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#### Abstract

We show that a minimum fill-in ordering of a graph can be determined in linear time if it can be modularly decomposed into chordal graphs. This generalizes results of [2]. We show that the treewidth of these graphs can be determined in $O((n+m) \log n)$ time.


## 1 Introduction

One of the major problems in computational linear algebra is that of sparse Gauss elimination. The problem is to find a pivoting, such that the number of zero entries of the original matrix that become non zero entries in the elimination process is minimized. In case of symmetric matrices, we would like to restrict pivoting along the diagonal. The problem translates to the following graph theory problem [14].

Minimum Elimination Ordering: For an ordering $<$ on the vertices, we consider the fill-in graph $G_{<}^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ of $G=(V, E) . G_{<}^{\prime}$ contains first the edges in $E$ and secondly two vertices $x$ and $y$ form an edge in $G_{<}^{\prime}$ if they have a common smaller neighbor in $G_{<}^{\prime}$. The problem of Minimum Elimination ordering is, given a graph $G=(V, E)$, find an ordering $<$, such that $G_{<}^{\prime}$ has a minimum number of fill-in edges.

Note that this problem is NP-complete [16] in general. There is a polynomial time solution for this problem for so called HHD-free graphs [4]. Moreover, for distance hereditary graphs and for certain graph classes with few P4-s, there are linear time solutions [3, 2]. Here we generalize the result of [2] and show that a minimum fill-in ordering can be determined in linear time if the graph can be modularly decomposed into chordal graphs.

Another problem is to find an elimination scheme, such that the size of "dense matrices" is as small as possible. This is related to the problem of treewidth.

Treewidth: Find an ordering $<$, such that the maximum clique size of $G_{<}^{\prime}$ is minimized.

Also the problem of minimum treewidth is NP-complete [1]. The problem has a polynomial time solution for HHD-free graphs [4].

We will show that we can compute a minimum elimination ordering and the treewidth for graphs that can be modularly decomposed into chordal graphs in linear time or almost in linear time.

In section 2, we introduce the notation of the paper. Section 3 presents a linear time algorithm for minimum fill-in for graphs that can be modularly decomposed into chordal graphs. Section 4 discusses the treewidth of graphs that can be modularly decomposed into chordal graphs.

## 2 Notation

A graph $G=(V, E)$ consists of a vertex set $V$ and an edge set $E$. Multiple edges and loops are not allowed. The edge joining $x$ and $y$ is denoted by $x y$.

We say that $x$ is a neighbor of $y$ iff $x y \in E$. The set of neighbors of $x$ is denoted by $N(x)$ and is called the neighborhood. Analogously, for a set $X$ of vertices, $N(X)$ is the set of neighbors of some vertex in $X$ that are not in $X$ and $N[X]$ is the set of neighbors of vertices in $X$ together with the vertices in $X$.

Trees are always directed to the root. The notion of the parent, child, ancestor, and descendent are defined as usual.

A subgraph of $(V, E)$ is a graph $\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ such that $V^{\prime} \subseteq V, E^{\prime} \subseteq E$. The graph $G[X]$ is the subgraph induced by $X$ consisting of all vertices in $X$ and all edges $x y \in E$ with $x, y \in E$.

We denote by $n$ the number of vertices and by $m$ the number of edges of $G$.
A graph is called chordal iff each cycle of length greater than three has a chord, i.e. an edge that joins two nonconsecutive vertices of the cycle. Note that chordal graphs are exactly those graphs having a perfect elimination ordering $<$, i.e. for each vertex $v$ the neighbors $w>v$ induce a complete subgraph, i.e. they are pairwise joined by an edge [9].

Note that in any chordal graph, the number of maximal cliques is bounded by $n$ and the number of pairs $(x, c)$ such that $x$ is in the clique $c$ is bounded by $n+m$.

The fill-in of a graph $G=(V, E)$ and an ordering $<$ is the smallest edge set $E^{\prime}$, such that $E \subseteq E^{\prime}$ and $<$ is a perfect elimination ordering of $G_{<}:=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $G_{<}$is chordal. The problem to get a minimum fill-in or a minimum elimination ordering is to get an ordering $<$, such that the fill-in is minimum.

The treewidth of $G=(V, E)$ is the minimum maximum clique size of a chordal graph $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ with $E \subseteq E^{\prime}$.

By a module of a graph $G=(V, E)$, we define a subset $V^{\prime}$ of the vertex set $V$ such that all vertices in $V^{\prime}$ have the same neighbors outside $V^{\prime}$. We do not compute all modules but those modules $X$ which do not overlap with other modules, i.e. there is no module $Y$ that has a nonempty intersection with $X$ and neither $X \subset Y$ nor $Y \subset X$. We call such modules also overlap free. Note that the overlap-free modules of any graph form a tree with respect to set inclusion, i.e. two overlap free modules are disjoint or comparable with respect to set inclusion. The notions of parent and child modules can be defined in an obvious way. The parent $P(X)$ of an overlap-free module $X$ is the unique smallest overlap free module that is a proper superset of $X . Y$ is a child module of $X$ if and only if $Y$ is an inclusion maximal proper overlap free submodule of $X$. The system of overlap-free modules is also called the modular decomposition of the graph $G$.

Note that a modular decomposition can be determined in linear time [12, 6, 8].

Let $G$ be a graph with modules $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$. Then $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is the graph we obtain from $G$ by shrinking each $V_{i}$ to one vertex. Let $X$ be a module with child modules $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k} . G_{X}=G[X] /\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$ is the graph we get from $G[X]$ by shrinking each child module of $Y_{j}$ of $X$ to one vertex.

We call a graph modularly decomposable into chordal graphs or shortly modulated chordal if for all overlap-free modules $X$ of $G, G_{X}$ is chordal.

## 3 Minimum Fill-in of a Graph with a Modular Decomposition into Chordal Graphs

We follow the ideas of [2]. The key lemma is the following.
Lemma 1 Let $V^{\prime}$ be a module of $G$ and let $N\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of neighbors of $V^{\prime}$ that do not belong to $V^{\prime}$. Then in any fill-in $E^{\prime}$ of $G, V^{\prime}$ is complete or $N\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ is complete.

Proof: Assume $V^{\prime}$ and $N\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ are both not complete in $E^{\prime}$, i.e. there are $u, v \in V^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in N\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ that are not joint by an edge in $E^{\prime}$. Then they form a cycle of length four in $G$ and also in $E^{\prime}$.
Q.E.D.

Corollary 1 Suppose $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are disjoint modules of $G$ and all vertices in $V_{1}$ are adjacent with all vertices in $V_{2}$. Then in any fill-in $E^{\prime}$ of $G, V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$ is a complete set.

We immediately get the following.
Corollary 2 Let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ be the child modules of $G$. Then for any fill-in $E^{\prime}$, the set of $V_{i}$ that are not complete in $E^{\prime}$ form an independent set in

$$
G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)
$$

Now we assume that $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ are the child modules of $G$ and

$$
G^{\prime}=G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)
$$

is a chordal graph.
To get a minimum fill-in, we first recursively compute a minimum fill-in $E_{i}^{\prime}$, for all $V_{i}$. Then we select an independent set $V^{\prime}$ of vertices of $G^{\prime}$ as those modules $V_{i}$ that are not made complete. The neighborhoods of the modules in $V^{\prime}$ are made complete. The modules corresponding to vertices of $G^{\prime}$ not belonging to $V^{\prime}$ are made complete. The number of resulting fill-in edges has to be minimized.

The following result proves that the resulting graph is a chordal graph and is easy to check.

Lemma 2 1. Let $G$ be a chordal graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Then the graph that comes up by replacing $v$ by a complete set $V_{1}$ with the same neighbors outside $V_{1}$ as $v$ is a chordal graph.
2. Let $G$ be a chordal graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Then the graph $G^{\prime}$ that comes up by making the neighborhood of $v$ complete is a chordal graph.
3. Let $G$ be a chordal graph and $v$ be a simplicial vertex (i.e. the neighborhood is complete) of $G$. Then the graph that comes up by replacing $v$ by a module that is a chordal graph is chordal.

Proof. We always can assume that $G$ has a perfect elimination ordering $<$. When we replcace $v$ by consecutive pairwise adjacent vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$, i.e. $v_{1}<v_{2}<\ldots<v_{k}, w<v$ iff $w<v_{1}$, and $v<w$ iff $v_{k}<w$, for each vertex $w \neq v$ of $G,<$ remains a perfect elimination ordering. This proves the first statement of the lemma.

The second part is proved as follows. We show that < remains a perfect elimination ordering. Let $w<w_{1}, w_{2}$ and $w w_{\nu} \in E$ or $w$ and $w_{\mu}$ are both neighbors of $v$. It has to be shown that $w_{1} w_{2} \in E$ or that $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are both neighbors of $v$. If $w$ is not a neighbor of $v$ then $w_{1} w_{2} \in E$. If $w$ is a neighbor of $v$ and $v \leq w$ then $w w_{1} \in E$ and $w w_{2} \in E$, because they are neighbors of $w$ or greater neighbors of $v$ (and therefore adjacent to the greater neighbor $w$ of $v)$. Therefore also $w_{1} w_{2} \in E$. Finally let $w<v$ be a neighbor of $v$. If $w_{1}$ or $w_{2}$ is a neighbor of $w$ then it is also a neighbor of $v\left(v\right.$ and $w_{1}$ or $w_{2}$ arte greater neighbors of $w)$. In any case, $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ belong to the neighborhood of $v$.

The third part is proved as follows. We always have a perfect elimination ordering $<$ of $G$ that starts with the simplicial vertex $v$. Let $M$ be another chordal graph with a perfect elimination ordering $<^{\prime}$. When we replace $v$ by $M$ as a module then the concatenation of $<^{\prime}$ and $<$ restricted to $G-v$ is a perfect elimination ordering.
Q.E.D.

To get the right independent set $V^{\prime}$, we proceed as follows. For each module $V_{i}$, let $f_{i}$ be the number of fill-in edges one gets if $V_{i}$ is made complete, i.e. the number of non edges in $V_{i}$, and let $g_{i}$ be the number of fill-in edges one gets if $V_{i}$ is not made complete, i.e. the number of fill-in edges of a minimum fill-in of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$ plus the number of non edges in the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ that join vertices that appear in different $V_{j}$.

Lemma 3 The number of fill-in edges that are created by making exactly the modules in $V^{\prime}$ not complete (and making the remaining modules complete) is

$$
\Sigma_{V_{i} \in V^{\prime}} g_{i}+\Sigma_{V_{i} \notin V^{\prime}} f_{i}
$$

Proof: Note that $V^{\prime}$ is an independent set in $G^{\prime}=G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ and that fill-in edges might be created by two modules $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ only in case that they
are common non edges of the neighborhoods of $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$. This can only be the case if $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ belong to $V^{\prime}$. Now $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ are not joint by an edge in $G^{\prime}$. Since $G^{\prime}$ is a chordal graph, all vertices in the joint neighborhood of $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ are pairwise joint by an edge (otherwise $G^{\prime}$ had a chordless cycle of length four. Therefore no fill-in edge $V_{p} V_{q}$ is created by two $V_{i}$ 's in $V^{\prime}$. This proves the lemma.
Q.E.D.

To get the size of a minimum fill-in of $G$, one has to compute a maximum weighted independent set of $G^{\prime}=G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$, where the weight of $V_{i}$ is $f_{i}-g_{i}$.
A. Frank [10] stated an algorithm to determine a maximum weighted independent set in a chordal graph. He proved that the algorithm has a polynomial time bound. The algorithm has in fact a linear time bound.

Lemma 4 [10] We can determine a maximum weighted independent set in a chordal graph $G^{\prime}$ in linear time.

If the numbers of vertices of $V_{i}$ and edges of $V_{i}$ are known, one gets $f_{i}$ immediately. To get the number of edges of $V_{i}$, one first determines, for each edge $e$ of $G$, the smallest overlap-free module $X_{e}$ that contains $e$ (by determining the least common ancestor). Then we determine the number $e d(X)$ of $e$ with $X_{e}=X$, for each overlap-free module $X$. To get the number of edges of any overlap-free module, we recursively add the number of edges in each child module of $X$ and $e d(X)$.

To get $g_{i}$, one has to compute the number of non edges in the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ that are not in the same $V_{j}$. We have the number of non edges of the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ if we have the number of edges in the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ that are not in the same $V_{j}$. We consider the chordal graph $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ and weight each edge $V_{i} V_{j}$ by $\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{j}\right|$.

Lemma 5 For a chordal graph $G^{\prime}$ with vertex weights $w(v)$, for each vertex $v$ and edge weights $w(e)=w(v w)=w(v) w(w)$, for each edge $e=v w$ of $G^{\prime}$, we can compute, for all vertices $v$ of $G^{\prime}$ simultaneously, the sum of edge weights in the neighborhood of $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ in linear time.

Proof. We assume that a perfect elimination ordering of $G^{\prime}$ is known. Let $h(v)$ be the sum of edge weights of edges that join neighbors $x$ and $y$ of $v$ that are greater than $v$. Since greater neighbors of $v$ are pairwise adjacent,

$$
h(v)=\Sigma_{x, y>v, x v, y v \in E, x \neq y} w(x) w(y) .
$$

This can be replaced by

$$
h(v)=\left(\left(\Sigma_{x v \in E, x>v} w(x)\right)^{2}-\Sigma_{x v \in E} w(x)^{2}\right) / 2 .
$$

Therefore all $h(v)$ can be determined in linear time.

Next we have to consider neighbors $x$ and $y$, such that at least one of $x$ or $y$ is smaller than $v$. Without loss of generality, $x<y$ and $x<v$. Note that if $y$ is a neighbor of $x$ then $y$ is a neighbor of $v$.

Let $w^{\prime}(x)$ be the sum of edge weights $w(x y)$ with $x y \in E$ and $x<y$. Note that all $w^{\prime}(x)$ can be determined simultaneously in linear time.

The sum of all edge weights in the neighborhood of $v$ is determined by

$$
s(v):=h(v)+\Sigma_{x<v, x v \in E}\left(w^{\prime}(x)-w(x v)\right) .
$$

Q.E.D.

Corollary $3 g_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ can be determined in linear time.
Proof: By previous lemma, we know the sum $s\left(V_{i}\right)$ of weights $w\left(V_{p}, V_{q}\right)=$ $\left|V_{p}\right|\left|V_{q}\right|$ of neighbors $V_{p}$ and $V_{q}$ of $V_{i}$, such that $V_{p}$ and $V_{q}$ are adjacent in $G^{\prime}=G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$. Let $N\left(V_{i}\right)$ be the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Then the sum of $\left|V_{p}\right|\left|V_{q}\right|, p \neq q, V_{p}, V_{q} \in N\left(V_{i}\right)$ is

$$
t_{i}=\left(\left(\Sigma_{V_{q} \in N\left(V_{i}\right)}\left|V_{q}\right|\right)^{2}-\Sigma_{V_{q} \in N\left(V_{i}\right)}\left|V_{q}\right|^{2}\right) / 2
$$

$t_{i}$ can be determined in linear time and $g_{i}=h_{i}+t_{i}-s\left(V_{i}\right)$ where $h_{i}$ is the size of a minimum fill-in of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$. Therefore $g_{i}$ can be determined in linear time.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 1 The size of a minimum fill-in of a modulated chordal graph can be determined in linear time.

Proof: One determines the sizes of the minimum fill-ins of all overlap-free modules $X$ recursively. Note that one recursion step can be done in linear time with respect to the size of $G_{X}$. Therefore the overall time is linear with respect to the the size of the whole graph $G$.
Q.E.D.

It remains to get a minimum fill-in ordering i.e. a perfect elimination ordering of the fill-in. Note that we did not compute the edges of the fill-in graph explicitly. We follow the proof of Lemma 2. We may assume that for all modules of $G$, a perfect elimination ordering is known.

We proceed again recursively.

1. We assume that we know minimum fill-in orderings $<_{i}$ of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$ and we know the set $V^{\prime}$ of those $V_{i}$ that are not made complete in a minimum fill-in of $G$. We also assume that a perfect elimination ordering $<^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}=G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is known.
2. We first concatenate the orderings $<_{i}$, such that $V_{i} \in V^{\prime}$ and get an ordering $<_{1}^{\prime}$ of the vertices of $V$ that appear in some $V_{i} \in V^{\prime}$. Then we concatenate the orderings $<_{i}$ with $V_{i} \notin V^{\prime}$, such that $<_{i}$ appears before $<_{j}$ if $V_{i}<^{\prime} V_{j}$ in the perfect elimination ordering of $G^{\prime}$. We get an ordering $<_{2}^{\prime}$.
3. The final elimination ordering $<$ of the fill-in graph is the concatenation of $<_{1}^{\prime}$ first and $<_{2}^{\prime}$ second.

Lemma 6 The ordering < as constructed above is a perfect elimination oprdering of the minimum fill-in graph.

Proof: This follows from the proof of lemma 2.

1. Assume $V_{i} \in V^{\prime}$. Then the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ is made complete. $<^{\prime}$ remains a perfect elimination ordering of $G^{\prime}$ if we make the neighborhood of $V_{i}$ complete. If we replace $V_{i}$ by the fill-in graph of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$ then we can take $<_{i}$ first and $<^{\prime}$ second and we have a perfect elimination ordering of of the graph $G_{i}^{\prime}$ that comes up when we replace $V_{i}$ by the minimum fill-in graph of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$.
2. Since $V^{\prime}$ is independent in $G^{\prime}$, we replace all $V_{i} \in V^{\prime}$ by the minimum fill-in graph of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$ and the concatenation of the $<_{i}$ with $V_{i} \in V^{\prime}$ first and $<^{\prime}$ restricted to the $V_{i} \notin V^{\prime}$ second is a perfect elimination ordering of the graph $G_{V^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ that comes up if we replace each $V_{i}$ by the minimum fill-in graph of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$.
3. We can replace each vertex $V_{i}$ of $G_{V^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ by complete set $D_{i}$ and the graph remains chordal. In the perfect elimination ordering $\left\langle_{V^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right.$ we may replace $V_{i}$ by the consecutive enumeration of the vertices in $D_{i}$, and we still have a perfect elimination ordering. This is in particular true if we replace $V_{i}$ as a vertex of $G^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ by the vertices of $V_{i}$.

At the end we get the ordering as constructed above.
Q.E.D.

It remains to show that the ordering as constructed above can be determined in linear time. We may assume that the perfect elimination orderings of the modules are given by lists and not by enumerations. Then in each recursion step, the minimum fill-in ordering $<$ can be determined from the orderings $<_{i}$ in $O(n)$ time, where $n$ is the number of $V_{i}$. As a final result, we get the following.

Theorem 2 A minimum fill-in ordering of a modulated chordal graph can be determined in linear time.

## 4 Treewidth of Modulated Chordal Graphs

We will show the following.
Theorem 3 The treewidth of a modulated chordal graph can be determined in $O(n+m) \log n$ time.

Proof: We proceed in a similar way as in the case of minimum fill-in. We recursively determine the treewidths of the maximal modules $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ and select an appropriate independent set $I$ of $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$, such that the $V_{i} \in I$ are exactly those modules that are not made complete. We may assume that a perfect elimination ordering of $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is known. We assume that just the enumeration $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ defines a perfect elimination ordering of $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$. In any fill-in $G^{\prime}$ of $G$, there are two kinds of cliques.

1. Cliques $c$ that are unions of $V_{i}$, i.e. there is a $V_{i}$, such that $c=V_{i} \cup$ $\bigcup_{V_{j}>V_{i}, V_{i} V_{j} \in E} V_{j}$. Note that in this case, all $V_{j}$ in $c$ are made complete.
2. Cliques $c$ that are not unions of $V_{i}$, i.e. there is a clique $c_{1}$ of $G^{\prime}\left[V_{i}\right]$, such that $c=c_{1} \cup N\left(V_{i}\right)$.
We assume that we know the treewidth $t_{i}$ of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$. Let $s_{i}^{1}:=t_{i}+\left|N\left(V_{i}\right)\right|$ and $s_{i}^{2}:=\left|V_{i}\right|+\Sigma_{V_{j}>V_{i}, V_{i} V_{j} \in E}\left|V_{j}\right| . \quad s_{i}^{1}$ is the maximum size of a clique that intersects $V_{i}$ if $V_{i}$ is not made complete. $s_{i}^{2}$ is the size of $V_{i}$ together with its greater neighborhood. For an independent set $I$ of $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$, let $J$ be the set of $V_{i} \notin I$, such that all modules $V_{j}$ in the greater neighborhood of $V_{i}$ are not in $I$. The each clique that is a union of $V_{j}$ is of the size $s_{i}^{2}$, for some $i \in J$ and each clique that is not the union of some $V_{j}$ is of the size $s_{i}^{1}$, for some $i \in I$. The maximum clique size of the fill-in $G_{I}$ associated with $I$ is denoted by $S_{I}$ and can be determined as follows.

$$
S_{I}:=\max \left(s_{i}^{1}: V_{i} \in I, s_{i}^{2}: V_{i} \in J\right)
$$

The treewidth is therefore determined by

$$
S:=\min _{I} S_{I}
$$

We again consider the elimination tree $T$ with parent function $P a r$ where $\operatorname{Par}\left(V_{i}\right)$ is the next greater neighbor of $V_{i}$ in $G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$. Let $D_{i}$ be the set of descendents of $V_{i}$ including $V_{i}$ in $T$. For an independent set $I_{i}$ of $D_{i}$, let $J_{i}$ be the set of $V_{j} \notin I$ in $D_{i}$, such that no greater neighbor of $V_{j}$ is in $I$. Then $S_{I_{i}}^{i}:=\max \left(s_{j}^{1}\left|V_{j} \in D_{i} \cap I_{i}, s_{j}^{2}\right| V_{j} \in J_{i}\right)$ and $S^{i}=\min _{I_{i}} S_{I_{i}}^{i}$.

To get $S$, we determine the $S^{i}$ recursively. Let $S_{i}^{1}:=\min _{V_{i} \in I_{i}} S_{I_{i}}^{i}$ and $S_{i}^{2}:=\min _{V_{i} i n I_{i}} S_{I_{i}}^{i}$. Then

$$
S_{i}^{1}=\max \left(s_{i}^{1},\left(S^{j}: V_{j} \in D_{i}, V_{j} V_{i} \notin E, \operatorname{Par}\left(V_{j}\right) V_{i} \in E\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
S_{i}^{2}=\max \left(s_{i}^{2},\left(S^{j}: \operatorname{Par}\left(V_{j}\right)=V_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Note that $S^{i}=\min \left(S_{i}^{1}, S_{i}^{2}\right)$.
Obviously, the time bound is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. But we also can get $O(n+m) \log n$ as follows. We sort the children $V_{j}$ of each $V_{i}$ by the numbers $S^{j}$ as soon as we
know the $S^{j}$, for all children $V_{j}$ of $V_{i}$. This takes $O(n+m)+O(n \log n)$ time. For each descendent $V_{j}$ of $V_{i}$ (i.e. $V_{j}<V_{i}$ ) that is a neighbor of $V_{i}$, we now can determine a child $V_{l}$ of $V_{j}$ that is not a neighbor of $V_{i}$ of maximum $S^{l}$ in the order of the number of children of $V_{j}$ that are neighbors of $V_{i}$. This gives a time bound in the order of the number of neighbors of $V_{i}$ times a logarithmic factor. The overall complexity of the algorithm is therefore $O(n+m) \log n$.

## Q.E.D.

Remark 1 The additional logarithmic factor comes from the fact that we have to sort the children of any node of the elimination tree. It might be interesting to improve the algorithm in such a way that we can circumvent sorting.

## 5 Introducing Modules of Bounded Size

We now consider the case that $G$ can be modularly decomposed into prime graphs that are chordal or of bounded size. To find a minimum fill-in ordering of $G$, we had to know the minimum fill-in ordering of all maximal modules $V_{i}$ of $G$. It was not essential that the modules $V_{i}$ themselves again induce modulated chordal graphs. It only was essential that we can get the minimum fill-in orderings of the $V_{i}$ in linear time. It remains therefore to discuss the case that $G^{\prime}=G /\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is a graph of bounded size. Let again $V^{\prime}$ be the set of $V_{i}$ that do not induce a complete subgraph of the fill-in. Then $V^{\prime}$ is an independent set of $G^{\prime}$. The proof is the same as the proof of lemma 1 . The vertices of $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ not in $V^{\prime}$ that have a common neighbor in $V^{\prime}$ must be joined by fill-in edges. Therefore given $V^{\prime}$, we make all $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ adjacent, i.e. having an edge between every vertex of $V_{i}$ and every vertex of $V_{j}$, if they are adjacent to a common $V_{k} \in V^{\prime}$. We call these edges $V^{\prime}$-fillin edges. It remains to determine a minimum fill-in of the graph $G^{\prime \prime}=G_{V^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$, that arises from $G^{\prime}-V^{\prime}$ together with the $V^{\prime}$-fill-in edges, where each $V_{i}$ is replaced by a clique of size $\left|V_{i}\right|$. Define a set $S$ of the vertices of $G^{\prime}$ to be a cut if $G^{\prime \prime}-S$ has at least two connected components, say $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, such that all vertices of $S$ are in the neighborhood of $C_{1}$ and of $C_{2}$. By a result of Parra and Scheffler [13], all cuts of a minimum fill-in (even of a fill-in that is minimal with respect to the subset relation) of $G^{\prime \prime}$ are also cuts of $G^{\prime \prime}$ and all fill-in edges join two vertices that are in a common cut of the minimum fill-in. It is easy to observe that in all cuts $S$ of $G^{\prime \prime}$, either all vertices of any particular $V_{i}$ or none of its vertices belong to $S$. Therefore either all vertices of $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ are pairwise joined by a fill-in edge or none of them. We again weight a fill-in edge $V_{i} V_{j}$ with $w\left(V_{i}, V_{j}\right)=\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{j}\right|$ and each $V_{i}$ with the number $f_{i}$ of its non edges and the minimum fill-in size $g_{i}$ of the minimum fill-in of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$. Knowing the sizes of the minimum fill-ins of the $V_{i}$ 's, we can get the size of a minimum fill-in of $G$ in constant time. We only have go through all possible $V^{\prime}$ and all fill-ins of $G_{V^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$. We weight the $V_{i} \in V^{\prime}$ with $g_{i}$, the vertices not in $V^{\prime}$ with $f_{i}$, and the fill-in edges with its weight $w\left(V_{i}, V_{j}\right)$. We select the $G_{V^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ with the smallest weight sum.

Theorem 4 The size of a minimum fill-in of a graph whose prime modules are chordal or of bounded size can be determined in linear time.

To get the minimum treewidth, we can proceed in the same way and get a time bound of $O(n+m) \log n$.

This generalizes a result of [2] that in "graphs with few $P_{4}$-s, the size of a minimum fill-in and the minimum treewidth can be determined in linear time.

## 6 Conclusions

It might also be possible to extend the ideas also to HHD-free graphs. One should mention that in HHD-free graphs, there is always a vertex, such that the in the neighborhood, the connected components of the complement form modules [11]. A polynomial time algorithm to get a minimum fill-in for HHDfree graphs is due to [4].
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