., 2002). In rice, among 61 LB sequences analyzed (Kim et al., 2003) there were no cases where the LB cleavage site had remained intact. Our results, based on a large sample size, were generally similar to those observed with T-DNA junction in other plants, which suggests that T-DNA integration mechanisms are comparable between monocot plants like barley and rice, and dicot species.

Our analytical comparison of junction sites of T-DNA and barley genomic DNA presents two distinct types of junction regions. In the first type of junction, TDNA and barley genomic sequences are directly linked. Fifty-eight flanking sequences out of 64 RB sequences and 53 flanking sequences out of 55 LB sequences (84%) belong to the type. No homology was found between these sequences and the sequences from the transfer vectors pWBV-*Ds*-Ubi-*Bar* or pWBVI-Ubi-*Ds*I-*Bar*. In research on rice, T-DNA and rice

genomic sequences from 21 out of 53 RB and three out of 61 LB are directly linked, without any filler DNA and overlaps (Kim et al., 2003). This type is commonly found in junctions between the T-DNA RB and plant DNA. Therefore, it could be postulated that the T-DNA integration complex or individual components thereof may play a critical role during the integration process and determine the integration structure. The VirD2 protein which is attached to the T-DNA RB sequence may contribute to the synapsis of T-DNA and plant chromosomal DNA (Rossi et al., 1996, Mysore et al., 1998). Our data showed that this type of integration was not more frequent at the RB than at the LB. The observed frequencies of T-DNA borders immediately adjacent to genomic DNA may in fact be a little lower than calculated due to filler DNA sequences which were mostly very short and the origin of these sequences could not be determined in barley.

In the second type of junction the flanking sequences had a more complicated structure. In this study, two flanking sequences from RB contained HPT and vector backbone sequences. Unlike the RB, no cases of this integration type were found for sequences adjacent to the LB. In four lines the flanking sequences of the RB contained filler DNA which consisted of short LB and HPT sequences (31-33 bp LB sequences and a 31 bp HPT sequences) at the junction sites between T-DNA and barley genomic DNA. In rice, 17 out of 53 flanking sequences had filler DNA at the junction positions at the RB and 18 out of 63 flanking sequences had filler DNA of unknown origin between the LB and the rice genomic sequences (Sung-Ryul Kim et al., 2003). Because the identified filler DNA is normally very short (1-22 bp), it is usually difficult to deduce its origin. In aspen, filler DNA arose either from the host genome or from the T-DNA (Kumar et al., 2002). In Arabidopsis, 10 out of 23 junctions at RB had filler DNA ranging from 1 to 51 bp. Similar results were obtained for LB junctions: 17 out of 44 junctions had filler DNA ranging from 1 up 48 bp (Windels et al., 2003). However, in our case, it is difficult to identify filler DNA which originates from either short T-DNA sequences or barley genomic DNA without comparing the junction sequences with the receptive barley genomic DNA sequences at junction sites between T-DNA and genomic DNA. Filler DNA may serve to facilitate T-DNA integration into plant chromosomes (Windels et al., 2003). The analysis of the nucleotide composition of the flanking genomic DNA directly

adjacent to the right border of the T-DNA insertion site showed a significant overrepresentation of A (adenine) residues (50%) in the first nucleotide position and of G (guanidine) residues (45%) in the second position. Brumaud et al. (2002) reported a 23 base pair long DNA duplex which preferentially includes a G close to the right end of the T-DNA. They found that in Arabidopsis the nucleotides C, A and G are overrepresented in the positions 13. Although we did not observe a frequently occurring C in the first position flanking the TDNA right border, the high percentage of A and G in the barley lines hints at a possible role of these nucleotide sequence in the T-DNA integration process. Based on the analysis of 91 independent transgenic lines, our results suggest that the T-DNA integration in barley follows the same mechanism as in rice and dicot plants.

4.3. Analysis of genomic DNA flanking T-DNA insertions

Using adaptor-ligation PCR, genomic DNA flanking T-DNA insertion sites were isolated from RB and/or LB from eighty-one single-copy T-DNA lines. BLASTN search results indicated that 47% T-DNA flanking genomic regions was significantly homologous cereal ESTs. It has been estimated that only 12% of the barley genome is occupied by gene-containing regions (Barakat et al., 1997). On the other hand, only 26% of the genomic regions flanking TDNA insertions were identical to barley retrotransposons or other highly repetitive genomic regions. Repetitive DNA or retrotransposons can account for over 70% of the barley genome (Bennetzen et al., 1998; Ramakrishna et al., 2002). These results strongly suggest that T-DNA insertions are non-randomly distributed in the barley genome. Instead, there is a strong bias of T-DNA to insert in genic regions and to evade insertion in repetitive regions.

Studies of T-DNA insertions in Arabidopsis suggest a largely random nature of T-DNA insertion distribution throughout all five Arabidopsis chromosomes (Alonso e al., 2003; Forsbach, et al., 2003; Qin et al., 2003). The small Arabidopsis genome, however consists of 85% gene-rich regions (Barakat et al., 1998). Therefore, a certain preference for T DNA insertion regions can be obscured. Only the analysis of large sets of T-DNA

insertion sites can help to discover insertion site preferences. The analysis of 1000 T DNA insertion sites in Arabidopsis has indicated that the majority of TDNA land in chromosomal domains of high gene density and that the frequency in insertions is higher in the 5'- and 3'- regulatory regions (Szabados et al., 2002). In a recent study bioinformatics tools have been used to analyze the T-DNA integration sites from about 19.000 Arabidopsis insertion lines (Pan et al., 2005). The results confirmed earlier observations and demonstrated clearly an overrepresentation of T-DNA insertions in 5'- and 3'- regulatory regions of gene-rich genomic areas. The analysis of 3793 T-DNA flanking sequences in rice showed that 48.7% of the T-DNA was integrated into genic regions (An et al., 2003). These results and our own data support the idea that T-DNA insertion site preference in monocots is very similar to dicots.

In comparison to the Arabidopsis genome, barley has large non-coding regions interspersed with gene-rich regions. The observed preference of TDNA to insert into gene -containing regions has important implications for the targeted gene tagging strategy. Preselection of genic regions reduces the number of T-DNA lines which are necessary for a sufficient coverage of the barley genome with *Ds* launch pads. Furthermore, the *Ds* launch pads are in places from which activation of the transposon promises higher frequencies of *Ds*-tagged genes. Compared to random integration of Ds launch pads after particle bombardment or similar direct transformation methods the use of T-DNA promises a more successful transposon tagging system.

4. 4. Analysis of Ds flanking sequences

In this study, our BLAST search showed 37 % of *Ds* insertions shared significant homology to cereal ESTs, which may represent the predicated coding region of the barley genome. *Ac/Ds* has been shown to transpose preferentially into gene coding regions in Arabidopsis (Parinov et al., 1999; Raina et al., 2002; Kuromori et al., 2004) and rice (Enoki et al., 1999; Greco et al., 2001; Kolesnik et al., 2004). In Arabidopsis, out of about 11.000 *Ds* insertion Ine analyzed in detail, 46% of the insertions were in known or assumed protein-coding region (Kuromori et al., 2004). In T-DNA lines, 30-35% of insertions were mapped to transcription regions (Sessions et al., 2002; Szabados et al., 2002). The results indicated that Ds lines have a higher rate of insertion into coding region than T-DNA lines. The preference of the T-DNA to insert in upstream and downstream regulatory regions of predicated coding domains in Arabidopsis has been discussed above. T-DNA insertions in these regions are two to three times more frequent than those in coding regions (Szabados et al., 2002). In contrast, analysis of the insertion positions within genes demonstrated that *Ds* transposed equally into exons and introns and there no preference toward the 5' end of the gene as described in Arabidopsis (Parinov et al., 1999). A similar Ds distribution has been reported in large scale analyses (Kuromori et al., 2004; Pan et al., 2005). Moreover, in maize, a majority of Ac insertions occurred at the middle of the gene (75% were in exons) and no bias was observed near the ATG start condon (Cowperthwaite et al., 2002). Analysis of 2057 Ds flanking sequences in rice (Kuromori et al., 2004) showed that 35% of Ds insertions shared significant homology to proteins and rice ESTs. Furthermore, a detailed analysis of 200 Ds insertion positions on chromosome 1 (almost completely annotated) revealed that 72% of the insertions were in the genic region. It seems that the Ac/Ds transposontagging system is better than the T-DNA tagging system for efficient isolation of gene knockout mutants with a molecular tag in exons.

Ac/Ds tagging systems can make basically single insertion, which mostly contain a single-transposed element (Fedoroff et al., 1993; Martienssen 1998; Sundaresan et al., 1995). This would be suitable for reverse genetic analysis of disrupted genes. The tagging rate for transposon tagging lines is likely to be higher than that for T-DNA insertion lines. Furthermore, the Ds transposon is mainly inserted in or close to coding regions in the genome at a rate higher than that for T-DNA tagging lines. Therefore, Ds tagging lines might be more advantageous for systematic analysis of phenotypes of each tagged line with single insertions in genes.

4. 5. Distribution of T-DNA insertions in the barley genome

To determine the distribution of TDNA insertions in the barley genome, wheat-barley addition lines were screened by PCR analysis using primers-specific genome regions flanking T-DNA insertions. For the 57 lines analyzed in this study, 17 T-DNA insertion sites distributed on five barley chromosomes (1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, and 6H). For the 16 T DNA lines the distribution of T-DNA can not be determined because the PCR products were only generated from barley rather than from wheat barley addition lines. However, based on our results, a non-random distribution of T-DNA in barley genome was observed in this study. The results suggest that the distribution of T-DNA integration sites was preferentially in 2H (11.7%), 3H (11.7%), 4H (20.5%). The remaining 23 lines could not be mapped because the PCR products were amplified from two to seven barley chromosomes. In these cases, genomic DNA flanking T-DNA insertion sites may represent multi-gene families or repetitive sequences. For eleven lines, genomic DNA sequences flanking the T-DNA insertion sites showed significant similarity to barley repetitive sequences, which is consistent with the results from PCR analysis using wheatbarley addition lines. In general, the use of wheat-barley addition lines proved to be a helpful tool for the identification of chromosomes carrying a T-DNA insertion.

Salvo-Garrido et. al. (2004) analyzed 19 transgenic lines in barley using combined physical and genetic mapping of transgenes. The authors observed transgene integration sites on five of the barley chromosomes, 2H, 3H, 4H, 5H, and 6H. In addition, there appeared to be specific regions on chromosomes 4H and 5H where transgene insertions were clustered. In rice, T-DNA insertion density is higher in four chromosomes (i.e. 1, 2, 3, and 6) but lower in three chromosomes (9, 10 and 12) when compared with the other chromosomes (Sallaud et al. 2004). Similar patterns in rice have been observed by other groups (An et al., 2003). The authors observed TDNA insertion density was higher in chromosomes 1, 4 and 10, and TDNA insertions were not evenly distributed on the chromosome, with integration frequencies being lower near the centromere and higher in the distal regions, where gene density was high. These results support the conclusion that transgenes may not randomly integrate in the genome of cereals.

Based on our results, the genetic location of T-DNA insertions appears to be not evenly distributed on the chromosomes. However, the number of mapped insertion sites is still to low to make final conclusions.

For the first time, we used the single-stranded conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis approach for sequences-based RFLP mapping of T-DNA insertion sites in barley. Based on our results, this technique is a simple and powerful tool for detection of polymorphisms in DNA fragments in barley. Using this technique, 16 TDNA insertion sites were genetically mapped on barley chromosomes. SSCP analysis has been used successfully as a genetic mapping tool in mouse (Beier et al., 1992, 1993). This PCRbased method takes advantage of the observation that the migration pattern of a short, single-stranded DNA fragment under non-denaturing conditions is sensitive to its DNA sequence, presumably due to alternative secondary structures that can be formed (Orita et al., 1989). Analysis of denatured PCR products can detect even a single base difference. SSCP analysis is generally applicable, and can be used to map any unique DNA sequence. It is applicable to all unique sequences in sufficiently divergent strains and generates stable inherited polymorphisms that can be used for genetic mapping (Förnzler et al., 1998). Unlike common RFLP mapping approaches, the PCR-SSCP analysis for the sequence-based RFLP method does not require large amounts of genomic DNA and radioactive labeling reactions. We have shown that SSPC analysis is an efficient, simple, and reproducible method for mapping T-DNA insertions in barley.

4.7. Distribution sites of *Ds* transpositions

Using a RFLP based mapping strategy, we determined the genome location of 34 independent single-copy *Ds* insertions in the barley genome. The mapping results showed that *Ds* elements preferentially transposed into genetically linked sites and are evenly dispersed on all of the chromosomes in barley.

Ac/Ds elements tend to transpose preferentially to genetically linked sites in maize (Dooner et al., 1989; 1991) and in heterologous plants such as Arabidopsis (Bancroft et

al., 1993a; Keller et al., 1993b; Raina et al., 2002), tobacco (Jones et al., 1991) and barley (Koprek et al., 2000) and rice where the frequency of linked transposition ranged from 35 to 80% (Greco et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2004; 2004; Nakagawq et al., 2000). Analysis of 2057 Ds flanking sequences showed that the Ds insertions were distributed randomly throughout the rice genome and preferentially transposed into genetically linked loci (Kolesnik et. al., 2004). Similarly, the analysis of 1072 Ds insertion sites in rice indicate that Ds is transposed into all chromosomes near the donor sites. However, insertions were also found on physically unlinked chromosome arms (Kim et al., 2004). Recently, insertion sites of T-DNA and Ds elements have been characterized in rice (An et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003; Kolesnik et al., 2004 ; Kim et al., 2004). 70% to 80% Ds elements were found in genic regions, for T-DNA inserts, 50 to 60% were found in genic regions. Therefore, Ds tends to be inserted into genic region. Ds might be more preferential insertion sites than TDNA, which could be a part of explanation why Ds is found in genic region more frequency than TDNA. However, in this study, our results did not show that the Ds elements inserted in genic regions more frequency than T-DNA. This might be due to the fact that the available information on EST as well as genomic sequences for barley is limited and our own data results from relatively few events compared to studies in rice or Arabidopsis.

Overall, the results of this study demonstrate the preference of Ds elements to transpose to genetically linked gene-containing sites in barley. Consequently, the Ac/Ds system can be effectively utilized for targeted gene tagging in barley. By locating the Ds insertions on the barley genetic map researchers interested in a specific region of the genome can choose the appropriate Ds insertion line for reactivation experiments. Recently, 19 mapped Ds insertion lines in barley were released to public use (Cooper et al., 2004) of which 10 where also analyzed and mapped in our study. The combination of our 34 mapped Ds insertion lines, 15 mapped T-DNA lines and 9 additional lines from Cooper et al. (2004), gives a total of 68 mapped insertion lines which are available for researchers to carry out targeting gene tagging. Similar experiments are currently in progress in Australia (P. Langridge, personal communication) which will add further mapped Ds launch pads to the insertion map.

4.7. Ds excision and re-insertion

Activation of *Ds* transposition by crossing with plants containing the *Ac* Transposase gene resulted in F2 plants on average in *Ds* excision frequencies of 49.2% in lines carrying the plasmid pWBV*Ds*-Ubi-*Bar* and 67.7% in lines carrying plaasmid pWBVI-Ubi-*Ds*I-*Bar* Similar frequencies were reported in rice (Chin et al., 1999; Greco et., 2001; Nakagawa et al., 2000; Kolesnik et al., 2004). We have observed varying excision rates depending on the *Ds* starter lines used. The *Ds* excision frequency varied from 33% to 75% in presence of same source of *Ac* Transposase. Previous studies showed that the *Ds* donor locus may influence the transposition frequency. Different chromatin structures as well as methylation of the transposon were assumed to influence the binding of the transposase and other host factors required for excision of the *Ds* element (Bancroft, et al., 1993). In Arabidopsis, significant differences in transposition frequencies were reported, even in the presence of the same transposase source (Bancroft et al., 1993). Our results support the idea that the *Ds* donor locus may influence the transposition in barley.

In several transgenic lines the F₂ progenies did not show an empty donor site as an indication of excision. The reason in these lines could be the deletion of the PCR primer annealing sequence adjacent to the left border. The left border of T-DNA is frequently deleted during the integration of the foreign DNA into chromosomes (Hiei et al., 1994; Jeon et al., 2000, Kim et al., 2003). The detection of excision events was therefore not possible by PCR. The *Ds* re-insertion was confirmed by Southern blot analysis. However, the frequency of *Ds* re-insertion was lower than that of *Ds* excision resulting from PCR analysis of the empty donor sites. The results indicated that *Ds* excision occurred in somatic and germinal transposition. In the cases of somatic transposition, it was difficult to be detected by transposed events by Southern hybridization

4.8. Conclusion and perspectives

Based on our results, we demonstrate that the Ac/Ds system is a highly efficient tool for random insertional mutagenesis in barley. A high number of single-copy T-DNA insertion lines which carry a Ds element have been generated. These TDNA insertions serve as Ds launch pads in tagging experiments. In order to generate a population that is suitable for targeted gene tagging primary insertion sites of T-DNA and secondary insertion sites of transposed Ds elements have been mapped. T-DNA insertion site were successfully mapped by sequence-based RFLP mapping after optimization of the singlestranded conformation polymorphism (SSCP) mapping approach for barley. Secondary insertion site of transposed Ds elements have been mapped by RFLP mapping. Mapping results showed that insertion sites are distributed on all chromosomes and that Dselements preferentially transposed to genetically linked sites.

Crosses between transgenic barley plants carrying the Ds launch pad with Ac Transposase expressing plants were made. Resulting E progenies showed an average Ds excision or transposition efficiency 52 %. The frequency of transposition differed largely between independent Ds launch pad lines and may be a consequence of the primary insertion site of the T-DNA. However, the high frequency of transposition events allow for the application of the system for systematic insertional mutagenesis.

A comprehensive sequence analysis of T-DNA and *Ds* flanking genomic barley DNA has been performed. In this study, BLASTN search results showed that 37 % of *Ds* insertion sites shared significant homology to cereal ESTs, which suggested that *Ds* elements preferentially transposed into gene-rich regions in barley genome. Similarly, the analysis of genomic DNA flanking T-DNA insertions also showed that T-DNA preferentially inserted into gene-rich regions. Insertions into repetitive regions are fro both insertional mutagens frequent but significantly underrepresented when compared with the composition of the barley genome. Thus, the distribution of both T-DNA and *Ds* elements in the barley genome shows a non-random pattern. Detailed analysis of the nucleotide composition directly adjacent to the T-DNA revealed that the T-DNA integration process follows apparently the same mechanism in monocots as in dicots. Moreover, the analysis of the immediate right border sequences of the T DNA showed a very high percentage of adenin and guanidin residues at the first two base pairs of the genomic sequence, which might be relevant for the integration mechanism. A search for DNA motifs surrounding the integration sites showed for both elements a relatively high number of kinked or bend DNA structures which have frequently be suggested to be of importance for the integration of foreign DNA into the host genome.

The data presented here shows that an efficient system for targeted gene tagging in barley has been generated. The use of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation made it feasible to generate large numbers of single copy T-DNA insertion lines serving as launch pads of *Ds* elements. The preferential integration of T-DNA in genic regions positions the *Ds* elements in areas from where their activation will most likely result in insertions in genes as a consequence of their preferential transposition into closely linked sites.

The combination of TDNA and *Ac/Ds* transposons make this system an ideal tool for barley functional genomics. Due to the synteny of the barley genome with genomes of other *Triticeae*, especially tetraploid and hexaploid species such as oat and wheat, the development of this system for targeted gene tagging in barley also promises to provide a useful tool for functional genomics in other *Triticeae*.

5. Summary

We have generated a large number of transgenic barley plants carrying the maize transposons *Activator* (*Ac*) and *Dissociation* (*Ds*) by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. The average efficiency of transformation was more than 20%. Approximately 50% of the transgenic lines contain a single copy of the *Ds* element. The single-copy *Ds* lines have been used as launch pads to develop a population for targeted gene tagging in barley.

In order to define *Ds* launch pads for targeted insertional mutagenesis, 16 T-DNA insertion site and 38 secondary insertion sites of transposed *Ds* elements were genetically mapped by a sequence-based SSCP (single strand conformation polymorphism) analysis approach and RFLP analysis. The mapping results showed that *Ds* elements preferentially transposed into genetically linked sites.

Single-copy Ds containing plants lines were crossed with lines expressing the *Ac*transposase gene to activate the Ds element. F₂ plants resulting from these crosses showed an average Ds excision frequency of 52% with a variation between 33% and 75% depending on the Ds starter lines used.

A detailed molecular analysis of T-DNA integration sites in barley genome was carried out using single-copy transgenic lines. Sequence analysis of T-DNA flanking regions showed a non-random distribution of T-DNA integration sites in the genome. Approximately 50% of the T-DNA insertions are found to be in gene-coding regions and 26 % of the T-DNA insertions are in repetitive regions of the barley genome. Likewise, the sequence analysis of isolated Ds flanking DNA showed that Ds preferentially inserted into coding region in the barley genome and is underrepresented in repetitive or noncoding regions. Therefore, the combination of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation and the *Ac/Ds* transposon system takes advantage of the insertion characteristics of the T-DNA and the *Ds* elements. The T-DNA positions the *Ds* element preferentially in a generich region from where *Ds* elements can be activated to transpose into closely linked sites resulting in high gene tagging frequencies.

An *Ac/Ds*-based gene tagging system has been developed which has potential to be an efficient tool for high-throughput insertional mutagenesis in barley. Due to the synteny between the genomes of *Triticeae* species, the development of such a system promises to provide a useful tool for functional genomics in other *Triticeae* species as well.

Zusammenfassung

Durch *Agrobakterium*-vermittelte Transformation wurde eine große Anzahl transgener Gerstenpflanzen mit den Maistransposons *Activator* (*Ac*) and *Dissociation* (*Ds*) erzeugt. Die durchschnittliche Transformationseffizienz lag dabei bei über 20%. Etwa 50% der transgenen Linien enthalten das *Ds* element als single-copy Insertion. Die sincle-copy *Ds* Linien wurden als Startpositionen verwendet, um eine Population zum zielgerichteten Gen-tagging zu entwickeln.

Um *Ds* Startpositionen fürs zielgerichtete Gen-tagging zu definieren, wurden 16 T-DNA Insertionsstellen und 38 Insertionsstellen transponierter *Ds* Elemente SSCP and RFLP Analyse genetisch kartiert. Die Kartierung von transponierten *Ds* Elementen zeigte eine bevorzugte Insertion in genetisch eng benachbarten Bereichen.

Ds Elemente in single-copy transgenen Pflanzen wurden durch Kreuzen mit *Ac*Transposase exprimierenden Pflanzen zum Springen aktiviert. Die aus den Kreuzungen resultierenden F_2 Pflanzen zeigten eine durchschnittliche Excisions frequenz von 52%, wobei die Frequenzen je nach Starter Linie zwischen 33% und 75% variierten.

Mit den Linien, die nur eine Kopie des Transgens enthalten, wurde eine detailierte molekulare Analyse der T-DNA Insertionsstellen durchgeführt. Die Sequenzanalyse der T-DNA flankierenden genomischen Gersten DNA zeigte, dass die TDNA Integration in Gerste nicht zufällig erfolgt. Ungefähr 50% der T-DNA Insertionen wurden in codierenden Bereichen und 26% in repetitiven Regionen des Gersten Genoms gefunden. Ebenso zeigte die Sequenzanalyse der *Ds* flankierenden DNA, daß *Ds* bevorzugt in codierende Regionen des Gerstengenoms springt und in repetitiven und nicht-codierenden Regionen unterrepräsentiert ist. Folglich verbindet die Kombination der *Agrobakterium*-vermittelten Transformation und des *Ac/Ds* Transposonsystems in vorteilhafter Weise die Eigenschaften der T-DNA und der *Ds* Elemente. Die T-DNA führt zur Integartion der *Ds* Elemente in Gen-reichen Regionen, von wo sie dann zu

Summary

Transpositionen in genetisch eng verknüpfte Bereiche aktiviert werden können Dies resultiert dann in hohen Gen-tagging Frequenzen.

Es wurde ein auf den Maistransposons *Ac/Ds* basierendes Gen-tagging System entwickelt, das potentiell ein effizientes Instrument zur Hochdurchsatz Insertionsmutagenese in Gerste ist. Aufgrund der Syntheny zwischen den Genomen der *Triticeen* verspricht die Enwicklung eines solchen Systems auch für andere *Triticeae* ein hilfreiches Instrument zur funktionellen Genomanalyse zu werden.

5. Summary

We have generated a large number of transgenic barley plants carrying the maize transposons *Activator* (*Ac*) and *Dissociation* (*Ds*) by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. The average efficiency of transformation was more than 20%. Approximately 50% of the transgenic lines contain a single copy of the *Ds* element. The single-copy *Ds* lines have been used as launch pads to develop a population for targeted gene tagging in barley.

In order to define *Ds* launch pads for targeted insertional mutagenesis, 16 T-DNA insertion site and 38 secondary insertion sites of transposed *Ds* elements were genetically mapped by a sequence-based SSCP (single strand conformation polymorphism) analysis approach and RFLP analysis. The mapping results showed that *Ds* elements preferentially transposed into genetically linked sites.

Single-copy Ds containing plants lines were crossed with lines expressing the *Ac*transposase gene to activate the Ds element. F₂ plants resulting from these crosses showed an average Ds excision frequency of 52% with a variation between 33% and 75% depending on the Ds starter lines used.

A detailed molecular analysis of T-DNA integration sites in barley genome was carried out using single-copy transgenic lines. Sequence analysis of T-DNA flanking regions showed a non-random distribution of T-DNA integration sites in the genome. Approximately 50% of the T-DNA insertions are found to be in gene-coding regions and 26 % of the T-DNA insertions are in repetitive regions of the barley genome. Likewise, the sequence analysis of isolated Ds flanking DNA showed that Ds preferentially inserted into coding region in the barley genome and is underrepresented in repetitive or noncoding regions. Therefore, the combination of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation and the *Ac/Ds* transposon system takes advantage of the insertion characteristics of the T-DNA and the *Ds* elements. The T-DNA positions the *Ds* element preferentially in a generich region from where *Ds* elements can be activated to transpose into closely linked sites resulting in high gene tagging frequencies.

An *Ac/Ds*-based gene tagging system has been developed which has potential to be an efficient tool for high-throughput insertional mutagenesis in barley. Due to the synteny between the genomes of *Triticeae* species, the development of such a system promises to provide a useful tool for functional genomics in other *Triticeae* species as well.

Zusammenfassung

Durch *Agrobakterium*-vermittelte Transformation wurde eine große Anzahl transgener Gerstenpflanzen mit den Maistransposons *Activator* (*Ac*) and *Dissociation* (*Ds*) erzeugt. Die durchschnittliche Transformationseffizienz lag dabei bei über 20%. Etwa 50% der transgenen Linien enthalten das *Ds* element als single-copy Insertion. Die sincle-copy *Ds* Linien wurden als Startpositionen verwendet, um eine Population zum zielgerichteten Gen-tagging zu entwickeln.

Um *Ds* Startpositionen fürs zielgerichtete Gen-tagging zu definieren, wurden 16 T-DNA Insertionsstellen und 38 Insertionsstellen transponierter *Ds* Elemente SSCP and RFLP Analyse genetisch kartiert. Die Kartierung von transponierten *Ds* Elementen zeigte eine bevorzugte Insertion in genetisch eng benachbarten Bereichen.

Ds Elemente in single-copy transgenen Pflanzen wurden durch Kreuzen mit *Ac*Transposase exprimierenden Pflanzen zum Springen aktiviert. Die aus den Kreuzungen resultierenden F_2 Pflanzen zeigten eine durchschnittliche Excisions frequenz von 52%, wobei die Frequenzen je nach Starter Linie zwischen 33% und 75% variierten.

Mit den Linien, die nur eine Kopie des Transgens enthalten, wurde eine detailierte molekulare Analyse der T-DNA Insertionsstellen durchgeführt. Die Sequenzanalyse der T-DNA flankierenden genomischen Gersten DNA zeigte, dass die TDNA Integration in Gerste nicht zufällig erfolgt. Ungefähr 50% der T-DNA Insertionen wurden in codierenden Bereichen und 26% in repetitiven Regionen des Gersten Genoms gefunden. Ebenso zeigte die Sequenzanalyse der *Ds* flankierenden DNA, daß *Ds* bevorzugt in codierende Regionen des Gerstengenoms springt und in repetitiven und nicht-codierenden Regionen unterrepräsentiert ist. Folglich verbindet die Kombination der *Agrobakterium*-vermittelten Transformation und des *Ac/Ds* Transposonsystems in vorteilhafter Weise die Eigenschaften der T-DNA und der *Ds* Elemente. Die T-DNA führt zur Integartion der *Ds* Elemente in Gen-reichen Regionen, von wo sie dann zu
Summary

Transpositionen in genetisch eng verknüpfte Bereiche aktiviert werden können Dies resultiert dann in hohen Gen-tagging Frequenzen.

Es wurde ein auf den Maistransposons *Ac/Ds* basierendes Gen-tagging System entwickelt, das potentiell ein effizientes Instrument zur Hochdurchsatz Insertionsmutagenese in Gerste ist. Aufgrund der Syntheny zwischen den Genomen der *Triticeen* verspricht die Enwicklung eines solchen Systems auch für andere *Triticeae* ein hilfreiches Instrument zur funktionellen Genomanalyse zu werden.

References

Aarts MG, Dirkse WG, Stiekema WJ and Periera A. (1993) Transposon tagging of a male sterility gene in *A. thaliana. Nature*. **363**:715–717.

Aarts MG, Corzaan P, Stiekema W J and Pereria A. (1995) A two-element Enhancer-Inhibitor transposon system in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol Gen Genet.* **247:** 555-564.

Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK, Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Cheuk R, Gadrinab C, Heller C, Jeske A, Koesema E, Meyers CC, Parker H, Prednis L, Ansari Y, Choy N, Deen H, Geralt M, Hazari N, Hom E, Karnes M, Mulholland C, Ndubaku R, Schmidt I, Guzman P, Aguilar-Henonin L, Schmid M, Weigel D, Carter DE, Marchand T, Risseeuw E, Brogden D, Zeko A, Crosby WL, Berry CC, Ecker JR. (2003) Genome-Wide Insertional Mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana. Science.* **301:** 653-657.

An S, Park S, Jeong DH, Lee DY, Kang HG, Yu JH, Hur J, Kim SR, Kim YH, Lee M, Han S, Kim SJ, Yang J, Kim E, Wi SJ, Chung HS, Hong JP, Choe V, Lee HK, Choi JH, Nam J, Kim SR, Park PB, Park KY, Kim WT, Choe S, Lee CB, An G. (2003) Generation and analysis of end sequence database for TDNA tagging lines in rice. *Plant Physiol.* **133**: 2040–2047.

Arumuganathan K, Earle ED. (1991) Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. Plant Mol Biol Rep **9:** 208-218.

Azpiroz-Leehan Rand Feldmann KA. (1997) T-DNA insertion mutagenesis in Arabidopsis: going back and forth. *Trends Genet.* **13:** 152-156.

Badr A, Muller K, Schafer-Pregl R, El Rabey H, Effgen S, Ibrahim HH, Pozzi C, Rohde W, Salamini F. (2000) On the origin and domestication history of barley. *Mol Biol Evol.* **17:** 499-510.

Baker B, Coupland G, Federoff NV, Starlinger P and Schell J. (1987) Phenotypic assay for excision of the maize controlling element *Ac* in tobacco. *EMBO J.* **6**: 1547–1554.

Baker B, Schell J, Lorz H and Federoff NV. (1986) Transposition of the maize controlling element '*Activator*' in tobacco. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **83**: 4844–4848.

Bancroft I., Bhatt AM, Sjodin C, Scofield S, Jones ID and Dean C. (1992) Development of an efficient two-element transposon tagging system in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol Gen Genet.* **233**: 449-461.

Bancroft I and Dean C. (1993a) Transposition pattern of the maize element *Ds* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics*. **134**, 1221–1229.

Bancroft I and Dean C. (1993b) Factors affecting the excision frequency of the maize transposable element *Ds* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mo. Ge. Genet.* **240**: 65–72.

Barakat A, Carels N and Bernardi G. (1997) The distribution of genes in the genomes of Gramineae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94:** 6857–6861.

Barakat A, Matassi A, and Bernardi G. (1998) Distribution of genes in the genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and its implications for the genome organization of plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci. USA* **95**: 10044–10049.

Barakat A, Gallois P, Raynal M, Mestre-Ortega D, Sallaud C, Guiderdoni E, Delseny M, Bernardi G (2000) The distribution of T-DNA in the genomes of transgenic *Arabidopsis* and rice. *FEBS Lett.* **471:**161–164.

Barr AR, Karakousis A, Lance RCM, Logue SJ, Manning S, Chalmers KJ, Kretschmer JM, Boyd WJR, Collins HM, Roumeliotis S, Covertry S, Moody DB, Read BJ, Poulsen D, Li CD, Platz GJ, Inkerman A, Panozzo JF, Cullis BR, Smith AB, Lim P, Langridge P. (2003) Mapping and QTL analysis of the barley population Chebec x Harrington. *Aust J Ag Res.* **54**: 1125-1130.

Baulcombe DC. (1999) Fast for ward genetics based on virus-induced gene silencing, *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2: 109–113.

Bechtold N, Ellis J and Pelletier G. (1993) In plant Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer by infiltration of adult Arabidopsis thaliana plant. *CRAcad Sci Ser III*. **316**: 1194-1199.

Beier, D.R., Dushkin H., and Sussman D. J. (1992) Mapping genes in the mouse using single-stranded conformation polymorphism analysis of recombinant inbred strains and interspecific crosses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **89:** 9102-9206.

Beier D.R. (1993) D.C. Single-stranded conformation polymorphism as a tool for genetic mapping. *Mamm Genome*. **4:** 627-631.

Bennett MD and Smith JB. (1976) Nuclear DNA amount in angiosperms. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B Biol Sci. 227-274.

Bennetzen JI. (1998) The structure and evolution of angiosperm nuclear genomes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* **2:** 103-8.

Bennetzen JL, SanMiguel P, Chen M, Tikhonov A, Francki M, and Avramova Z. (1998) Grass genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **95:** 1975-1978.

van der Biezen EA, Brandwagt BF, van Leeuwen W, Nijkamp HJ and Hille J. (1996) Identification and isolation of the *FEEBLY* gene from tomato by transposon tagging. *Mol Gen Genet.* **251**: 267–280.

Bishop GJ, Harrison K, and Jones JDG. (1996) The tomato *Dwarf* gene isolated by heterologous transposon tagging encodes the first member of a new cytochrome P450 family. *Plant Cell.* **8**: 959–969.

Borevitz JO. Borevitz, Xia Y, Blount J, Dixon RA and Lamb C (2000) Activation Tagging Identifies a Conserved MYB Regulator of Phenylpropanoid Biosynthesis. *Plant Cell.* **12:** 2383-2393.

Bothmer R von and Jacobsen N. (1985) Origin, taxonomy, and related species. in D. C. Rasmusson, ed. Barley. American Society of Agronomists, Madison, Wis. Pp. 19-56.

Bothmer R von, Jacobsen N, Baden C, Jorgensen B and Linde-Laursen R. (1995) An ecogeographical study od the genus *Hordeum*. 2nd edition. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, FAO, Rome.

Bruce PM and Martienssen RA. (2003) Transposon mutagenesis in the study of plant development. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences.* **22**:1-35.

Brueggeman R, Rostoks N, Kudrna D, Kilian A, Han F, Chen J, Druka A, Steffenson B, Kleinhofs A. (2002) The barley stem rust-resistance gene Rpg1 is a novel disease-resistance gene with homology to receptor kinases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **99:** 9328-33.

Brueggeman R, Drader T, Druka A, Cavileer T, Steffenson B, Kleinhofs A.(2005) The barley stem rust resistance gene Rpg5 encodes the NBS-LRR and protein kinase domains in a single gene. Plant & Animal Genomes XIII Conference, San Diego, CA, p320.

Buschges R, Hollricher K, Panstruga R, Simons G, Wolter M, Frijters A, van Daelen R, van der Lee T, Diergaarde P, Groenendijk J, Topsch S, Vos P, Salamini F, Schulze-Lefert P. (1997) The barley Mlo gene: a novel control element of plant pathogen resistance. *Cell.* **88:** 695-705.

Caldwell DG, McCallum N, Shaw P, Muehlbauer GJ, Marshall DF, Waugh RA. (2004) structured mutant population for forward and reverse genetics in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant J.* **40**:143-150.

Campisi L, Yang Y, Yi Y, Heilig E, Herman B, Cassista AJ, Allen DW, Xiang H, Jack T. (1999) Generation of enhancer trap lines in Arabidopsis and characterization of expression patterns in the inflorescence. *Plant J.* **17**: 699-707.

Cao J, Duan X, McElroy D and Wu R. (1992) Regeneration of herbicide resistant transgenic rice plants following microprojectile-mediated transformation of suspension culture cells. *Plant Cell Rep.* **11:**586-591.

Capy P, Bazin C, Higuet D & Langin T. (1998) (Eds.). Dynamics and Evolution of Transposable Elements Springer, Landes Biolsciences, Library of Congress, Austin, Texas.

Carroll BJ, Klimyuk VI, Thomas CM, Bishop GJ, Harrison K, Scofield SR and Jones JDG. (1995) Germinal transposition of the maize element *Dissociation* from T-DNA loci in tomato. *Genetics.* **139:** 407–420. Chen S, Jin W, Wang M, Zhang F, Zhou J, Jia Q, Wu

Y, Liu F and Wu P. (2003) Distribution and characterization of over 1000 T-DNA tags in rice genome. *Plant J.* **36**:105–113.

Chin HG, Choe MS, Lee SH, Park SH, Koo JC, Kim NY, Lee JJ, Oh BG, Yi GH, Kim SC, Choi HC, Cho MJ and Han CD. (1999) Molecular analysis of rice plants harboring an Ac/Ds transposable element-mediated gene trapping system. *Plant J.* **19:** 615-623.

Close TJ, Wanamaker SI, Caldo RA, Turner SM, Ashlock DA, Dickerson JA, Wing RA, Muehlbauer GJ, Kleinhofs A, Wise RP. (2004) A new resource for cereal genomics: 22K barley GeneChip comes of age. *Plant Physiol.* **134**: 960-8.

Collins NC, Thordal-Christensen H, Lipka V, Bau S, Kombrink E, Qiu JL, Huckelhoven R, Stein M, Freialdenhoven A, Somerville SC, Schulze-Lefert P. (2003) SNARE-proteinmediated disease resistance at the plant cell wall. *Nature*. **425**: 973-7.

Cone K (1989) Yet another rapid plant DNA prep. Maize Gen Coop Newslett. 63: 68

Cooper L D, Marquez-Cedillo L, Singh J, Sturbaum A K, Zhang S, Edwards V, Johnson K, Kleinhofs A, Rangel S, Corollo V, Bregitzer P, Lemaux PG, Hayes PM (2004) Mapping Ds insertions in barley using a sequence-based approach. *Mol Gen Genomics.* 272: 181-193.

Costa JM, Corey A and Hayes M. (2001) Molecular mapping of the Oregon Wolfe Barleys: a phenotypically polymorphic doubled-haploid population *Theor Appl Genet* **103**: 415-424.

Cowperthwaite M, Park W, Xu Z, Yan X, Maurais SC and Dooner HK. (2002) Use of the transposon *Ac* as a gene-searching engine in the maize genome. *Plant Cell.* **14**: 713–726.

Diamond J. (1998) Guns, germs and stell. Vintage, London.

Dooner HK, Keller J, Harper E and Ralston E. (1991) Variable patterns of transposition of the maize element *Activator* in tobacco. *Plant Cell*. **3**: 473–482.

Dooner HK and Belachew A. (1989) Transposition pattern of the maize element *Ac* form bz-M2 (*Ac*) allele. *Genetics*. **136**: 261–279.

Emmanuel E and Levy A. (2002) Tomato mutants as tools for functional genomics. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*. **5:** 112-117.

Enoki H, Izawa T, Kawahara M, Komatsu M, Koh S, Kyozuka J and Shimamoto, K. (1999) *Ac* as a tool for the functional genomics of rice. *Plant J*. **19**: 605–613.

Fang YD, Akula C, Altpeter F. (2002) Agrobacterium mediated barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) transformation using green fluorescent protein as a visual marker and sequence analysis of the T-DNA: barley genomic DNA junctions. *J Plant Physiol.* **10**:1131-1138.

Fedoroff, NV and Smith DL. (1993) A versatile system for detecting transposition in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **3**: 273–289.

Finnegan EJ, Lawrence GJ, Dennis ES and Ellis JG. (1993) Behavior of modified Ac

elements in flax callus and regenerated plants. Plant Mol. Biol. 22: 625-633.

Fitzmaurice WP, Nguyen LV, Wernsman EA, Thompson WF and Conkling MA. (1999) Transposon tagging of the sulfur gene of tobacco using engineered maize Ac/Ds elements. *Genetics*. **153**: 1919–1928.

Förnzler D, Her H, Knapik EW, Clark M, Lehrach H, Postlethwait JH, Zon LI and David Beier R. (1998) Gene Mapping in Zebrafish Using Single-Strand Conformation Polymorphism Analysis. *GENOMICS.* **51:** 216-222.

Forsbach A, Schubert D, Lechtenberg B, Gils M and Schmidt R. (2003) A comprehensive characterization of single-copy T-DNA insertions in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **52:** 161–176.

Franckowiak J. (1997) Revised linkage maps for morphological markers in barley, *Hordeum vulgare. Barley Genet Newslett.* **26:** 9-21.

Frey MM, Tavatzis SM. and Saedler H. (1989) The maize *En-I/Spm* element transposes in potato. *Mol Gen Genet.* **217**: 172–177.

Gidoni D, Fuss E, Burbidge A, Speckmann GJ, James S, Nijkamp D, Mett A, Feiler J, Smoker M, de Vroomen MJ, Leader D, Liharska T, Groenendijk J, Coppoolse E, Smit JJM, Levin I, de Both M, Schuch W, Jones JDG, Taylor IB, Theres K and van Haaren MJJ. (2003) Multi-functional T-DNA/*Ds* tomato lines designed for gene cloning and molecular and physical dissection of the tomato genome. *Plant Mol Biol* **51**: 83-98.

Goff, S.A., Ricke, D., Lan, T.H. *et al.* (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica*). *Science*. **296**: 92–100.

Guiderdoni E., Meijer A.H., Hoge J.H.C., Pereira A. (2003) Transpositional behaviour of an Ac/Ds system for reverse genetics in rice. *Theor Appl Genet.* **108**:10–24.

Graner A, Siedler H, Jahoor A, Herrmann RG, Wenzel G (1991) Assessment of the degree and type of restriction fragement length polymorphism in barley (Hordeum vulgare) *Theor Appl Genet.* **83:** 250-256.

Greco R, Ouwerkerk PB, Taal AJ, Favalli C, Beguiristain T, Puigdomenech P, Colombo L, Hoge JH and Pereira A. (2001) Early and multiple *Ac* transpositions in rice suitable for efficient insertional mutagenesis. *Plant Mo. Biol.* **46**, 215–227.

Greco R, Ouwerkerk PB, De Kam RJ, Sallaud C, Favalli C, Colombo L, Guiderdoni E, Meijer AH, Hoge Dagger JH and Pereira A. (2003) Transpositional behaviour of an Ac/Ds system for reverse genetics in rice. *Theor Appl Genet.* **108**: 10-24.

Greco R, Ouwerkerk PB, Taal AJ, Sallaud C, Guiderdoni E, Meijer AH, Hoge JH, Pereira A. (2004) Transcription and somatic transposition of the maize En/Spm transposon system in rice. *Mol Genet Genomics*. 270:514-23.

Halterman D, Zhou F, Wei F, Wise RP, Schulze-Lefert P. (2001) The MLA6 coiled-coil, NBS-LRR protein confers AvrMla6-dependent resistance specificity to Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei in barley and wheat. *Plant J.* **25**: 335-48.

Halterman DA, Wei F, Wise RP. (2003) Powdery mildew-induced Mla mRNAs are alternatively spliced and contain multiple upstream open reading frames. *Plant Physiol.* **131:** 558-67.

Halterman DA, Wise RP. (2004) A single-amino acid substitution in the sixth leucinerich repeat of barley MLA6 and MLA13 alleviates dependence on RAR1 for disease resistance signaling. *Plant J.* **38:** 215-26.

Hannon GJ. (2002) RNA interference. Nature. 418: 244-251.

Hayashi H, Csaja I, Lubenow H, Schell J and Walden R (1992) Activation of a plant gene by T-DNA tagging: auxin-independent growth in vitro. *Science*. **258**: 1350–1353.

Healy J, Corr C, DeYoung J and Baker B. (1993) Linked and unlinked transposition of a genetically marked *Dissociation* element in transgenic tomato. *Genetics.* **134**: 571–584.

Helliwell CA and Waterhouse PM. (2005) Constructs and methods for hairpin RNAmediated gene silencing in plants. *Methods Enzymol.* **392**: 24–35.

Henikoff S and Comai L. (2003) Single-nucleotide mutations for plant functional genomics, *Ann. Rev Plant Biol.* **54**: 375–401.

Henikoff S, Till BJ, Comai L. (2004) TILLING: Traditional mutagenesis meets functional genomics. *Plant Physiol.* **135:** 630-6.

Hiei Y, Ohta S, Komari T and Kumashiro T. (1994) Efficient transformation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) mediated by *Agrobacterium* and sequence analysis of the boundaries of the T-DNA. *Plant J.* **6**: 271–282.

Horvath H, Huang JT, Wong O, Kohl E, Okita T, Kannangara CG, von Wettstein D (2000) The production of recombinant proteins in transgenic barley grains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**:1914–1919.

Islam AKMR, Shepherd KW, Sparrow DHB. (1981) Isolation and characterisation of euplasmic wheat-barley chromosome addition lines. *Heredity*. **46**: 161-174.

Isidore E, Scherrer B, Bellec A , Budin K, Faivre-Rampant P, Waugh R, Keller B, Caboche M, Feuillet C and Chalhoub B. (2005) Direct targeting and rapid isolation of BAC clones spanning a defined chromosome region. *Functional & Integrative Genomics.* **5**: 97 – 103.

Ito T, Seki M, Hayashida N, Shibata D and Shinozaki K. (1999) Regional insertional mutagenesis of genes on *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome V using the *Ac/Ds* transposon in combination with a cDNA scanning method. *Plant J.* **17**: 433–444.

Izawa T, Ohnishi T, Nakano T, Ishida N, Enoki H, Hashimoto H, Itoh K, Terada R, Wu C, Miiyazaki C, Endo T, Iida S, Shimamoto K. (1997) Transposon tagging in rice. *Plant Mol Biol.* **35**:219–229.

James DW, Lim JE, Keller J, Plooy I., Ralston E and Dooner HK. (1995) Direct tagging of the *Arabidopsis* Fatty Acid Elongation 1 (*FAE*1) gene with the maize transposon *Activator*. *Plant Cell* **7**: 309–319.

Jander G, Norris SR, Rounsley SD, Bush DF, Levin IM, Last RL. (2002) Arabidopsis map-based cloning in the post-genome era. *Plant Physiol*. 129: 440-50.

Jeon J and An G. (2001) Gene tagging in rice: a high throughput system for functional genomics. *Plant Sci.* **161**: 211–219.

Jeon JS, Sichul Lee, Jung KH, Jun SH, Jeong DH, Lee J, Kim C, Jang S, Lee S, Yang K, Nam J, An K, Han MJ, Sung RJ, Choi HS, Yu JH, Choi JH, Cho SY, Sang-Su Cha, Kim SI and An G. (2000) *Plant J.* **22**: 561-570.

Johnson K, Kleinhofs A, Rangel S, Carollo V, Bregitzer P, Lemaux PGand Hayes P M. (2004) Mapping *Ds* insertions in barley using a sequence-based approach. *Mol Gen Genet*. **272**:181-193.

Jones DA, Thomas CM, Hammond-Kosack KE, Balint-Kurti PJ and Jones JDG. (1994) Isolation of the tomato Cf-9 gene for resistance to *Cladosporium fulvum* by transposon tagging. *Science*. **266**: 789–793.

Jones, J.D.G., Carland, F., Lim, E., Ralston, E. and Dooner, H.K. (1990) Preferential transposition of the maize element *Activator* to linked chromosomal locations in tobacco. *Plant Cell.* **2**: 701–708.

Karakousis A, Barr AR, Kretschmer JM, Manning S, Logue SJ, Roumeliotis S, Collins HM, Chalmers KJ, Li CD, Lance RCM, Langridge P. (2003) Mapping and QTL analysis of the barley population Galleon x Haruna Nijo. *Aust J Ag Res.* **54:** 1131-1135.

Karakousis A, Barr AR, Kretschmer JM, Manning S, Jefferies SP, Chalmers KJ, Islam AKM, Langridge P. (2003) Mapping and QTL analysis of the barley population Clipper x Sahara. *Aust J Ag Res.* **54:** 1137-1140.

Kardailsky I, Shukla VK, Ahn JH, Dagenais N, Christensen SK, Nguyen JT, Chory J, Harrison MJ, Weigel D. (1999) Activation tagging of the floral inducer FT. *Science*. **286**: 1962-5.

Keddie JS, Carroll B, Jones JDG and Gruissem W. (1996) The *DCL* gene of tomato is required for chloroplast development and palisade cell morphogenesis in leaves. *EMBO J.* **15**: 4208–4217.

Keller, J., Lim, E. and Dooner, H.K. (1993b) Preferential transposition of *Ac* to linked sites in *Arabidopsis*. *Theor Appl Gene*. **86**: 585–588.

Kim S, Lee J, Jun S, Park, Kang H, Kwon S, An G (2003) Transgene structure in T DNA-inserted rice plants. *Plant Mol Biol.* **52**: 761-773.

Kim CM, Piao HL, Park SJ, Chon NS, Han C et al., (2004) Rapid, large-scale generation of *Ds* transposant lines and analysis of the Ds insertion sites in rice. *Plant J.* **39**: 252-263.

Kleine M, Michalek W, Graner A, Herrmann RG, Jung C. (1993) Construction of a barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) YAC library and isolation of a Hor1-specific clone. *Mol Gen Genet.* **240**: 265-72.

Kleinhofs A, Kilian A, Saghai Maroof MA, Biyashev RM and Hayes P. (1993) A molecular, isozyme and morphological map of the barley (Hordeum vulgare) genome. *Theor Appl Genet* **86:** 705-712.

Kleinhofs A and Graner A (2001) An integrated map of the barley genome. *In* RL Phillips, IK Vasil, eds, DNA-Based Markers in Plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 187-199.

Kleinhofs A and Han F (2001) Molecular mapping of the barley genome. *In* JLM-CGA Slafer, JL Araus, R Savin, I Romagosa, eds, Barley Science: Recent Advances from Molecular Biology to Agronomy of Yield and Quality. Food Product Press, New York, pp 31-45.

Knapp S, Coupland G, Uhrig H, Starlinger P and Salamini F. (1988) Transposition of the maize transposable element *Ac* in *Solanum tuberosum*. *Mol Gen Genet*. **213**: 285–290.

Knapp S, Larondelle Y, Robberg M, Furtek D and Theres K. (1994) Transgenic tomato lines containing *Ds* elements at defined genomic positions as tools for targeted transposon tagging. *Mol Gen Genet.* **243**: 666–673.

Kohli A, Gahakwa D, Vain P, Laurie DA, Christou P. (1999) Transgene expression in rice engineered through particle bombardment: molecular factors controlling stable expression and transgene silencing. *Planta*. **208**: 88–97.

Kolesnik T, Szeverenyi I, Bachmann D, Kumar CS, Jiang S, Ramamoorthy R, Cai M, Ma ZG, Sundaresan V. and Ramachandran S. (2004) Establishing an efficient *Ac/Ds* tagging system in rice: large-scale analysis of *Ds* flanking sequences. *Plant J.* **37**: 301–314.

Koprek, T., McElroy, D., Louwerse, J., Williams-Carrier, R., Lemaux, P.G. (1999) Negative selection systems for transgenic barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.): comparison of bacterial *codA*- and cytochrome P_{450} gene-mediated selection. *Plant J.* **19**: 719 726.

Koprek T, McElroy D, Louwerse J, Williams-Carrier R. and Lemaux PG (2000) An efficient method for dispersing *Ds* elements in the barley genome as a tool for determining genefunction. *Plant J.* **24**: 253–263.

Koprek T, Rangel S, McElroy D, Louwerse JD, Williams-Carrier RE, Lemaux PG. (2001) Transposon-mediated single-copy gene delivery leads to increased transgene expression stability in barley. *Plant Physiol.* **125**:1354-62

Koprek T, Zhao T, Zimmermann D, Schulze-Lefert P. (2003) Systematic *Ac/Ds* transposon mutagenesis in barley. EUCARPIA Proceedings "From Biodiversity to Genomics: Breeding Strategies for Small Grain Cereals in the Third Millennium". 453-456.

Krysan PJ, Young JC, Sussman MR. (1999) T-DNA as an insertional mutagen in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* **11**: 2283-90.

Kumar CS and Narayanan KK. (1998) Plant transposable elements and functional genomics. *Plant Biotech.* **15**: 159–165.

Kumar S and Fladung M. (2000) Trangenic integration in aspen: Structure of integration sites and mechanism of T-DNA integration. *Plant J.* **31:** 543-551.

Kunzel G, Korzun L, Meister A. (2000) Cytologically integrated physical restriction fragment length polymorphism maps for the barley genome based on translocation breakpoints. *Genetics*. **154**: 397-412.

Kuromori T, Hirayama T, Kiyosue Y, Takabe H, Mizukado S, Sakurai T, Akiyama K, Kamiya A, Ito T, Shinozaki K. (2004) A collection of 11 800 single-copy *Ds* transposon insertion lines in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J*. **37**: 897-905.

Lahaye T, Shirasu K, Schulze-Lefert P. (1998) Chromosome landing at the barley Rar1 locus. *Mol Gen Genet*. **260**: 92-101.

Laufs P, Autran D, Traas J. (1999) A chromosomal paracentric inversion associated with T-DNA integration in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **18**:131-9.

Lawrence GJ, Finnegan EJ, Ayliffe MA and Ellis JG. (1995) The L6 gene for flax rust resistance is related to the *Arabidopsis* bacterial resistance gene RPS2 and the tobacco viral resistant gene N. *Plant Cell***7**: 1195–1206.

Leyser O, Chang C. (1996) Chromosome walking. In: G.D. Foster, D. Twell D. (eds) *Plant Gene Isolation*, John Wiley and Sons, Ltd, Chichester, pp. 248-271.

Li J, Wen J, Lease KA, Doke JT, Tax FE, Walker JC. (2002) BAK1, an Arabidopsis LRR receptor-like protein kinase, interacts with BRI1 and modulates brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell.* **110:**213-22.

Lindsey K, Wei W, Clarke MC, McArdle HF, Rooke LM, Topping JF. (1993) Tagging genomic sequences that direct transgene expression by activation of a promoter trap in plants. *Transgenic Res.* **2**:33-47.

Liu D, Zhang S, Fauque t C and Crawford NM. (1999) The *Arabidopsis* transposon Tag1 is active in rice, undergoing germinal transposition and restricted, late somatic excision. *Mol Gen Genet.* **262**: 413–420.

Liu Y, Schiff M, Dinesh-Kumar SP. (2002) Virus-induced gene silencing in tomato. *Plant J.* **31:** 777-86.

Liu YG and Whittier RF. (1995) Thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR: automatable amplification and sequencing of insert end fragments from P1 and YAC clones for chromosome walking. *Genomics*. **25**: 674–681.

Lu R., Martin-Hernandez AM, Peart JR, Malcuit I and Baulcombe DC. (2003)Virusinduced gene silencing in plants. *Methods*. **30**: 296-303.

Martienssen R. (1995) Patterns of gene action in plant development revealed by enhancer trap and gene trap transposable elements. *Genes Dev.* **9**: 1797–1810.

Martienssen R.A. (1998) Functional genomics: probing plant gene function and expression with transposons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **95**: 2021–2026.

Martienssen R, Colot V. (2001) DNA methylation and epigenetic inheritance in plants and filamentous fungi. *Science*. **293**:1070–1074.

Mathews H, Clendennen SK, Caldwell CG, Liu XL, Connors K, Matheis N, Schuster DK, Menasco DJ, Wagoner W, Lightner J, Wagner DR. (2003) Activation tagging in tomato identifies a transcriptional regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis, modification, and transport. *Plant Cell*. **15**:1689-703.

Matthews PR, Wang M, Waterhouse PM, Thornton S., Fieg **S**, Gubler F, Jacobsen JV. (2001) Marker gene elimination from transgenic barley, using co-transformation with adjacent "twin T-DNAs" on a standard Agrobacterium transformation vector. *Molecular Breeding*. **7**: 195-202.

Matthews DE, Carollo VL, Lazo GR and Anderson OD. (2003) GrainGenes, the genome database for small-grain crops. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31:** 183–186.

Mayerhofer R, Koncz-Kalman Z, Nawrath C, Bakkeren G, Crameri A, Angelis K, Redei GP, Schell J, Hohn B, Koncz C. (1991) T-DNA integration: a mode of illegitimate recombination in plants. *EMBO J.* **10:** 697-704.

McClintock, B. (1950) Mutable loci in maize. In: Carnegie Institute of Washington Year Book, pp. 174-181, Washington.

McCallum CM, Comai L, Greene EA, Henikoff S. (2000) Targeting induced local lesions IN genomes (TILLING) for plant functional genomics. *Plant Physiology*. **123**: 439–442.

Meissner RC, Jin H, Corminelli E, Denekamp M, Fuertes A, Greco R, Kranz HD, Penfield S, Petroni K and Urzainqui A. (1999) Function search in a large transcription factor gene family in *Arabidopsis*: Assessing the potential of reverse genetics to identify insertional mutations in r2r3 *myb* genes. *Plant Cell.* **11**: 1827–1840.

Meissner R, Chague V, Zhu Q, Emmanuel E, Elkind Y and Levy AA. (2000) Technical advance: a high throughput system for transposon tagging and promoter trapping in tomato. *Plant J.* **22**: 265–274.

Meza TJ, Stangeland B, Mercy IS, Skårn M, Nymoen DA, Berg A, Butenko MA, Håkelien AM, Haslekås C, Meza-Zepeda LA et al. (2002) Analyses of single-copy *Arabidopsis* T-DNA transformed lines show that the presence of vector backbone sequences, short inverted repeats and DNA methylation is not sufficient or necessary for the induction of transgene silencing. Nucleic Acids Res. **30**: 4556-4566.

Murray F, Brettell R, Matthews P, Bishop D, Jacobseb J. (2004) Comparision of Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of four barley cultivars using the GFP and GUS reporter genes. *Plant Cell Rep.* **22**: 397-402.

Mysore KS, Bassuner B, Deng X, Darbinian NS, Motchoulski A, Ream W and Gelvin SB. (1998) Role of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* VirD2 Protein in T-DNA Transfer and Integration. MPMI. **11**: 668-683).

Nacry P, Camilleri C, Courtial B, Caboche M, Bouchez D. (1998) Major chromosomal rearrangements induced by T-DNA transformation in Arabidopsis. *Genetics*. **149**:641-50.

Nakagawa, Y., Machida, C., Machida, Y. and Toriyama, K. (2000) Frequency and pattern of transposition of the maize transposable element *Ds* in transgenic rice plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **41**: 733–742.

Ochman H, Geber AS and Hartl DL. (1988) Genetic applications of an inverse polymerase chain reaction. *Genetics*. **120**: 621–623.

Ohba T, Yoshioka Y, Machida C, Machida Y. (1995) DNA rearrangement associated with the integration of T-DNA in tobacco: an example for multiple duplications of DNA around the integration target. *Plant J.* **7**: 157-64.

Ohlrogge J. and Benning C. (2000) Unraveling plant metabolism by EST analysis. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* **3**: 224–228.

Ogihara Y, Mochida K, Nemoto Y, Murai K, Yamazaki Y, Shin IT and Kohara Y. (2003) Correlated clustering and virtual display of gene expression patterns in the wheat life cycle by large-scale statistical analyses of expressed sequence tags. *Plant J.* **33**: 1001–1011.

Ohtsuki S, Levine M, Cai HN. (1998) Different core promoters possess distinct regulatory activities in the Drosophila embryo. *Genes Dev.* **12**: 547-56.

Orita M, SuzukiY, Sekiya T and Hayashi, K. (1989) Rapid and sensitive detection of point mutations and DNA polymorphisms using the polymerase chain reaction. *Genomics* **5**: 874-879.

Pan X, Li Y and Stein L. (2005) Site Preferences of Insertional Mutagenesis Agents in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*.**137**: 168-175.

Parinov S, Sevugan M, Ye D, Yang WC, Kumaran M. and Sundaresan V. (1999) Analysis of flanking sequences from dissociation insertion lines: a database for reverse genetics in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.* **11**: 2263–2270.

Patel M, Johnson JS,. Brettell RIS, Jacobsen J and Xue GP. (2000) Transgenic barley expressing a fungal xylanase gene in the endosperm of the deleloping grains, *Mol Breed*. **6**:113–123.

Periera A and Saedler H. (1989) Transcriptional behavior of the maize *En/Spm* element in transgenic tobacco. *EMBO J.* **8**: 1315–1321.

Peter JL, Cnudde F, Gerats T. (2003) Forward genetics and map-based cloning approaches. *Trend Plant Sci.* 8: 484-491.

Potokina E, Sreenivasulu N, Altschmied L, Michalek W. and Graner A. (2002) Differential gene expression during seed germination in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Funct Integr Genomics.* **2**: 28–39.

Qin H, Dong Y, von Arnim AG. (2003) Epigenetic interactions between Arabidopsis transgenes: characterization in light of transgene integration sites. *Plant Mol Biol.* **52**: 217-31.

Qin G, Kang D, Dong Y, Shen Y, Zhang L *et al.*, (2003) Obtaining and analysis of flanking sequences from T-DNA transformants of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Sci.* **165**: 941–949.

Raina S, Mahalingam R, Chen F and Federoff N. (2002) A collection of sequenced and mapped Ds transposon insertion sites in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol Biol.* **50**, 93–110.

Ramachandran S and Sundaresan V. (2001) Transposons as tools for functional genomics. *Plant Physio Biochem.* **39**: 243–252.

Ramakrishna W, Dubcovsky J, Park YJ, Busso C, Emberton J, SanMiguel P, Bennetzen JL. (2002) Different types and rates of genome evolution detected by comparative sequence analysis of orthologous segments from four cereal genomes. *Genetics*. **162**:1389-400.

Ramsay L, Macaulay M, Ivanissevich SD, MacLean K, Cardle L, Fuller J, Edwards KJ, Tuvesson S, Morgante M, Massari A et al. (2000) A simple sequence repeat-based linkage map of barley. *Genetics*. **156**: 1997-2005.

Read BJ, Raman H, McMichael G, Chalmers KJ, Ablett GA, Platz GJ, Raman R, Genger R, Boyd WJR, Li CD, Grime CR, Park RF, Wallwork H, Prangnell R, Lance RCM. (2003) Mapping and QTL analysis of the barley population Sloop x Halcyon. *Aust J Ag Res.* **54:** 1145-1153.

Robbins TP, Jenkin M and Courtney-Gutterson N. (1994) Enhanced frequency of transposition of the maize transposable element *Activator* following excision from T-DNA in *Petunia hybrida*. *Mol Gen Genet*. **244**: 491–500.

Ronning CM, Stegalkina SS, Ascenzi RA *et al.* (2003) Comparative analyses of potato expressed sequence tag libraries. *Plant Physiol.* **131**: 419–429.

Rossi L, Hohn B, and Tinland B. (1996) Integration of complete transferred DNA units is dependent on the activity of virulence E2 protein of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **93**: 126-130.

Sallaud C, Gay C, Larmande P, Bès M, Piffanelli P, PiéguB, Droc G, Regad F, Bourgeois E, Meynard D, Périn C, Sabau X, Ghesquière A, Glaszmann JC, Delseny M and Guiderdoni E. (2004) High throughput T-DNA insertion mutagenesis in rice: a first step towards in silico reverse genetics. *Plant J.* **39**: 450-464.

Salvo-Garrido H, Travella S, Bilham LJ, Harwood WA and Snape JW. (2004) The Distribution of Transgene Insertion Sites in Barley Determined by Physical and Genetic Mapping. *Genetics*. **167**: 1371-1379.

Schena M, Shalon D, Davis RW and Brown PO. (1995) Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. *Science*. **270**: 467–470.

Scholtz S, Lörz H, Lutticke S. (2001) Transposition of maize transposable element Ac in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Mol Gen Genet.* **264:** 653-661.

Scot D and Sukumar S. (1992) Improved detection of mutations in the p53 gene in human tumors as single-stranded conformation polymorphs and double-stranded heteroduples DNA. PCR *Methoed Appl.* **2:** 96-98.

Seki M, Ito T, Shibata D. and Shinozaki K. (1999) Regional insertional mutagenesis of specific genes on the CIC5F11/CIC2B9 locus of *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 5 using the *Ac/Ds* transposon in combination with the cDNA scanning method. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **40**: 624–639.

Sessions A, Burke E, Presting G, Aux G, McElver J, Patton D, Dietrich B, Ho P, Bacwaden J, Ko C, Clarke JD, Cotton D, Bullis D, Snell J, Miguel T, Hutchison D, Kimmerly B, Mitzel T, Katagiri F, Glazebrook J, Law M, Goff SA. (2002) A high-throughput *Arabidopsis* reverse genetics system. *Plant Cell.* **14**: 2985–2994.

Sentinelli F, Lovari, S, Vitale M, Giorgi G., Mario U, Baroni M. (2000) A simple method for non-radioactive PCR-SSCP using MDETM gel solution and midi gel format: Application for the detection of variants in the GLUT1 and CTLA-4 genes. *Journal of Biotechnology*. **78**: 201-204.

Shen QH, Zhou F, Bieri S, Haizel T, Shirasu K, Schulze-Lefert P. (2003) Recognition specificity and RAR1/SGT1 dependence in barley Mla disease resistance genes to the powdery mildew fungus. *Plant Cell.* **15:** 732-44.

Springer P. (2000) Gene Traps: Tools for plant development and genomics. *Plant Cell*. **12:** 1007–1020.

Sreenivasulu N, Altschmied L., Panitz R, Hahnel U, Michalek W, Weschke W and Wobus U. (2002) Identification of genes specifically expressed in maternal and filial tissues of barley caryopses: a cDNA array analysis. *Mol Genet Genomics*. **266**: 758–767.

Sreenivasulu, N., Altschmied, L., Radchuk, V., Gubatz, S., Wobus, U. and Weschke, W. (2004) Transcript profiles and deduced changes of metabolic pathways in maternal and filial tissues of developing barley grains. *Plant J.* **37**: 539–553.

Stachel SE, Timmerman B and Zambryski P. (1987) Activation of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens vir* gene expression generates multiple single-stranded T-strand molecules from the pTiA6 T-region: requirement for 5' *vir*D gene products. *EMBO J.* 6: 857-863.

Stahl R, Horvath H, van Fleet J, Voetz M, vin Wettsteun D and Wolf N. (2002) T-DNA integration into the barley genome from single and double cassette vectors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **99:** 2146-2151.

Stekel DJ, Git Y and Falciani F. (2000) The comparison of gene expression from multiple cDNA libraries. *Genome Res.* **10**: 2055-2061.

Sterky F, Regan S, Karlsson J, Hertzberg M, Rohde A, Holmberg A, Amini B, Bhalerao R, Larsson M, Villarroel R, Van Montagu M, Sandberg G, Olsson O, Teeri TT, Boerjan W, Gustafsson P, Uhlen M, Sundberg B, Lundeberg J. (1998) Gene discovery in the wood-forming tissues of poplar: analysis of 5, 692 expressed sequence tags. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 13330–13335.

Sundaresan V, Springer P, Volpe T, Harward S, Jones JDG, Dean C and Martienssen R. (1995) Patterns of gene actions in plant development revealed by enhancer trap and gene trap transposable elements. *Genes Dev.* **9**: 1797–1810.

Sundaresan V. (1996) Horizontal spread of transposon mutagenesis: new uses for old elements. *Trends Plant Sci.* **1**: 184–190.

Stuurman J, Nijkamp HJJ and van Haaren MJJ. (1998) Molecular insertion-site selectivity of *Ds* in tomato. *Plant J.* **14**: 215–223.

Szabados L, Kovacs I, Oberschall A, Abraham E, Kerekes I, Zsigmond L, Nagy R, Alvarado M, Krasovskaja I, Gal M, Berente A, Redei GP, Haim AB, Koncz C. (2002) Distribution of 1000 sequenced T-DNA tags in the Arabidopsis genome. *Plant J.* **32**: 233-42.

Takahashi JS, Pinto LH and Vitaterna MN. (1994) Forward and reverse genetic approaches to behavior in mouse. *Science*. **264**: 1724-1733.

Takken FL, Schipper D, Nijkamp HJ and Hille J. (1998) Identification and *Ds*-tagged isolation of a new gene at the *Cf-4* locus of tomato involved in disease resistance to *Cladosporium fulvum* race 5. *Plant J.* **1:** 401–411.

Thomas CM, Jones D, English JJ, Carroll BJ, Bennetzen JL, Harrison K, Burbidge A, Bishop GJ and Jones JDG. (1994) Analysis of the chromosomal distribution of

transposon-carrying T-DNAs in tomato using the inverse polymerase chain reaction. *Mol Gen Genet.* **242**: 573–585.

Till BJ, Reynolds SH, Greene EA, Codomo CA, Enns LC, Johnson JE, Burtner C, Odden AR, Young K, Taylor NE, Henikoff JG, Comai L, Henikoff S. (2003) Large-scale discovery of induced point mutations with high-throughput TILLING. Genome Res. **13:**524-30.

Tingay S, McElroy D, Kalla R, Fieg S, Wang M, Thornton S, Brettell R. (1997) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated barley transformation. *Plant J.* **11**:1369–1376.

Tinland B. (1996) The integration of T-DNA into plant genomes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1: 178-184.

Tissier AF, Marillonnet S, Kimyuk V, Patel K, Torres MA, Murphy G and Jones JDG. (1999) Multiple independent defective *Suppressor-mutator* transposon insertions in *Arabidopsis*: A tool for functional genomics. *Plant Cell*. **11**: 1841–1852.

Topping JF, Lindsey K. (1997) Promoter trap markers differentiate structural and positional components of polar development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* **9**:1713-25.

Triezenberg SJ, Kingsbury RC, McKnight SL. (1988) Functional dissection of VP16, the trans-activator of herpes simplex virus immediate early gene expression. Genes Dev. 2: 718-29.

Trifonova A, Madsen S, Olesen A (2001) *Agrobacterium*-mediated transgene delivery and integration into barley under a range of in vitro culture conditions. *Plant Sci.* **161**: 871–880.

Van der Hoeven R, Ronning C, Giovannoni J, Martin G and Tanksley S. (2002) Deductions about the number, organization, and evolution of genes in the tomato genome based on analysis of a large expressed sequence tag collection and selective genomic sequencing. *Plant Cell*. **14**: 1441–1456.

Van Sluys MA, Tempe J and Federoff N. (1987) Studies on the introduction and mobility of the maize *Activator* element in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Daucus carota*. *EMBO J*. **6**: 3881–3889.

Wan, Y, Lemaux PG (1994) Generation of large number of independently transformed fertile barley plants. *Plant Physiol.* **104**: 37-48.

Wang MB, Upadhyaya NM, Brettell RIS, Waterhouse PM (1997) Intron-mediated improvement of a selectable marker gene for plant transformation using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. J Gen Breed. **51**: 325–334.

Wang MB, Li Z, Matthews PR, Upadhyaya NM, Waterhouse PM. (1998) Improved vectors for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation of monocot plants. *Acta Hortic*. **461**: 401–407.

Waterhouse PM and Helliwell CA. (2003) Exploring plant genomes by RNA-induced gene silencing. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **4**: 29–38.

Wei F, Gobelman-Werner K, Morroll SM, Kurth J, Mao L, Wing R, Leister D, Schulze-Lefert P, Wise RP. (1999) The Mla (powdery mildew) resistance cluster is associated with three NBS-LRR gene families and suppressed recombination within a 240-kb DNA interval on chromosome 5S (1HS) of barley. *Genetics.* **153**: 1929-48.

Wei F, Wing RA, Wise RP. (2002) Genome dynamics and evolution of the Mla (powdery mildew) resistance locus in barley. *Plant Cell.* **14**:1903-17.

Weigel D, Ahn JH, Blazquez MA, Borevitz JO, Christensen SK, Fankhauser C, Ferrandiz C, Kardailsky I, Malancharuvil EJ, Neff MM, Nguyen JT, Sato S, Wang ZY, Xia Y, Dixon RA, Harrison MJ, Lamb CJ, Yanofsky MF, Chory J. (2000) Activation tagging in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **122**: 1003-13.

Windels P, Sylvie De Buck, Erik Van Bockstaele, Marc De Loose, and Ann Depicker (2003) T-DNA Integration in Arabidopsis Chromosomes. Presence and Origin of Filler DNA Sequences. *Plant Physiol.* **133**: 2061-2068.

Winkler RG, Frank MR, Galbraith DW, Feyereisen R, Feldmann KA. (1998) Systematic reverse genetics of transfer-DNA-tagged lines of Arabidopsis. Isolation of mutations in the cytochrome p450 gene superfamily. *Plant Physiol.* **118**: 743-50.

von Wettstein-Knowles P. (1992) Cloned and mapped genes: current status. *In* PR Shewry, ed, Barley: Genetics, Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Biotechnology. C.A.B. International, Wallingford, UK, pp 73-98

Wu C, Li X, Yuan W, Chen G, Kilian A, Li J, Xu C, Li X, Zhou DX, Wang S, Zhang Q. (2003) Development of enhancer trap Ines for functional analysis of the rice genome. *Plant J.* **35:** 418-27.

Xia Y, Suzuki H, Borevitz J, Blount J, Guo Z, Patel K, Dixon RA, Lamb C. (2004) An extracellular aspartic protease functions in Arabidopsis disease resistance signaling. *EMBO J.* **23**:980-8.

Yanofsky MF, Porter S G, Young C, Albright LM, Gordon MP and Nester EW. (1986) The virD operon of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* encodes a site-specific endonuclease. *Cell.* **47**: 471-477.

Yu Y, Tomkins JP, Waugh R, Frisch DA, Kudrna D, Kleinhofs A, Brueggeman RS, Muehlbauer GJ, Wise RP, Wing RA. (2000) A bacterial artificial chromosome library for

barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) and the identification of clones containing putative resistance genes. *Theor Appl Genet.* **101:**1093–1099.

Yu, J., Hu, S., Wang, J. *et al.* (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *indica*). *Science*. **296**: 79–92.

Zhao Y, Christensen SK, Fankhauser C, Cashman JR, Cohen JD, Weigel D, Chory J. (2001) A role for flavin monooxygenase-like enzymes in auxin biosynthesis. *Science*. **291:** 306-9.

Zhang H, Sreenivasulu N, Weschke W, Stein N, Rudd S, Radchuk V, Potokina E, Scholz U, Schweizer P, Zierold U, Langridge P, Varshney RK, Wobus U, Graner A. (2004) Large-scale analysis of the barley transcriptome based on expressed sequence tags. *Plant J.* **40**:276-90.

Zhou F, Kurth J, Wei F, Elliott C, Vale G, Yahiaoui N, Keller B, Somerville S, Wise R, Schulze-Lefert P. (2001) Cell-autonomous expression of barley Mla1 confers race-specific resistance to the powdery mildew fungus via a Rar1-independent signaling pathway. *Plant Cell.* **13**: 337-50.

Zohary D and Hope M. (1993) Domestication of plant in the Old World. The origin and spread of cultivated plants in West Asia, Europe and the Nile Vallery. Clarendon Press, Oxford, England.

Appendix

T-	RB or LB	Accession	Alignment	E-Val	%ID	Length
DNA	flanking					
lines	sequences					
28-1A	RB	BG904855	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> cDNA clone TaLr1135D06 5'	e-100	87	349
28-3A	LB	No good matches				
28-9A	RB	AF521177	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> contig 211252, Retrotransposon BARE-	0.00	98	627
	LB	AF521177	1_211E24_1 Hordeum vulgare contig 211252 Retrotransposon BARE- 1 211E24_1	0.00	98	833
28-10A	RB	No good matches				
28-12A	RB	BU992556	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> cDNA clone	2e-89	96	188
	LB	BQ661258	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare cDNA clone HM02K21	3e-80	96	173
28-13A	RB	BQ659039	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> cDNA clone HD02E11	e-104	100	193
28-14A	RB	No good matches				
28-16A	LB	AF427791	Hordeum vulgare Mla locus	4e-37	80	299
28-22A	LB	AJ279072	Hordeum vulgare BARE-2 and partial BAGY-2, gene="BAGY-2 3'LTR (interrupted by BARE-2)	0.0	95	551
28-27A	RB	No good matches				
28-30A	RB	AF474071	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare cultivar Morex BAC clone 745	0.00	96	527
28-31A	RB	No good matches				
28-32A	LB	AY485643	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare BAC 615K1, Copia retrotransposon BARE1_AY485643-1	e-180	87	550
28-34A	RB	AY643844	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgareclones BAC 799C8 and 122A5	0.00	95	599
	LB	AY643844	gypsy-like LTR-retrotransposon Vagabond	0.00	94	643
28-35A	RB	BG415275.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare cDNA clone	1.4e-82	93	216
		AF474982	HVSMEk0005N06f	7e-27	90	90
	LB	AF474982	Hordeum vulgare clone BAC 011009	6e-20	88	91

Table A. Summary of the BLASTN search of genomic DNA flanking T-DNA insertions

28-36A	RB	BU975313	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare	3e-30	92	89
	LB	AV836977.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare cDNA clone basd21c13	1.2e-44	86	200
28-40A	RB	CA626177.1	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone wl1n.pk0130.g10 5'	1.3e-26	100	62
28-51A	RB	CA657048	Triticum aestivum cDNA 48 wlm0.pk0027.d6 5' end clone	4e-07	88	48
28-52A	RB LB	BE515461 BM097817	Wheat ABA -treated embryo cDNA library Triticum aestivum cDNA clone WHE0613_E05_I09 EBem04 Hordeum vulgare subsp.vulgare cDNA clone EBem04_SQ002_M15	5e-17 0.0	83 99	109 530
29-7A	RB LB	AF474373 CB873432.1	Hordeum vulgare BAC 259I16 LTR-retrotransposon BARE-1 Hordeum vulgare cDNA clone	0.0 8.1e-47	96 92	380
	LD	CB075452.1	HC12B19	0.10 47	72	25
29-9A	LB	BU992852	Hordeum vulgare cDNA clone HD11N10	8e-42	85	189
29-11A	LB	CA595770	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone	1e-22	82	140
29-16A	LB	CA657628.1	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone wlm0.pk038.k19 wpa1c.pk010.p10	4.0e-19	93	66
29-17A	LB	No good matches				
29-19A	RB	AV836795	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare cDNA clone basd3f01	1e-35	94	102
	LB	X64254	H.vulgare mRNA for seed protein B32E	2e-43	93	117
29-22A	LB	X16096	Barley relic DNA HVT02, tandemly repeated seq	2e-32	84	194
29-25A	LB	AY368673	Triticum turgidum HMW- glutenin locus LTR retrotranposon Gasy_107M9-1	2e-96	86	377
29-26A	RB	AB063248	Hordeum vulgare DMAS1 mRNA for deoxymugineic acid synthase	1e-97	100	184
	LB	BI480461.1	1 Triticum aestivum cDNA clone WHE2903_D07_H13	8.6e-27	97	71
29-33A	LB	AF474071.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare cultivar Morex BAC clone 745c13 Similar to region between Sabrina LTR-2 and LTR-3 in AF254799	0.0	91	563
29-34A	LB	No good matches				
					I	

29-37A	LB	No good				
		matches				
29-38A	LB	No good				
		matches				
29-38A	LB	No good				
20, 40, 4	LD	matches		57.05	0.4	22
29-40A	LB	CA676132.1	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone wrsu1.pk0001.b5 5' end	5.7e-05	94	32
29-42A	LB	No good	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		matches				
29-43A	LB	No good				
		matches				
33-8A	RB	<u>AY643843</u>	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	0.0	95	644
			clones BAC 519K7 and 799C8			
			hardness locus region			
	IB	AV931982	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	5 8e-241	95	527
		AV)31)02	cDNA clone baal0a10 5'	5.00-241)5	521
33-9A	RB	AJ610530.1	Triticum turgidum subsp. Durum	1.1e-21	89	94
			cDNA clone 02923R			
	LB	CA697747	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone	2.5e-19	87	102
			wlk4.pk0010.c5 5' end			
33-11A	RB	BQ466975	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare	7e-78	90	211
			cDNA clone HS02C17			
33-15A	LB	AF474071	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare	0.0	93	638
			cultivar Morex BAC clone			
			745c13 similar to region between			
			AF254799			
33-20A	RB	No good				
		mathces				
	LB	AF521177	Hordeum vulgare contig 211252	e-107	86	390
33-22A	RB	No good				
		mathces				
33-25A	RB	CD911479	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone	3-5e-08	83	96
	LD	CV560050	G550111E02	75.20	00	107
	LB	CK308830	HO11G05	7.58-50	00	127
33-29A	RB	BU991181.1	Hordeum vulgare cDNA clone	6.7e-25	88	108
00 2011	112	200000000	HD06D07	0.70 20	00	100
33-31A	LB	AY268139	Hordeum vulgare BAC 184G9	0.0	89	512
			LTR retrotransposon			
			Wham_184G9-3			
33-32A	RB	BQ471088.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare	2.0e-132	92	204
22 24 4	ID	A E427701	Lordown wylcore Mile le see	10.95	05	100
33-34A	LD	AF427/91	Conjo/Twi like returning	16.65	95	190
			BAPE 1			
33 20 A	IB	CK207227	Triticum aastivum EGAS. Library	150 167	06	200
55-30A		CK20/23/	5 GATE 7 Triticum aestivum	4.50-107	90	200
			cDNA			
I						1

	RB	AF427791	Hordeum vulgare Mla locus Gypsy/Ty3-like retrotransposon	e-107	91	301
	LB	AF427791	Sukkula Hordeum vulgare Mla locus miniature inverted transposable	<u>1e-20</u>	89	92
33-124	IB	AE521177	Hordeum vulgare contig 211252	1e-90	01	244
33-42A	LD	AJ474602	Hordeum vulgare contg 211252 Hordeum vulgare cDNA clone S0000800163F07F1	1.9e-102	91 94	159
33-45A	RB	AY268139	Hordeum vulgare BAC 184G9 LTR retrotransposon Wham 184G9-3	2e-73	91	213
	LB	AY268139	Hordeum vulgare BAC 184G9, LTR retrotransposon Bare- 1_184G9-6p"	1e-44	95	105
33-48A	LB	L36882	Hordeum vulgare thionin (bth6) gene	7e-90	87	330
33-49A	RB	CD927703	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone GR45102O20	1.4e-55	98	73
33-50A	RB	AY643843	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare clones BAC 519K7 and 799C8 hardness locus region	0.0	92	627
			BAGY-2 polyprotein			
33-55A	LB	BM097351.2	EBro01 Hordeum vulgare subsp vulgare cDNA clone EBro01_SQ004_005 5' clone HD13108	3.8e-205	97	93
33-56A	RB	AF474071	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare Cultivar Morex BAC clone 745c13 Conja/Tv1-like	6e-59	89	192
	LB	AF474071	retrotransposon BARE-1 Copia/Ty1-like retrotransposon BARE-1	5e-81	89	243
33-58A	LB	CB881224	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare cDNA clone HM09C12	1.4e-161	96	320
33-61A	RB	BF617619.3	Hordeum vulgare seedling shoot EST library HVcDNA0003	1.9e-280	96	579
	LB	BI948107.1	Hordeum vulgare spike EST library HVcDNA0012 (Fusarium infected) Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare cDNA clone HVSME10008D17f	6.8e-31	92	91
39-2B	LB	No good matches				
39-10A	LB	No good matches				
39-13A	RB	CB863869.1	Hordeum vulgare cDNA clone HH07E10	6.5e-24	95	70
	LB	No good matches				
39-19A	LB	Barley1 16492	Aspartic protease-like	e-161	100	288
39- 29A	RB	CA015863	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare cDNA clone HV111.24	1.5e-126	98	240

		AF427791.1	Hordeum vulgare Mla locus	e-117	97	234
	LB	BQ661082.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	1.3e-162	100	288
			cDNA clone HM01N08			
		AF427791.1	Hordeum vulgare Mla locus	3e-80	96	176
39-30A	LB	No good				
		mathces				
39-39A	RB	AY485644	Triticum monococcum phosphatidy	3e-07	81	96
			decarboxylase, ZCCT2, ZCCT1, an			
40.74	I D	CD020052 1	genes	6.0 102	02	125
40-7A	LB	CD930953.1	GR45112P24	6.0e-103	92	135
40-21A	RB	No good				
	I D	matches				
	LB	No good				
10 26P	TD	matrices No good				
40-20 D	LD	No good				
40.28B	IB	AV6/38//	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	o 155	08	201
40-26D	LD	A1043644	clones BAC 799C8 and 122A5	e-155	90	291
			hardness locus region			
			copia-like LTR-retrotransposon			
			BARE			
40-32A	RB	BU994527.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	2.3e-27	87	127
			cDNA clone HM07F17			
	LB	AL816224.1	Triticum aestivum cDNA clone	1.3e-25	83	176
			G04_j122_plate_1			
40-33A	LB	CA007005.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	1.1e-86	87	323
40, 20 4	LD	11000000	cDNA clone HU06H04	1 ((07	154
40-38A	LB	AJ279072	Hordeum vulgare BARE-2 and	1e-66	95	154
40.504	DD	AE474071	Hordoum vulgere suben, cultiver	0.0	02	186
40-30A	KD	AI'4/40/1.	Morey BAC clone 745c 13	0.0	95	400
			LTR retrotransposon			
			Leoivg 184G9-1vulgare			
41-1A	RB	AY643843	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	0.0	95	499
			clones BAC 519K7 and 799C8			
			hardness locus region			
			gypsy-like LTR-			
			retrotransposon Sabrina-1			
41-9A	RB	No good				
41.405	DD	matches		0.0	07	120
41-19B	кв	AF427791	Hordeum vulgare Mla locus	0.0	97	420
			Copia/ 1 y 1-like retrotransposon BARE-1			
41-304	RB	Δ 1470226 1	Hordeum vulgare cDNA clope	2 9e- 37	100	80
-1-30A	ND .	1137/0220.1	\$0000800204G06F1	2.70-51	100	00
	LB	BO461754.1	Hordeum vulgare cDNA clone	7.4e-143	97	287
41-33A	LB	No good				
		mathces				
41-37A	RB	No good				
		matches				
	LB	CA007005	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	9e-85	87	280
			cDNA clone HU06H04			
41-38A	LB	No good				

		mathes				
41-39A	RB	No good matches				
41-44B	LB	BU994527.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. Vulgare cDNA clone HM07F17	2.3e-27	87	127

T-	Primer sequences (5' to 3')	PCR products amplified from wheat-barley				Distribution				
DNA		addi	tion li	ne						of T-DNA
lines		1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	
28-1A	CAGGGAAAGCAGTGGAGAAG							В		ND*
	GTCGCTACAACTGGCATTCA									
28-9A	GCTATTCGGAAATGCTCCAA	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CAAAGGAGTACCTGGATTAC									
	GC									
28-13A	CCTTGCACAGTGGACGAGTA			3H				В		3H
	TGAGTTGTGACACGGCAGA									
28-16A	ATCCTCCACCATGAATCCAA		2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	CGATGGCCAATATGTACAAA									
	GA									
28-22A	CGGATAAGCGCAGAGGAATA	1H						В		1H
	GGTCCAGGATTCTGCTTGTC									
28-30A	TCCCCTAGGAGTATGCGTTG	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	GGCTCTTTGGCCTCTCTTTT									
28-32A	CGACAAAACCTTTTGGTTGC	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CCGGAACACCATAGCGTAAT									
28-34A	GCGTCCCTAGCATAGCAAAC	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	ACAATGAAGGAGGGTGAACG									
28-35A	GGGTGATTCCTCTTTCACCA	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	CGGCCTAAATCCTAAGCACA									
28-52A	AACGACTACGAATGGGCAAC	1H						В		1H
	TGCAAGCTGAAGCTGAAAG									
28-54A	ATAGGTCAGGCTCTCGCTGA	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CGCACAATCCCACTATCCTT									
29-7A	CACCATGGCGGTGATTCTAG							В		ND
	TGTATTCGGGTCCAAATTGC									
29-9A	CCATAATCATGCACATAAGA			3H				В		3H
	ATCAC									
	CCCTCGAGGCTCTCCTAACT									
29-11A	GATGTCACCCAATGTTGTGC				4H			В		4H
	GGAATTGTGTTTGGCAATCC									
29-16A	CAAAACCATCAGGAGCCAAT							В		ND
	TG									
	GTCATATCGCTGGTTGAGCA									
29-17A	CATCTCCCTCCTCGAATCCTG		2H					В		2H
	CCGGAGTCTCCAGTCTGAAC									
29-19A	ACATGTGAGCTTGCTGGTTG		2H					В		2H
	TGGGGGATGGTTAATGGTAG									
29-25A	TACACACCCTCACCTCCACA	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CGACTTGTGGGGGGAATCATA									
29-26A	TGATCCCAACGCAGATTACA							В		ND
	CCCGAGCTACTTTCCCTTCT	Ι.		_						
29-33A	ATCCTCTTTGGATGCCTATCA	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	CGTGGACAATGGGATAAAGG									
29-34A	AGTGGACCGGCTAACGACTA	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CCGGGGAGGTATTTTCTTGA									
29-40A	AGAAGGAGGACGATTTGTGC	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND

Table B. Summary of distribution of T-DNA insertion sites on barley chromosomes

r										
	TAGCGTGGCTGTTGAAGATG									
29-43A	CCGAAAAGGATACACCAGGA GGTTCCCAACAGCTACTTG				4H			В		4H
33-1A	ACCACCAGTCCCTTTTGATG							В		ND
33-8A	ATCAACACCATGACCTCTCC	1H	2Н	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
00 a.	ACACATCGAACTCTATCCAC			011		011	/	2		1.12
	С									
33-11A	GTACTTGCATGCATGTGACC	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CGCTCATGATCAGATTGTCG									
33-15A	GTATGGTGAACCGCTATGTT	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	А									
	GTGCCAACTCCTACCGATTTC									
33-20A	GAGGATAGGGTCGCATCTTG							В		ND
	CATTAAAAACGTCCGCAATG									
	TG									
33-22A	CCTGCCGATGTAATCTGGTT							В		ND
	GATCTTTGCCATGTCTGTTTC									
22.25.4	G							ъ		
33-25A	CACTCGCATCAAACCTCGTA							В		ND
	GICCACGIACGCAAGACAAI									
22 20 4				211				D		211
33-29A	GATCCGTACTGCATCAGGTT			511				Б		511
	G									
33-31A	GAACCTGCTTTTTTCTCCTGCT	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
55 5111	AG		211	511		011	, 11	D		T LD
	GATCAGGCAAGCATTCCGTA									
	G									
33-32A	GCCATGTGATGTGATGCAGC				4H			В		4H
	CCATGAGACCGTACAAGTTC									
	G									
33-38A	ATGAAGATCAGCATCCAGCA				4H			В		4H
	G									
22,41,4	ACCGAACATGGCTTATTTACC			211				D		211
33-41A	ACGIIGCCAACICCIACCGA			3H				В		3H
	GACATAGICIGCATAGGIGG									
33-12 1							г	2		ND
55- 1 2A	CTG						1	,		
	ATCCATTTGTGTTTGCTGAGG									
33-48A	GAACTTTGGGGACGTGATTG		2H					В		2H
	ATGCATCGTCTTCAGTGCATC							-		
33-50A	ACTGGCTTTGGAATCAGGAT	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	CG									
	CCTGTTGTTGGCACGCCTATT									
	Т									
33-56A	GCCCTCCTTGGTCTTTTCTC							В		ND
	AGCTCTTCCCCTAACTCTCC									
33-58A	CCTCAAAACAGCCACCATAC							В		ND
22 61 4		111	211	211	411			р		ND
55-01A		ıн	2 H	эн	4 H			В		ND
33 62 1	CCAAGTCCCAAACGTAGTCC		2н					R		2Н
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		/ · · · ·							

										-
	ATCGATCCATCGATGACCG									
39-10A	AGTTCGTTGGTTGGTTACGC				4H		_	B		4H
	GACCAGTGCTCCTCTCTGT									
39-13A	TGGGAACGTGACTGAATGAA	4H			В					4H
	GAGCGTAGGCAAAGAGATGG									
39-27A	CGCCTCATTCGATCGTTGTA	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CGGGGAGGTATCACAACACA									
39-29A	CCACTATCGTTTATGGGTTAT	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	GC									
	GATGCATTTATCCGCTTTGG									
39-30A	CTCGAAGTCCTCCTCCATTG	1H			4H			В		ND
	TTTCTCGCCCCTCACTTCTA									
39-39A	CCGTCGTCACGTGTTGTACTC							В		ND
	CGTCGAGATCTCCAAAACTT									
	G									
39-45A	CTGAGGCATGTGAAGGAGGT							В		ND
	CCGCATGCTATCCTAGCC									
40-7A	GCACCGCTCTCGGTATAAAG							В		ND
	GACACCACCTTGGCTGAAAG				-					
40-33A	GGGACATTTTGAACTCAGG							В		ND
	AGGAGGGAGCGTTTTTCAGT									
40-38A	GAAAGGCAAGGGCTAGAAG							В		ND
	G									
	TTACGATGTAGCTTGCGCTAT				-					
40-50A	TGCTTGGTGTGTTCTTGCTGAG	1H	2H	3H	4H	6H	7H	В	W	ND
	CATCCCGAGAGATACGCATT						_			
41-1A	AAGAGGCCTAAGAGCCCAAA	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	AAAAGGCATGCAAAACATCC									
41-9A	TCTCAGAATGCCACAAAATG						_	B		ND
	GTAGCACCAAGTGCAGCAAA									
41-19A	CCACATATCAGGAACCTCGA	1H	2H	3H	4H			В		ND
	Α									
	CCGGGGAGGTATCTCCAATA									
41-30A	AACATGCAAGCACACGTCAT							В		ND
	CATGATTGCTGTGGCTGACT									

ND*: the distribution of D-DNA insertions on barely chromosome can not be determined.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Paul Schulze-Lefert for giving me the opportunity to pursue my doctoral thesis at the Max-Plank Institute. I am grateful to his excellent advices and support throughout my study.

I shall appreciate Dr. Thomas Koprek for his excellent guidance and unlimited encouragement as well as extreme kindness in all aspects during my stay in the lab and Köln. I will be forever grateful for his help and prompt availability whenever needed.

I would like to thank Sabine Schulze and Caren Davidson for their help in barley transformation and green house work, respectively.

I also wish to acknowledge Anil Kumar Batchu and Zimmermann Dominik for their help when needed. They were quite helpful in the lab and during the preparation of this thesis.

I also appreciate the help from Chaoying He, Sigi Effgen, and other colleagues in MPIZ. I enjoyed and learned a lot from the excellent scientific research atmosphere in MPIZ.

My special thanks go to my wife who has given me unbelievable support in every aspect. She deserves equal credits that I deserve from this doctoral thesis.

Erklärung

"Ich versichere, daß ich die von mir vorgelegte Dissertation selbständig angefertigt, die benutzten Quellen und Hilfsmittel vollständig angegeben und die Stellen der Arbeit einschließlich Tabellen, Karten und Abbildungen -, die anderen Werken im Wortlaut oder dem Sinn nach entnommen sind, in jedem Einzelfall als Entlehnung kenntlich gemacht habe; daß diese Dissertation noch keiner anderen Fakultät oder Universität zur Prüfung vorgelegen hat; daß sie - abgesehen von unten angegebenen Teilpublikationen – noch nicht veröffentlicht worden ist sowie, daß ich eine solche Veröffentlichung vor Abschluß des Promotionsverfahrens nicht vornehmen werde. Die Bestimmungen dieser Promotionsordnung sind mir bekannt. Die von mir vorgelegte Dissertation ist von Prof. Dr. Paul Schulze-Lefert betreut worden"

Köln, im May 2005

Ein Teil dieser Arbeit wurde bereits veröffentlicht

Koprek T, Zhao T, Zimmermann D, Schulze-Lefert P. (2003) Systematic *Ac/Ds* transposon mutagenesis in barley. EUCARPIA Proceedings "From Biodiversity to Genomics: Breeding Strategies for Small Grain Cereals in the Third Millennium". 453-456.

Zhao T, Koprek T. (2004) Development of a Two-Componebt Ac/Ds System for Functional Genomics in Barley. 9th International Barley Genetics Symposium, Brno, Czech Republic, pp76.

Lebenslauf

Persönliche Daten

Name	Zhao
Vorname	Tiehan
Geburtsdatum and ort	: 27. 05. 1960
Nationalitat	Chinesisch
Adresse	Kolibriweg 14, 50829, Koln
Ausbildung	
Seit 2001	Promotion am Max-Planck-Institut für Züchtungsforschung in Köln in der Abteilung von Prof. Paul Schulze-Lefert unter der Betreuung von Dr. Thomas Koprek
1999-2001	Gastwissenschaftler, Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA.
1997-1998	Wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter, National Key Lab for Plant Cell & Chromosome Engineering, Institute of Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
1995-1997	Gastwissenschaftler, Institut für Pflanzenwissenschaften, ETH Zürich, Schweiz
1987-1995	Wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter, National Key Lab for Plant Cell & Chromosome Engineering, Institut für Genetik , Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
1984-1987	Master's Degree in Pflanzengenetik am Institut für Genetik, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
1983-1984	Biologielehrer an der High School, Liaoyun, China
1979-1983	Bachelor's Degree in Biologie an der Northeast Normal University, Changchun, China